

C. Fontanari\*

## A REMARK ON THE AMPLE CONE OF $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

**Abstract.** Here we address a question on the ample cone of the moduli spaces of curves with an inductive approach inspired by a paper of Arbarello and Cornalba.

### 1. Introduction

The moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  of  $n$ -pointed stable curves of genus  $g$  is a very natural and classical object, which from Riemann's times on has been deeply investigated by several authors. Despite this fact, the birational geometry of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  still remains a mystery. Indeed, every attempt in finding a regular pattern in the geometry of such a space seems destined to fail. This long history of trials and errors begins already in 1915 with Severi: being aware of the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is unirational for  $g \leq 10$ , he was led to conjecture that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is unirational for all genera  $g$  (see [10], end of § 2.). That this is not really the case was shown in the first eighties by Eisenbud, Harris, and Mumford, who were able to prove that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is of general type for  $g \geq 24$  (see [7], [2]). Anyway, the hope for a uniform description of the birational nature of the moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  was still alive and inspired the celebrated Slope Conjecture by Harris and Morrison (see [6]): if  $a\lambda - b\delta$  is the class of an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , then it should be  $\frac{a}{b} \geq 6 + \frac{12}{g+1}$ . In particular, since the class of the canonical divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is exactly  $13\lambda - 2\delta$ , it would follow that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  has negative Kodaira dimension for  $g \leq 22$ . Unfortunately, as recently pointed out by Farkas and Popa (see [4]), the Slope Conjecture does not hold for  $g = 10$ : a counterexample is provided by the divisor corresponding to curves on a K3 surface. Moreover, effective divisors behave in a wild manner already in genus zero: as observed by Keel and Vermeire (see [11]), the natural guess that every effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$  is an effective linear combination of boundary classes turns out to be false for every  $n \geq 6$ . However, one can still hope to fix at least the geometry of ample divisors. Recall that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  has a natural stratification by topological type, the codimension  $k$  strata corresponding to curves with at least  $k$  singular points. In the paper [5] by Gibney, Keel, and Morrison, the following Conjecture is attributed to Fulton:

CONJECTURE 1. ([5] (0.2)) A divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is ample if and only if it has positive intersection with all one-dimensional strata.

The main result of [5] is that Conjecture 1 holds for all  $g$  if and only if it holds for  $g = 0$ . In the same paper it is also described a natural approach to the case of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ : as already pointed out by Keel and McKernan in [9], Conjecture 1 would be implied by a

---

\*This research is part of the T.A.S.C.A. project of I.N.d.A.M., supported by P.A.T. (Trento) and M.I.U.R. (Italy).

positive answer to:

QUESTION 1. ([5] (0.13)) If a divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$  has non-negative intersection with all one-dimensional strata, does it follow that the divisor is linearly equivalent to an effective combination of boundary divisors?

Until now, the best achievement in this direction is the following:

THEOREM 1. *For  $n \leq 6$ , the answer to Question 1 is affirmative.*

As we shall see, it is possible to approach such a result in several different ways. First of all, as noticed in [5] (0.14), Question 1 admits a purely combinatorial reformulation, which can be checked by using a computer precisely for  $n \leq 6$  (indeed, its computational complexity makes it untractable already for  $n = 7$ ). Next, a more conceptual analysis of the case  $n = 6$  has been carried out in the paper [3] by Farkas and Gibney. Essentially, their idea is to express every divisor  $D$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6}$  as an explicit linear combination of boundary divisors whose coefficients are intersection numbers with one-dimensional strata.

Here instead we are going to present a new proof, which follows an inductive strategy inspired by the paper [1] by Arbarello and Cornalba. Namely, let  $P := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and for every  $S \subset P$  with  $2 \leq |S| \leq n - 2$  let  $\Delta_{\{0,S\}}$  be the boundary component of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$  whose general element is the union of two copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , labelled respectively by  $S$  and  $P \setminus S$ , meeting at one point. We denote by  $\delta_S$  the corresponding class in  $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n})$  and we define inductively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_4 &:= \{\delta_{\{2,3\}}\} \\ \mathcal{B}_n &:= \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \cup \{\delta_B : B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, n \notin B \supseteq \{n-1, n-2\}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\delta_{B^c \setminus \{n\}} : \delta_B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{n-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

PROPOSITION 1.  *$\mathcal{B}_n$  is a basis of  $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n})$ .*

Moreover, for  $n \leq 5$  every divisor having non-negative intersection with all one-dimensional strata can be expressed as an effective linear combination of divisors in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ ; for  $n = 6$  this is no longer the case, but one still maintains a control on the sign of coefficients, which is strong enough to conclude the proof of Theorem 1 in a few lines. Unfortunately, as  $n$  grows up, the combinatorial complexity of the problem explodes: indeed, even the case  $n = 7$  seems to be completely out of reach.

## 2. The tools

We are going to make essential use of the following basic facts:

LEMMA 1. (Arbarello–Cornalba) Let  $\vartheta : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,A \cup \{q\}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,P}$  be the map which associates to any  $A \cup \{q\}$ -pointed genus zero curve the  $P$ -pointed genus zero curve obtained by glueing to it a fixed  $A^c \cup \{r\}$ -pointed genus zero curve via identification of  $q$  and  $r$ . Then for every  $B \subset P$  with  $B \neq A$  and  $B \neq A^c$  we have

$$\vartheta^*(\delta_B) = \begin{cases} \delta_B & \text{if } B \subset A \text{ and } B \neq A \\ \delta_{B \setminus A^c \cup \{q\}} & \text{if } B \supset A^c \text{ and } B \neq A^c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see [1], Lemma 3.3).

LEMMA 2. (Keel) If  $a, b, c, d \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are four distinct elements, then the following relation holds in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ :

$$\sum_{\substack{a, b \in T \\ c, d \notin T}} \delta_T = \sum_{\substack{a, c \in T \\ b, d \notin T}} \delta_T$$

(see [8], (2) p. 550).

LEMMA 3. (Gibney–Keel–Morrison) Let

$$D = \sum_{|S| \geq 2} b_S \delta_S$$

be a divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$  and set  $b_S := 0$  for  $|S| = 1$ . Then  $D$  has non-negative intersection with all one-dimensional strata if and only if

$$b_{I \cup J} + b_{I \cup K} + b_{I \cup L} \geq b_I + b_J + b_K + b_L$$

for every partition  $I \cup J \cup K \cup L = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

(see [5], Theorem 2.1).

For further details, we refer the interested reader to the original papers; however, we stress that the corresponding proofs are very short and elementary.

### 3. The proofs

*Proof of Proposition 1.* From [8] it is known that  $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n})$  is a free group on  $2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1$  generators. Therefore, in order to get the claim it will be sufficient to show:

- (1)  $|\mathcal{B}_n| = 2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1$ ;
- (2) there are no linear relations among the elements of  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

We are going to check (1) by induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 4$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{B}_4$  has the right cardinality.

If  $n \geq 5$ , by inductive assumption we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_{n-1}| = 2^{n-2} - \binom{n-1}{2} - 1$$

and

$$|\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{n-2}| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-5} \binom{n-3}{k}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_n \setminus \mathcal{B}_{n-1}| &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} \binom{n-3}{k} + |\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{n-2}| = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} \left[ \binom{n-3}{k} + \binom{n-3}{k-1} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} \binom{n-2}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1 &= 2^{n-2} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k} - \binom{n-1}{2} - (n-1) - 1 = \\ &= 2^{n-2} - \binom{n-1}{2} - 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} \binom{n-2}{k} = |\mathcal{B}_n|. \end{aligned}$$

As for (2), let us argue by induction on  $n$  again.

If  $n = 4$  there is nothing to prove.

If  $n \geq 5$ , let  $\sum a_B \delta_B = 0$  be a linear relation in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . By Lemma 1 applied to  $A := P \setminus \{n, n-1\}$  we have:

$$0 = \vartheta^* \left( \sum a_B \delta_B \right) = \sum_{\delta_B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}} a_B \delta_B$$

hence by inductive assumption  $a_B = 0$  for every  $\delta_B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ . Next, by Lemma 1 applied to  $A := P \setminus \{n, n-2\}$  we have:

$$0 = \vartheta^* \left( \sum a_B \delta_B \right) = \sum_{\delta_{B^c \setminus \{n\}} \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}} a_B \delta_B$$

hence by inductive assumption  $a_B = 0$  for every  $\delta_B$  such that  $\delta_{B^c \setminus \{n\}} \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ . In order to conclude, we have only to show that the elements in  $\mathcal{B}_n$  with  $n \notin B \supseteq \{n-1, n-2\}$  are linearly independent. This fact is a direct consequence of [1], Lemma 3.9, so the proof is over.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The case  $n = 4$  is obvious. Fix  $n = 5$  and let  $D$  be a divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$  having non-negative intersection with all one-dimensional strata. Write

$$D = c_{\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\}} + c_{\{3,4\}}\delta_{\{3,4\}} + c_{\{1,5\}}\delta_{\{1,5\}} + c_{\{2,5\}}\delta_{\{2,5\}} + c_{\{1,4\}}\delta_{\{1,4\}}$$

in the basis  $\mathcal{B}_5$ . From Lemma 3 it follows that  $c_{\{2,3\}} \geq 0$  (let  $I = \{2\}$ ,  $J = \{3\}$ ,  $K = \{1\}$ ,  $L = \{4, 5\}$ );  $c_{\{3,4\}} \geq 0$  (let  $I = \{3\}$ ,  $J = \{4\}$ ,  $K = \{5\}$ ,  $L = \{1, 2\}$ );  $c_{\{1,5\}} \geq 0$  (let  $I = \{1\}$ ,  $J = \{5\}$ ,  $K = \{3\}$ ,  $L = \{2, 4\}$ );  $c_{\{2,5\}} \geq 0$  (let  $I = \{2\}$ ,  $J = \{5\}$ ,  $K = \{4\}$ ,  $L = \{1, 3\}$ );  $c_{\{1,4\}} \geq 0$  (let  $I = \{1\}$ ,  $J = \{4\}$ ,  $K = \{2\}$ ,  $L = \{3, 5\}$ ). Hence the case  $n = 5$  is over. Fix now  $n = 6$ , let  $D$  be a divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,6}$  having non-negative intersection with all one-dimensional strata and express  $D = \sum c_B \delta_B$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}_6$ . From Lemma 1 applied to  $A := P \setminus \{6, 5\}$  and to  $A := P \setminus \{6, 4\}$  as in the proof of Proposition 1 and from Lemma 3 it follows that all coefficients are non-negative, with the unique possible exception of  $\delta_{\{1,4,5\}}$ . However, if  $c_{\{1,4,5\}} < 0$ , then by applying the relation:

$$\sum_{\substack{4, 5 \in B \\ 2, 3 \notin B}} \delta_B = \sum_{\substack{2, 5 \in B \\ 3, 4 \notin B}} \delta_B$$

(see Lemma 2), we can replace  $\delta_{\{1,4,5\}}$  with  $\delta_{\{1,2,3\}}$ . If we express

$$D = \sum_{B \neq \{1,4,5\}} c'_B \delta_B + c'_{\{1,2,3\}} \delta_{\{1,2,3\}}$$

we have  $c'_B \neq c_B$  only for  $B = \{3, 4\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 3, 4\}$ ,  $B = \{2, 5\}$ , and  $B = \{1, 2, 5\}$ ; in all these cases, Lemma 1 shows that  $c'_B \geq 0$ . Since  $c_B \geq 0$  for every  $B \neq \{1, 2, 3\}$  and either  $c_{\{1,4,5\}}$  or  $c'_{\{1,2,3\}}$  is non-negative, it follows that Question 1 has a positive answer also for  $n = 6$ . □

**REMARK 1.** In the case  $n = 6$ , one may wonder whether the sign of  $c_{\{1,4,5\}}$  is actually ambiguous or not. Indeed, it is possible to construct explicit examples with  $c_{\{1,4,5\}} < 0$  (for instance, take  $c_{\{1,4,5\}} = -1$ ,  $c_{\{2,3,4\}} = c_{\{1,2,5\}} = c_{\{3,4\}} = c_{\{2,3,5\}} = c_{\{1,3,4\}} = c_{\{2,5\}} = c_{\{2,4,5\}} = c_{\{3,4,5\}} = c_{\{2,3,4,5\}} = 1$ ,  $c_{\{1,5\}} = c_{\{2,3\}} = c_{\{1,2,4,5\}} = c_{\{1,3,4,5\}} = c_{\{1,4\}} = c_{\{4,5\}} = 0$  and use Lemma 3) and with  $c_{\{1,4,5\}} > 0$  (for instance, take  $c_{\{1,4,5\}} = c_{\{4,5\}} = c_{\{2,3\}} = c_{\{2,3,4,5\}} = c_{\{2,3,4\}} = c_{\{2,3,5\}} = c_{\{2,4,5\}} = c_{\{3,4,5\}} = 1$ ,  $c_{\{1,2,5\}} = c_{\{3,4\}} = c_{\{1,3,4\}} = c_{\{2,5\}} = c_{\{1,5\}} = c_{\{1,2,4,5\}} = c_{\{1,3,4,5\}} = c_{\{1,4\}} = 0$  and use Lemma 3). We also point out that the number of indeterminate signs grows up with  $n$  (for instance, in the case  $n = 7$ , none of the coefficients  $c_{\{1,4,5\}}$ ,  $c_{\{2,3,6\}}$ ,  $c_{\{1,2,5,6\}}$ ,  $c_{\{2,5,6\}}$ ,  $c_{\{2,3,5,6\}}$ , and  $c_{\{1,4,5,6\}}$  is forced to be non-negative by Lemma 3); moreover, for  $n \geq 7$  there seems to be no uniform way to apply a relation from Lemma 2 in order to remove a negative sign without introducing any other one.

## References

- [1] ARBARELLO E. AND CORNALBA M., *Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **88** (1998), 97–127.

- [2] EISENBUD D. AND HARRIS J., *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus  $\geq 23$* , Invent. Math. **90** (1987), 359–387.
- [3] FARKAS G. AND GIBNEY A., *The Mori cones of moduli spaces of pointed curves of small genus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 1183–1199.
- [4] FARKAS G. AND POPA M., *Effective divisors on  $\bar{M}_g$ , curves on K3 surfaces and the slope conjecture*, J. Alg. Geom. (to appear).
- [5] GIBNEY A., KEEL S. AND MORRISON I., *Towards the ample cone of  $\bar{M}_{g,n}$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 273–294.
- [6] HARRIS J. AND MORRISON I., *Slopes of effective divisors on the moduli space of stable curves*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), 321–355.
- [7] HARRIS J. AND MUMFORD D., *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 23–88.
- [8] KEEL S., *Intersection theory of moduli space of stable  $n$ -pointed curves of genus zero*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), 545–574.
- [9] KEEL S. AND MCKERNAN J., *Contractible extremal rays on  $\bar{M}_{0,n}$* , preprint alg-geom/9607009 (1996).
- [10] SEVERI F., *Sulla classificazione delle curve algebriche e sul teorema di esistenza di Riemann*, Rend. R. Acc. Naz. Lincei **24** (5) (1915), 877–888.
- [11] VERMEIRE P., *A Counterexample to Fulton’s Conjecture on  $\bar{M}_{0,n}$* , J. Algebra **248** (2002), 780–784.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14H10, 14E20, 14N10.

Claudio FONTANARI, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24,  
10129 Torino, ITALIA  
e-mail: claudio.fontanari@polito.it