

A. Sandovici

A SMOOTH GAUGE MODEL ON TANGENT BUNDLE

Abstract. The tangent manifold TM of a smooth, paracompact manifold M , fibered over M by the natural projection π , carries an integrable distribution $\ker\pi_*$, called vertical distribution. If one takes a supplementary distribution of it, called horizontal, an almost complex structure F_S appears. One endows the vertical distribution with a Riemannian metric γ . Then γ can be prolonged to a Riemannian metric G_S on TM such that the pair (F_S, G_S) becomes an almost Hermitian structure.

In this paper some deformations of F_S and G_S are proposed and new almost Hermitian structures are determined. With respect to some gauge objects, some properties of these structures are pointed out. For a smooth gauge geometrical model determined by one of these almost Hermitian structures, the general form of the corresponding Einstein-Yang Mills equations is obtained.

1. Introduction

In the last century, a lot of geometrical models for gravitational and electromagnetic theories have been proposed. Especially, there are some Riemannian, Finslerian or, more general, Lagrangian geometrical models which live on the tangent manifold TM of a smooth, finite-dimensional and paracompact manifold M [1, 4, 10, 13, 14, 22]. The differential geometry of the Lagrange spaces is now considerably developed and used in various fields to study the natural processes where the dependence of position, velocity or momentum are involved. The geometry of Lagrange spaces gives few models for both the gravitational and electromagnetic fields in a very natural blending of the geometrical structure of the space with the characteristic properties of the physical fields [15, 18, 19, 22, 23].

In this paper a new gauge geometrical model on the total space of the tangent bundle is proposed. This model appears in a natural way from the study of some almost Hermitian structures on TM .

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 some natural geometrical objects, as the vertical distribution, almost tangent structure, nonlinear connection, Lagrange metric, d-connection and almost Hermitian structure are presented. The next section deals with some gauge objects on TM . The set of all gauge d-connections is characterized in Theorem 2. In Lagrangian approach, the EYM equations are some tensorial equations which are obtained from a variational problem associated to a complete Lagrangian. A first form of these equations is obtained in Section 4. In the next two sections a class of locally conformal Kähler structures on tangent manifold is pointed out. This class is found in a study of a deformed Sasaki metric. Also, the problem of d-connections compatible with this class of almost Hermitian structures is solved. Moreover, from these investigations two remarkable geometrical models on TM appear. One of them, called the homogeneous model has been intensively studied in [20]. The other is used in the last section in order to obtain a general form of EYM equations.

2. Preliminaries

As the Lagrange geometry is a part of the geometry of the tangent bundle of a manifold M , some natural geometrical objects, as the vertical distribution and the almost tangent structure, that live in TM will be presented first. Also, an important tool in the geometry of the tangent bundle is the nonlinear connection.

2.1. Nonlinear connection on tangent manifold

Let M be a real n -dimensional manifold of C^∞ -class. Denote by (TM, π, M) the tangent bundle of the base manifold M and by (T_0M, π, M) , the tangent bundle with the null cross-section removed. For every point $p \in M$, there exist local charts $(U, \phi = (x^i))$ on $p \in M$ and $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ on $u \in \pi^{-1}(p) \subset TM$ such that with respect to these, the canonical submersion π has the equation $\pi : (x^i, y^i) \in \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow (x^i) \in U$. The local charts on TM of the form $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ are called induced local charts, (y^i) are coordinates of vectors $y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p$ from T_pM , and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p$ is the natural basis of T_pM .

Denote by π_* the linear map induced by the canonical submersion $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$. As for every $u \in TM$, $\pi_{*,u} : T_uTM \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)}M$ is an epimorphism, then the kernel determines a n -dimensional distribution $V : u \in TM \rightarrow V_uTM = \ker \pi_{*,u} \subset T_uTM$. This distribution will be called the vertical distribution of the tangent bundle. In fact this is the tangent space to the natural foliation induced by the submersion π . Consequently, the vertical distribution is integrable. The natural basis of T_uTM induced by a local chart $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ at u is denoted by $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_u \right\}$. It follows that $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_u \right\}$ is a basis of V_uTM .

For every $u \in TM$ there exists the linear map $J_u : T_uTM \rightarrow T_uTM$, $J_u = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}|_u \otimes dx^i|_u$. It is called the almost tangent structure of the tangent bundle or the vertical endomorphism and it has two important properties $J_u^2 = 0$ and $\text{Ker} J_u = \text{Im} J_u = V_uTM$. Let $\mathcal{F}(TM)$ and $\mathcal{X}(TM)$ be the ring of C^∞ -functions over TM and the $\mathcal{F}(TM)$ -module of vector field over TM , respectively. With respect to the usual Poisson brackets, $\mathcal{X}(TM)$ is a real Lie algebra. Having this aspect in mind, the almost tangent structure J may be taught as an $\mathcal{F}(TM)$ -linear map $J : \mathcal{X}(TM) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(TM)$ with the local expression $J = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i$.

A nonlinear connection on TM is a n -dimensional distribution $HTM : u \in TM \rightarrow H_uTM \subset T_uTM$ that is supplementary to the vertical distribution, which means that the direct sum

$$(1) \quad T_uTM = H_uTM \oplus V_uTM, \quad \forall u \in TM,$$

holds. As $\pi_{*,u} : T_uTM \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)}M$ is an epimorphism for every $u \in TM$, then the restriction of it to H_uTM determines an isomorphism between H_uTM and $T_{\pi(u)}M$. The inverse map of this isomorphism is denoted by $l_{h,u} : T_{\pi(u)}M \rightarrow H_uTM$ and it is called the horizontal lift induced by the given nonlinear connection HTM . If

an induced local chart $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ at $u \in TM$ is fixed, then because of $\pi_{*,u} \circ l_{h,u} = \text{Id}_{H_u TM}$ it follows that

$$l_{h,u} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} |_{\pi(u)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} |_u - N_i^j(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} |_u =: \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} |_u.$$

The functions N_i^j are defined over $\pi^{-1}(U)$ and are called the local coefficients of the nonlinear connection HTM .

For every $u \in TM$ and a local chart $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ at u there exists now a basis $\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} |_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} |_u \right\}$ of $T_u TM$ adapted to the decomposition (1). This basis will be called the adapted basis of the given nonlinear connection. If a change of induced local charts from

$$\left(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i) \right) \quad \text{to} \quad \left(\pi^{-1}(V), \psi = (x'^i, y'^i) \right)$$

is performed

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} x'^i = x'^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), & \text{rank}\left(\frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^k}\right) = n, \\ y'^i = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^j} \cdot y^j, \end{cases}$$

then the corresponding adapted basis and the local coefficients of the nonlinear connection are related as follows

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= \frac{\partial x'^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta x'^j}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} &= \frac{\partial x'^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^j}, \quad \text{rank}\left(\frac{\partial x'^j}{\partial x^i}\right) = n, \\ N_i^p \frac{\partial x'^j}{\partial x^p} &= \frac{\partial x'^p}{\partial x^i} N_p^j + \frac{\partial y'^j}{\partial x^i}. \end{aligned}$$

At every point $u \in TM$, $T_u^* TM$ stands for the cotangent space at u to TM , that is the dual space of $T_u TM$ over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} . Then

$$\left\{ dx^i |_u, \delta y^i |_u = dy^i |_u + N_j^i(u) dx^j |_u \right\},$$

is a basis of $T_u^* TM$, that is called the adapted cobasis of the nonlinear connection (in fact it is the dual of the adapted basis).

An important geometrical object for a nonlinear connection HTM is the map $\theta : \mathcal{X}(TM) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(TM)$, locally given by

$$\theta = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes \delta y^i.$$

The linear map θ is globally defined and it has the properties $\theta^2 = 0$, $\text{Ker}\theta = \text{Im}\theta = HTM$. The maps $h_u = \theta_u \circ J_u$ and $v_u = J_u \circ \theta_u$ are the horizontal and the vertical projectors that correspond to the Whitney sum (1).

2.2. Generalized Lagrange metrics

A generalized Lagrange metric (or a GL-metric for short) is a metric g on the vertical subbundle VTM of the tangent space TM . This means that for every $u \in TM$, $g_u : V_u TM \times V_u TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bilinear, symmetric, of rank n and of constant signature. A pair $GL^n = (M, g)$, with a GL-metric is called a generalized Lagrange space, or a GL-space for short.

If $(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi = (x^i, y^i))$ is an induced local chart at $u = (x, y) \in TM$, then the notation $g_{ij}(u) = g_u \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} |u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} |u \right)$ will be used from now on. Therefore a GL-metric may be given by a set of functions $g_{ij}(x, y)$ such that the following three properties are verified

1. $\text{rank}(g_{ij}) = n$, $g_{ij}(x, y) = g_{ji}(x, y)$;
2. The quadratic form $g_{ij}(x, y)\xi^i\xi^j$ has constant signature on TM ;
3. If another local chart $(\pi^{-1}(V), \psi = (x'^i, y'^i))$ at $u \in TM$ is given and $g'_{kh}(x', y') = g_u \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y'^k} |u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^h} |u \right)$ then g_{ij} and g'_{kh} are related by

$$(4) \quad g_{ij} = \frac{\partial x'^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x'^h}{\partial x^j} g'_{kh}.$$

A tensor field of (r, s) -type on TM whose components transform under a change of local coordinates on TM like the components of a tensor field of the same type on the base manifold M is called a distinguished tensor field, or for short a d-tensor field. For instance, from (4) it follows that a GL-metric is a d-tensor field of $(0, 2)$ -type.

Two important particular cases of GL-metrics will be mentioned bellow, namely Finsler and Lagrange metrics. A Finsler metric on TM is a function $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties

1. F is a positive function of C^∞ -class on T_0M and only continuous on the null cross-section of the tangent bundle;
2. F is positively homogeneous of degree one on T_0M with respect to the velocity y^i ;
3. The matrix with the entries

$$(5) \quad g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j},$$

has rank n on T_0M and the quadratic form $g_{ij}(x, y)\xi^i\xi^j$ has constant signature on T_0M .

A Finsler space is a pair $F^n = (M, F)$ with F a Finsler metric. The tensor field with the components given by (5) is called the metric tensor of the Finsler space F^n . Denote by g^{jk} the local components of the inverse matrix of g_{ij} (that means $g_{ij}g^{jk} = \delta_i^k$).

If the homogeneity condition 2° is not asked, then $L := F^2$ is called a Lagrange metric and the pair (M, L) is called a Lagrange space. The geometry of these spaces was intensively studied by R. Miron and M. Anastasiei in [17].

2.3. Almost Hermitian structures on TM

Let I be the identity tensor field of $(1, 1)$ -type on TM . An almost complex structure is a tensor field F of $(1, 1)$ -type on TM such that $F^2 = -I$. In the geometry of the tangent bundle there exists a special almost complex structure, which in the adapted basis $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$, is given by

$$(6) \quad F_S\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad F_S\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right) = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}.$$

Let G be a metrical structure and F be an almost complex structure on TM , respectively. A pair (G, F) on TM is said to be an almost Hermitian structure if the 2-form $\Omega(X, Y) := G(FX, Y)$ is closed and the following identity holds

$$(7) \quad G(FX, FY) = G(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(TM).$$

For any almost complex structure F its Nijenhuis tensor field is given by

$$N_F(X, Y) = [FX, FY] - F[FX, Y] - F[X, FY] - [X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \chi(TM).$$

An almost Hermitian structure is called locally conformal almost Kähler structure if there exists a 1-form θ such that $d\Omega = \Omega \wedge \theta$ and it is called almost Kähler structure if $d\Omega = 0$. A locally conformal almost Kähler structure F is called locally conformal Kähler structure if its Nijenhuis tensor field N_F is zero.

2.4. d-connections

A distinguished linear connection on TM (a d-connection for short) is a linear connection D on TM which preserves by parallelism the horizontal distribution H and the vertical distribution V , respectively. Working in the adapted basis $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$, the local

coefficients $D\Gamma = \left(\begin{matrix} (H)^i & (V)^i \\ F_{jk} & F_{jk} \\ C_{jk} & C_{jk} \end{matrix} \right)$ of a d-connection on TM are as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = F_{jk} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = C_{jk} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} = F_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} = C_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}. \end{array} \right.$$

Every d-connection on TM is characterized by its local coefficients $D\Gamma = \left(\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F_{jk} \\ (V)^i \\ (H)^i \\ (V)^i \end{matrix} \right) (F_{jk}, C_{jk}, C_{jk})$. With respect to (2), these local coefficients are some real functions

on TM such that the following laws of transformations

$${}^{(H)}F'_{jk} = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k} {}^{(H)}F_{qr} - \frac{\partial^2 x'^i}{\partial x^q \partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k},$$

$${}^{(V)}F'_{jk} = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k} {}^{(V)}F_{qr} - \frac{\partial^2 x'^i}{\partial x^q \partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k},$$

$${}^{(H)}C'_{jk} = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k} {}^{(H)}C_{qr},$$

$${}^{(V)}C'_{jk} = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial x'^k} {}^{(V)}C_{qr},$$

hold. Every vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ can be decomposed as $X = hX + vX$ such that, in local adapted coordinates $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$, the identities $hX = X^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$, $vX = \dot{X}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, hold. Every d-connection D on TM determines an algorithm of h-covariant derivative and an algorithm of v-covariant derivative in the algebra of d-tensor fields on M . Conversely, having two algorithms of h- and v-covariant derivatives, there exists a unique d-connection on TM which generates exactly these derivative algorithms. The theory of such covariant derivatives has been developed in [17]. For instance, if $K_{pq}^{ij}(x, y)$ is a d-tensor field for which the first indices are of horizontality and the last indices are of verticality, then its h-covariant derivative is given by

$$K_{pq|k}^{ij} = \frac{\delta K_{pq}^{ij}}{\delta x^k} + {}^{(H)}F_{hk} K_{pq}^{hj} + {}^{(V)}F_{hk} K_{pq}^{ih} - {}^{(H)}F_{pk} K_{hq}^{ij} - {}^{(V)}F_{qk} K_{ph}^{ij},$$

and its v-covariant derivative is as follows

$$K_{pq}^{ij}|_k = \frac{\partial K_{pq}^{ij}}{\partial y^k} + {}^{(H)}C_{hk} K_{pq}^{hj} + {}^{(V)}C_{hk} K_{pq}^{ih} - {}^{(H)}C_{pk} K_{hq}^{ij} - {}^{(V)}C_{qk} K_{ph}^{ij}.$$

As usual, the torsion of a d-connection D on TM is determined by the formula

$$T(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM).$$

Moreover, in the adapted basis $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$, the torsion tensor field T can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right) &= T_{jk}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + R_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \\ T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right) &= P_{jk}^{(H)i} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + P_{jk}^{(V)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \\ T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right) &= S_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jk}^i &= F_{jk}^{(H)i} - F_{kj}^{(H)i}, & R_{jk}^i &= \frac{\delta N_j^i}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta N_k^i}{\delta x^j}, \\ P_{jk}^{(H)i} &= C_{jk}^{(H)i} & P_{jk}^{(V)i} &= \frac{\partial N_j^i}{\partial y^k} - F_{kj}^{(V)i}, \\ S_{jk}^i &= C_{jk}^{(V)i} - C_{kj}^{(V)i}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the curvature of a d-connection D on TM is determined by the usual formula

$$R(X, Y)Z = D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \chi(TM).$$

In the adapted basis $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$ the curvature R has 16 local components but only 6 are essential, namely

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^h} &= R_{hjk}^{(H)i}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, & R\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^h} &= R_{hjk}^{(V)i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \\ R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^h} &= P_{hjk}^{(H)i}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, & R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^h} &= P_{hjk}^{(V)i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \\ R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^h} &= S_{hjk}^{(H)i}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, & R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^h} &= S_{hjk}^{(V)i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}. \end{aligned}$$

The essential local components of the curvature tensor field of a d-connection D on TM are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{hjk}^{(H)i} &= \frac{\delta F_{hj}^{(H)i}}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta F_{hk}^{(H)i}}{\delta x^j} + F_{hj}^{(H)m} F_{mk}^{(H)i} - F_{hk}^{(H)m} F_{mj}^{(H)i} + R_{jk}^m C_{hm}^{(H)i}, \\ R_{hjk}^{(V)i} &= \frac{\delta F_{hj}^{(V)i}}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta F_{hk}^{(V)i}}{\delta x^j} + F_{hj}^{(V)m} F_{mk}^{(V)i} - F_{hk}^{(V)m} F_{mj}^{(V)i} + R_{jk}^m C_{hm}^{(V)i}, \\ P_{hjk}^{(H)i} &= \frac{\partial F_{hj}^{(H)i}}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\delta C_{hk}^{(H)i}}{\delta x^j} + F_{hj}^{(H)m} C_{mk}^{(H)i} - C_{hk}^{(H)m} F_{mj}^{(H)i} \\ &\quad + \left(P_{jk}^{(V)m} + F_{kj}^{(V)m}\right) C_{hm}^{(H)i}, \\ P_{hjk}^{(V)i} &= \frac{\partial F_{hj}^{(V)i}}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\delta C_{hk}^{(V)i}}{\delta x^j} + F_{hj}^{(V)m} C_{mk}^{(V)i} - C_{hk}^{(V)m} F_{mj}^{(V)i} \\ &\quad + \left(P_{jk}^{(H)m} + F_{kj}^{(H)m}\right) C_{hm}^{(V)i}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{hjk}^{(H)i} &= \frac{\partial C_{hj}^{(H)i}}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial C_{hk}^{(H)i}}{\partial y^j} + C_{hj}^{(H)m} C_{mk}^{(H)i} - C_{hk}^{(H)m} C_{mj}^{(H)i}, \\ S_{hjk}^{(V)i} &= \frac{\partial C_{hj}^{(V)i}}{\partial y^k} - \frac{\partial C_{hk}^{(V)i}}{\partial y^j} + C_{hj}^{(V)m} C_{mk}^{(V)i} - C_{hk}^{(V)m} C_{mj}^{(V)i}. \end{aligned}$$

2.5. Metrical structure on TM

Let N be a fixed nonlinear connection on TM . A h-metrical structure on TM is a d-tensor field $hG = g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j$ with $g_{ij}(x, y) = g_{ji}(x, y)$, $\det(g_{ij}(x, y)) \neq 0$ and such that the quadratic form $g_{ij}X^iX^j$ has constant signature. Furthermore, a v-metrical structure on TM is a d-tensor field $vG = h_{ij}(x, y)\delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j$ with $h_{ij}(x, y) = h_{ji}(x, y)$, $\det(h_{ij}(x, y)) \neq 0$ and such that the quadratic form $h_{ij}X^iX^j$ has constant signature. A (h,v)-metrical structure on TM is a tensor field $G = hG + vG$ such that hG is a h-metrical structure and vG is a v-metrical structure on TM , respectively. Thus G can be written as

$$G = g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j + h_{ij}(x, y)\delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

A d-connection D on TM is called compatible with the metrical structure G if $D_X G = 0$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}(TM)$. The next result can be found in [17]. It is mentioned here because it will be used in the next section.

THEOREM 1. *The d-connection D on TM having the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N) = (F_{jk}^{(H)i}, F_{jk}^{(V)i}, C_{jk}^{(H)i}, C_{jk}^{(V)i})$ as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{jk}^{(H,c)i} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ip} \left(\frac{\delta g_{pj}}{\delta x^k} + \frac{\delta g_{pk}}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta g_{jk}}{\delta x^p} \right), \\ F_{jk}^{(V,c)i} &= \frac{\partial N_k^i}{\partial y^j} + \frac{1}{2}h^{ip} \left(\frac{\delta h_{jp}}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\partial N_k^q}{\partial y^j} h_{qp} - \frac{\partial N_k^q}{\partial y^p} h_{jq} \right), \\ C_{jk}^{(H,c)i} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ip} \frac{\partial g_{jp}}{\partial y^k}, \\ C_{jk}^{(V,c)i} &= \frac{1}{2}h^{ip} \left(\frac{\partial h_{pj}}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial h_{pk}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial y^p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

is compatible with the metrical structure G and depends only on the nonlinear connection N and on the metrical structure G .

The d-connection which has been determined in Theorem 1 will be called the canonical connection of the metrical structure G and will be denoted by $C\Gamma(N)$. The

structures hG and vG generate two operators (called the Obata operators) which have the following local coefficients

$$\Omega_{rj}^{ih} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_r^i \delta_j^h - g_{rj} g^{ih}), \quad \Theta_{rj}^{ih} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_r^i \delta_j^h - h_{rj} h^{ih}).$$

COROLLARY 1. *The set of all d -connections compatible with metrical structure G is given as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{(H)}{F}_{jk} &= \overset{(H,c)}{F}_{jk} + \Omega_{rj}^{ih} X_{hk}^r, & \overset{(V)}{F}_{jk} &= \overset{(V,c)}{F}_{jk} + \Theta_{rj}^{ih} Y_{hk}^r, \\ \overset{(H)}{C}_{jk} &= \overset{(H,c)}{C}_{jk} + \Omega_{rj}^{ih} U_{hk}^r, & \overset{(V)}{C}_{jk} &= \overset{(V,c)}{C}_{jk} + \Theta_{rj}^{ih} V_{hk}^r, \end{aligned}$$

where $X_{hk}^r, Y_{hk}^r, U_{hk}^r$ and V_{hk}^r are arbitrary d -tensor fields.

3. Gauge objects on TM

The basic ideas for a geometrical point of view concerning the gauge theories on TM can be found in the papers of Asanov [3, 4]. After that many authors used this approach for the development of some physical theories having TM as geometrical model (see for instance [1, 12, 13, 19]). This section is devoted to a Lagrangian gauge theory on the total space of the tangent bundle.

3.1. Gauge transformations

Let $\zeta = (TM, \pi, M)$ be the tangent bundle of a finite-dimensional ($\dim M = n$), paracompact, smooth real manifold M . A gauge transformation on ζ is a pair of diffeomorphisms (f_1, f_2) , $f_1 : TM \rightarrow TM$, $f_2 : M \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & f_1 \\ TM & \dashrightarrow & TM \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \dashrightarrow & M \\ & & f_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Using the local coordinates, a gauge transformation can be given as

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = X^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), & \text{rank}(\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^k}) = n, \\ \tilde{y}^i = Y^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n), & \text{rank}(\frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial y^k}) = n, \end{cases}$$

Since f_1 and f_2 are globally defined, the next compatibility condition follows from (2) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}^i (X^k(x^1, \dots, x^n)) &= X^i(x'^k(x^1, \dots, x^n)), \\ \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^j} (X^k(x^1, \dots, x^n)) Y^j(x, y) &= Y^i(x'^k(x), \frac{\partial x'^k}{\partial x^j} \cdot y^j), \end{aligned}$$

where X^i, Y^i are the local coordinates of (f_1, f_2) in a local chart

$$\left(\pi^{-1}(U), (x^i, y^i) \right),$$

and X'^i, Y'^i are the local coordinates of the same gauge transformation but with respect to the local chart

$$\left(\pi^{-1}(U'), (x'^i, y'^i) \right),$$

such that $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$. Let X_j^i be $\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}$ and Y_j^i be $\frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial y^j}$. The notations X_k^{-j}, Y_k^{-j} stand for the entries of the inverse of the matrices having the entries X_j^i and Y_j^i , respectively. Let $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)$ be the natural basis of $T_u TM$, $u \in TM$ with respect to the local coordinates (x^i, y^i) . Concerning (2), the local components of the natural basis are transformed as

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^i} = \frac{\partial x'^k}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^k} + \frac{\partial^2 x'^k}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^k}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^i} = \frac{\partial y'^j}{\partial y^i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^j}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, (8) and (2) lead to the following law of transformation

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^i} = X_i^k \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^k} + \frac{\partial Y^k}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^k}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^i} = Y_i^j(x, y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^j}, \end{cases}$$

which shows the link between the natural bases $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)$ and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^i} \right)$.

A d-tensor field on TM is completely determined by a set of real functions $W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{\dots i \dots a \dots}$ defined on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ which with respect to (2) have the following law of transformation

$$W_{\dots k \dots d \dots}^{\dots h \dots c \dots}(x', y') = \dots \frac{\partial x'^h}{\partial x^i} \cdot \dots \frac{\partial x'^c}{\partial x^a} \cdot \dots \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^k} \cdot \dots \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x'^d} \cdot \dots \cdot W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{\dots i \dots a \dots}(x, y).$$

Moreover, a d-tensor field given by its local components $\left(W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{\dots i \dots a \dots} \right)$ is called a gauge d-tensor field if with respect to (8), the following law of transformation

$$\tilde{W}_{\dots k \dots d \dots}^{\dots h \dots c \dots}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \dots \cdot X_i^h \cdot \dots \cdot Y_a^c \cdot \dots \cdot X_k^{-j} \cdot \dots \cdot Y_d^{-b} \cdot \dots \cdot W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{\dots i \dots a \dots}(x, y),$$

holds. It follows from (9) and (10) that $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)$ are gauge d-vector fields. A scalar function $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a gauge scalar if the following identities $L'(x', y') = L(x, y)$, $\tilde{L}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = L(x, y)$ hold true. It is important to remark that

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}$ is a gauge d-tensor field of (0,1)-type;

2. In general $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is a d-tensor field but is not a gauge d-tensor field;
3. In general $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ is a d-vector field but is not a gauge d-vector field.

The above remarks lead to the necessity of some gauge covariant derivative operators. Before they will be introduced, some important gauge transformations - which are used in physics - are presented below.

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = x^i, \\ \tilde{y}^i = Y^i(x, y), \quad \text{rank}\left(\frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial y^j}\right) = n. \end{cases}$$

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = X^i(x), \\ \tilde{y}^i = Y_j^i(x) \cdot y^j. \end{cases}$$

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = x^i, \\ \tilde{y}^i = Y_j^i(x) \cdot y^j. \end{cases}$$

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = X^i(x), \\ \tilde{y}^i = \varphi(x) \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y^j, \end{cases}$$

where φ is a real function on M . Also, the homotheties of ζ are gauge transformations

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = x^i, \\ \tilde{y}^i = \lambda \cdot y^i, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

REMARK 1. The set of all gauge transformations on TM together with the usual law of composition form a group structure.

3.2. Gauge covariant derivatives

As have seen before, if the tangent bundle is endowed with a nonlinear connection, then two operators can be defined, namely h- and v- covariant derivatives, which transform every tensor field of (p,q)-type in a tensor field of (p,q+1)-type. More precisely, the algebra of d-tensor fields is closed with respect to these two operators. The aim of this subsection is to obtain some conditions concerning these operators such that their actions preserve the gauge character of d-tensor fields.

Let N be a nonlinear connection in ζ which is given by its local coefficients $(N_j^i(x, y))$. With respect to (2) these coefficients verify (3). Let L be a gauge scalar.

It is easy to see that $\frac{\delta L}{\delta x^i}$ este un d-covector field which have gauge character if and only if

$$(16) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = X_i^k \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^k},$$

where

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} - \tilde{N}_i^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}^j}.$$

Here \tilde{N}_i^j is just N_i^j calculated in the point (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) . Moreover, it follows that the next identity

$$(17) \quad \tilde{N}_j^i(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \cdot X_k^j(x) = Y_j^i(x, y) \cdot N_k^j(x, y) - \frac{\partial Y^i(x, y)}{\partial x^k},$$

must be satisfied. A nonlinear connection generated by a set of functions $(N_i^j(x, y))$ which satisfy (3) and (17) is called a gauge nonlinear connection on TM .

Let $D\Gamma = ({}^{(H)}F_{jk}, {}^{(V)}F_{jk}, {}^{(H)}C_{jk}, {}^{(V)}C_{jk})$ be a d-connection on TM . Denoting $\tilde{F}_{jk}^i = {}^{(H)}F_{jk}^i(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ and so on for $\tilde{F}_{jk}^i, \tilde{C}_{jk}^i, \tilde{C}_{jk}^i$, respectively, the following laws of transformations will be imposed with respect to (8)

$$(18) \quad \tilde{F}_{jk}^i = X_h^i \cdot X_j^{-l} \cdot X_k^{-m} \cdot F_{lm}^{(H)h} - X_j^{-m} \cdot X_k^{-l} \cdot \frac{\partial X_l^i}{\partial x^m},$$

$$(19) \quad \tilde{F}_{jk}^i = Y_h^i \cdot Y_j^{-l} \cdot Y_k^{-m} \cdot F_{lm}^{(V)h} - Y_j^{-p} \cdot Y_k^{-q} \cdot \frac{\delta Y_p^i}{\delta x^q},$$

$$(20) \quad \tilde{C}_{jk}^i = X_r^i \cdot X_j^{-p} \cdot Y_k^{-q} \cdot C_{pq}^{(H)r},$$

$$(21) \quad \tilde{C}_{jk}^i = Y_r^i \cdot Y_j^{-p} \cdot Y_k^{-q} \cdot C_{pq}^{(V)r} - Y_j^{-p} \cdot Y_k^{-q} \cdot \frac{\partial Y_p^i}{\partial y^q}.$$

A d-connection $D\Gamma = ({}^{(H)}F_{jk}, {}^{(V)}F_{jk}, {}^{(H)}C_{jk}, {}^{(V)}C_{jk})$ for which the laws (18)-(21) hold is called a gauge d-connection on TM .

REMARK 2. 1. Using a direct computation, it is easy to see that the torsion and curvature tensor fields associated to a gauge d-connection have gauge character, too;

2. With respect to the gauge transformation (12), the Liouville vector field $\Gamma = y^i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ is a gauge d-vector field. Therefore, the corresponding deflection tensor fields have a gauge character, too;
3. The notations $d_k W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{i \dots a \dots}$ and $D_k W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{i \dots a \dots}$ stand for h- and v- covariant derivatives of a gauge d-tensor field $W_{\dots j \dots b \dots}^{i \dots a \dots}$, respectively.

3.3. Gauge d-metrical connections

Assume that the nonlinear connection $N = (N_j^i(x, y))$ lives on TM . All gauge objects in this subsection are with respect to the gauge transformation (12). A gauge d-tensor field $g_{ij}(x, y)$ symmetric, nondegenerate ($\det g_{ij}(x, y) \neq 0$) and such that the quadratic form $g_{ij}(x, y) \zeta^i \zeta^j$ has constant signature is called a gauge h-metrical structure on TM . A gauge d-tensor field $h_{ij}(x, y)$ having similar properties with those of $g_{ij}(x, y)$ is called a gauge v-metrical structure on TM . A pair $(g_{ij}(x, y), h_{ij}(x, y))$, where $g_{ij}(x, y)$ is a gauge h-metrical structure and $h_{ij}(x, y)$ is a gauge v-metrical structure is called a gauge (h,v)-metrical structure on TM .

A gauge d-connection $D\Gamma = (F_{jk}^{(H)^i}, F_{jk}^{(V)^i}, C_{jk}^{(H)^i}, C_{jk}^{(V)^i})$ is called (h,v)-metrical, or simply metric if the following relations

$$d_k g_{ij} = 0, \quad D_k g_{ij} = 0, \quad d_k h_{ij} = 0, \quad D_k h_{ij} = 0,$$

hold true. Moreover, there exist some remarkable gauge d-connections on TM . Indeed, the d-connection $D\Gamma = (F_{jk}^{(B)}, \frac{\partial N_j^i}{\partial y^k}, 0, C_{jk}^{(V,c)^i})$, where $F_{jk}^{(H,c)^i}$ and $C_{jk}^{(V,c)^i}$ are given by Theorem 1 is a gauge one. This gauge d-connection has some "regular" properties

$$d_k g_{ij} = 0, \quad D_k h_{ij} = 0, \quad F_{jk}^{(H,c)^i} = F_{kj}^{(H,c)^i}, \quad C_{jk}^{(V,c)^i} = C_{kj}^{(V,c)^i}.$$

The d-connection which appear in Theorem 1 is a gauge d-connection and depends only on the nonlinear connection N and on the metrical structures $g_{ij}(x, y), h_{ij}(x, y)$. Its h(hh)- and v(vv)- torsions are zero. Concerning the general form of the gauge d-connections, a result will be proved below

THEOREM 2. *The set of all gauge d-connections $D\Gamma = (F_{jk}^{(H)^i}, F_{jk}^{(V)^i}, C_{jk}^{(H)^i}, C_{jk}^{(V)^i})$ on TM are those from Corollary 1 such that the gauge d-tensor fields $(X_{jk}^i, Y_{jk}^i, U_{jk}^i, V_{jk}^i)$ verify the following identities*

$$(22) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{rj}^{ip} \cdot \tilde{X}_{pk}^r = X_h^i \cdot X_j^{-l} \cdot X_k^{-m} \cdot \Omega_{rl}^{hp} \cdot X_{pm}^r,$$

$$(23) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{rj}^{ip} \cdot \tilde{U}_{pk}^r = X_h^i \cdot X_j^{-l} \cdot Y_k^{-m} \cdot \Omega_{rl}^{hp} \cdot U_{pm}^r,$$

$$(24) \quad \tilde{\Theta}_{rj}^{ip} \cdot \tilde{Y}_{pk}^r = Y_h^i \cdot \tilde{Y}_j^{-l} \cdot \tilde{X}_k^{-m} \cdot \Theta_{rl}^{hp} \cdot Y_{pm}^r,$$

$$(25) \quad \tilde{\Theta}_{rj}^{ip} \cdot \tilde{V}_{pk}^r = Y_h^i \cdot \tilde{Y}_j^{-l} \cdot \tilde{Y}_k^{-m} \cdot \Theta_{rl}^{hp} \cdot V_{pm}^r.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that $(F_{jk}^{(H)^i}, F_{jk}^{(V)^i}, C_{jk}^{(H)^i}, C_{jk}^{(V)^i})$ are the local coefficients of a metrical d-connection. It remains to prove the gauge character of these coefficients. Applying (18) for $\tilde{F}_{jk}^{(H,c)^i}$ it follows that

$$(26) \quad \tilde{F}_{jk}^{(H,c)^i} = X_h^i \cdot \tilde{X}_j^{-l} \cdot \tilde{X}_k^{-m} \cdot F_{lm}^{(H,c)^h} - \tilde{X}_j^{-l} \cdot \tilde{X}_k^{-m} \cdot \frac{\partial X_l^i}{\partial x^m}.$$

The identity (18) follows by adding (22) and (26). In the same manner the proof of gauge character for $F_{jk}^{(V)^i}, C_{jk}^{(H)^i}, C_{jk}^{(V)^i}$ can be done. This final remark completes the proof. \square

4. Einstein - Yang Mills equations

In Lagrangian approach, the Einstein - Yang Mills equations (brifly EYM equations) are some tensorial equations which are obtained from a variational problem associated to a complete Lagrangian determined by torsion and curvature tensors fields of a gauge d-connection D on TM .

As usual, the tangent bundle is endowed with a gauge nonlinear connection N . Furthermore, assume that on TM live a gauge (h,v)-metric structure and a gauge d-connection. The next partial Lagrangians are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= T_{ijk} \cdot T^{ijk}, & L_2 &= R_{ijk} \cdot R^{ijk}, & L_3 &= P_{ijk}^{(H)} \cdot P^{(H)^{ijk}}, \\ L_4 &= P_{ijk}^{(V)} \cdot P^{(V)^{ijk}}, & L_5 &= S_{ijk} \cdot S^{ijk}, \\ L_{11} &= R_{ij}^{ij}, & L_{12} &= R_{ijkl}^{(V)} \cdot R^{ijkl(V)}, & L_{13} &= P_{ijkl}^{(H)} \cdot P^{(H)^{ijkl}}, \\ L_{14} &= P_{ijkl}^{(V)} \cdot P^{(V)^{ijkl}}, & L_{15} &= S_{ijkl}^{(H)} \cdot S^{(H)^{ijkl}}, & L_{16} &= S_{ij}^{ij}, \\ L_{21} &= R_{ijkl}^{(H)} \cdot R^{(H)^{ijkl}}, & L_{22} &= S_{ijkl}^{(V)} \cdot S^{(V)^{ijkl}}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, a complete Lagrangian is given as

$$L = \sum_{k \in I} n_k \cdot L_k, \quad n_k \in R, \quad k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22\}.$$

Since this Lagrangian L is a gauge scalar, a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = L \cdot G$ ($G = [\det(g_{ij}(x, y))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot [\det(h_{ij}(x, y))]^{\frac{1}{2}}$) can be associated with it. This \mathcal{L} depends on a set of gauge fields

$$(27) \quad \Phi \in \left\{ g_{ij}, h_{ij}, N_j^i, \overset{(H)}{F}_{jk}, \overset{(V)}{F}_{jk}, \overset{(H)}{C}_{jk}, \overset{(V)}{C}_{jk} \right\}.$$

>From now on the gauge transformation (12) is implied. With respect to the gauge fields (27), the solutions of the variational problem $\delta \int \mathcal{L} d^n x d^n y = 0$ are as follows

$$(28) \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \Phi} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^j} \right)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y^j} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi} = 0.$$

Moreover, the Lagrangian derivatives $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \Phi}$ have gauge character.

REMARK 3. 1. For an arbitrary gauge d-connection, the form of EYM equations has been obtained by Balan in [5];

2. The particular case $\overset{(H)}{C}_{jk} = 0, n_{21} = n_{22} = n_3 = n_{15} = 0$ has been studied in [8];
3. In [4] Asanov has solved EYM equations for the Finslerian gauge approach of the gravitational field having spherical symmetries;
4. The case of the generalized Lagrange metric $g_{ij}(x, y) = e^{2\sigma(x, y)} \cdot \gamma_{ij}(x)$ has been studied in [6] from a gauge point of view.

5. Some almost Hermitian structures on TM

A set of locally conformal Kähler structures on tangent manifold TM of a smooth, finite-dimensional manifold M is pointed out. This is found in a study of Sasaki metric whose the terms are special deformations of a Riemannian metric on M . Introducing an adequate almost complex structure, a large class of locally conformal almost Kähler structures on TM is obtained. When M is a space form, a subset of it determines a locally conformal Kähler structure. Some classes of d-connections compatible with these structures are obtained.

5.1. The geometrical framework

Let (M, γ) be a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let ∇ be its Levi - Civita connection. In a local chart $(U, (x^i))$ on M , γ_{ij} stands for $\gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)$ and $\gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ stands for the Christoffel symbols of the Levi - Civita connection. On TM the functions

$N_j^i(x, y) := \gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^k$ are the local coefficients of a nonlinear connection. This one will be used throughout this section. The Sasaki metric on TM is as follows

$$(29) \quad G_S = \gamma_{ij}(x)dx^i \otimes dx^j + \gamma_{ij}(x)\delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

Let L^2 be $\gamma_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$, let y_i be $\gamma_{ij}(x)y^j$ and let $a, b, c, d : Im(L^2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be some real, differentiable functions such that $a > 0, b \geq 0, c > 0, d \geq 0$. If $\gamma_{ij}(x)$ is now replaced by the local components $g_{ij}(x, y)$ and $h_{ij}(x, y)$ of some generalized Lagrange metrics, respectively, a new Sasaki type metric is obtained

$$(30) \quad G(x, y) = g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j + h_{ij}(x, y)\delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

When

$$(31) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = a(L^2)\gamma_{ij}(x) + b(L^2)y_i y_j,$$

and

$$(32) \quad h_{ij}(x, y) = c(L^2)\gamma_{ij}(x) + d(L^2)y_i y_j,$$

the metrical structure (30) will be studied in this section.

REMARK 4. It is easy to see that the reciprocal entries of $g_{ij}(x, y)$ and $h_{ij}(x, y)$ are given by

$$g^{jk}(x, y) = \frac{1}{a} \left(\gamma^{jk} - \frac{b}{a + bL^2} y^j y^k \right),$$

and

$$h^{jk}(x, y) = \frac{1}{c} \left(\gamma^{jk} - \frac{d}{c + dL^2} y^j y^k \right).$$

For $b = d = 0, a = 1, c = \frac{\alpha^2}{L^2}$ with α nonzero real number, the metrical structure given by (30), (31) and (32) has been introduced by Miron in [16] as “the homogeneous lift of a Riemannian metric”. For $a = 1, b = 0$, the above defined metrical structure has been studied by Anastasiei in [2].

5.2. Locally conformal almost Kähler structures on TM

The pair (G, F_S) , where the metrical structure G is defined by (30), (31) and (32) is not an almost Hermitian structure on TM . The first aim of this section is to find a new almost complex structure F which paired with G to provide an almost Hermitian structure. The classical almost structure F_S is modified to a linear map F given in the adapted basis $(\frac{\partial}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\delta y^i})$ as follows

$$(33) \quad F\left(\frac{\partial}{\delta x^i}\right) = (\alpha\delta_i^k + \beta y_i y^k) \frac{\partial}{\delta y^k}, \quad F\left(\frac{\partial}{\delta y^i}\right) = (\gamma\delta_i^k + \delta y_i y^k) \frac{\partial}{\delta x^k},$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are differentiable functions on TM to be determined. The condition for F to be an almost complex structure, that is $F^2 = -I$, is given by

$$(34) \quad \alpha\gamma = -1, \quad \alpha\delta + \beta\gamma + \beta\delta L^2 = 0.$$

The compatibility condition (7) can be written as

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha^2 c &= a, & (2\alpha\beta + \beta^2 L^2)(c + dL^2) + \alpha^2 d &= b, \\ \gamma^2 a &= c, & (2\gamma\delta + \delta^2 L^2)(a + bL^2) + b\gamma^2 &= d. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of the system (34) and (35) is given by

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}, & \beta &= \frac{\sqrt{a(c+dL^2)} + \sqrt{c(a+bL^2)}}{L^2 \cdot \sqrt{c(c+dL^2)}}, \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}, & \delta &= -\frac{\sqrt{a(c+dL^2)} + \sqrt{c(a+bL^2)}}{L^2 \cdot \sqrt{a(a+bL^2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $b = d = 0$, the solution given in (36) can be written as

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}, & \beta &= \frac{2}{L^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}, \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}, & \delta &= -\frac{2}{L^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $b = d = 0$, the system (34), (35) admits one more solution different from (37). This new solution is given by

$$(38) \quad \alpha = -\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}}, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \delta = 0.$$

Making the substitutions $a \rightarrow \frac{a^2}{L^2}$, $b \rightarrow \frac{b^2 - a^2}{L^4}$, $c \rightarrow \frac{c^2}{L^2}$, $d \rightarrow \frac{d^2 - c^2}{L^4}$ then it is easy to see that (36) and (37) are unified to

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -\frac{a}{c}, & \beta &= \frac{ad+bc}{dcL^2}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{c}{a}, & \delta &= -\frac{ad+bc}{abL^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The solution (38) takes the form

$$(40) \quad \alpha = -\frac{a}{c}, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = \frac{c}{a}, \quad \delta = 0.$$

In this new setting, the metrical structure G can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{a,b,c,d}(x, y) &= \left(\frac{a^2}{L^2} \gamma_{ij}(x) + \frac{b^2 - a^2}{L^4} y_i y_j \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j \\ &+ \left(\frac{c^2}{L^2} \gamma_{ij}(x) + \frac{d^2 - c^2}{L^4} y_i y_j \right) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j, \end{aligned}$$

such that $b \geq a > 0$, $d \geq c > 0$.

Let $F_{a,b,c,d}$ be the almost complex structure defined by (33) and (39), and let $F_{a,c}$ be given by (33) and (40), respectively. Then the pairs $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$ and $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ are almost Hermitian structures on the tangent bundle, respectively. In fact, the new almost complex structures are as follows

$$(41) \quad F_{a,b,c,d} = \left(-\frac{a}{c} \delta_i^k + \frac{ad+bc}{dcL^2} y_i y^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \otimes dx^i + \left(\frac{c}{a} \delta_i^k - \frac{ad+bc}{abL^2} y_i y^k \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} \otimes \delta y^i,$$

and

$$(42) \quad F_{a,c} = -\frac{a}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \otimes dx^k + \frac{c}{a} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} \otimes \delta y^k.$$

REMARK 5. Some particular cases are given below. All of these have important applications in some physical theories.

1. For $a = L, b = L, c^2 = \frac{L^2}{1+L^2}, d^2 = L^2$, the Cheeger - Gromoll metrical is obtained

$$G_{CG}(x, y) = \gamma_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j + \frac{1}{1+L^2} (\gamma_{ij}(x) + y_i y_j) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

2. If $a = L, b = L, c^2 = \varphi' L^2, d^2 = L^2(\varphi' + 2\varphi'' L^2)$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a real differentiable function with $\varphi'(t) \neq 0, t \in \text{Im}(L^2)$, one obtains the Antonelli - Hrimiuc metrical structure:

$$G_{AH}(x, y) = \gamma_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j + (\varphi' \gamma_{ij}(x) + 2\varphi'' y_i y_j) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

3. For $a = L, b = L$ one obtains the Anastasiei metrical structure

$$G_A(x, y) = \gamma_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j + \left(\frac{c^2}{L^2} \gamma_{ij}(x) + \frac{d^2 - c^2}{L^4} y_i y_j \right) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

4. If $a = L, b = L, c = d = k, k \in R$ one obtains the Miron metrical structure

$$G^{(\circ)}(x, y) = \gamma_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j + \frac{k^2}{L^2} \gamma_{ij}(x) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j.$$

THEOREM 3. The almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$ are locally conformal almost Kahlerian structures.

Proof. The relation (33) can be rewritten as

$$(43) \quad F \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \right) = A_i^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}, \quad F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) = B_i^k \frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

where

$$(44) \quad A_i^k = -\frac{a}{c}\delta_i^k + \frac{ad+bc}{dcL^2}y_i y^k, \quad B_i^k = \frac{c}{a}\delta_i^k - \frac{ad+bc}{abL^2}y_i y^k,$$

By a direct calculation one gets

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right) &= 0, & \Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right) &= -\Omega_{ij}, \\ \Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right) &= \Omega_{ij}, & \Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(46) \quad \Omega_{ij} = \frac{\gamma a^2}{L^2}\gamma_{ij} + \left(\gamma \frac{b^2 - a^2}{L^4} + \delta \frac{b^2}{L^2}\right)y_i y_j = \Omega_{ji}.$$

The essential components of $d\Omega$ can be written as

$$(47) \quad d\Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right) = \Omega_{ik|j} - \Omega_{jk|i},$$

and

$$(48) \quad d\Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right) = \frac{\partial \Omega_{ik}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial \Omega_{ij}}{\partial y^k},$$

where by "|" the h-covariant derivative with respect to Berwald connection $B\Gamma(N) = (N_j^i = \gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^k, \gamma_{jk}^i(x), 0)$ is denoted. The following formulas can be verified by a direct computation

$$(49) \quad \gamma_{ki|j} = 0, \quad y_{|k}^j = 0, \quad y_{j|k} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \Theta(L^2)}{\delta x^k} = 0,$$

and

$$(50) \quad \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial y^j} = \gamma_{ij}, \quad \frac{\partial L^2}{\partial y^j} = 2y_j, \quad \frac{\partial \Theta(L^2)}{\partial y^j} = 2y_j \cdot \Theta'(L^2),$$

for any real differentiable function $\Theta : \text{Im}(L^2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Using (49) and (50) it immediately results $\Omega_{ki|j} = 0$, so that $d\Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right) = 0$. Consequently, $d\Omega$ is completely determined by

$$(51) \quad d\Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right) = \left[2 \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma a^2}{L^2}\right)' - \left(\gamma \frac{b^2 - a^2}{L^4} + \delta \frac{b^2}{L^2}\right) \right] \cdot (\gamma_{ik}y_j - \gamma_{ij}y_k).$$

Defining 1-form $\theta_0 = dL^2 = 2y_i \delta y^i$ and evaluating $\Omega \wedge \theta_0$ on the basis $(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i})$ one finds the essential component

$$(52) \quad (\Omega \wedge \theta_0)\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right) = 2\frac{\gamma a^2}{L^2}(\gamma_{ik}y_j - \gamma_{ij}y_k).$$

Comparing (51) with (52) one obtains

$$d\Omega = \Omega \wedge \theta,$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{2(ac)'L^2 - (ac - bd)}{acL} dL.$$

The last two identities complete the proof. \square

The next result follows from the proof of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. *The almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$ are almost Kähler structures if and only if the identity*

$$(53) \quad 2(ac)'L^2 = ac - bd,$$

holds.

REMARK 6. 1. The almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,a,a,a}, F_{a,a,a,a})$ is an almost Kähler structure if and only if $a(t) = k$ ($k = \text{const}$), where $t = L^2$.

2. The relation (53) can be written in the following form

$$(54) \quad c(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{a(t)} \left(a_0 - \int \frac{d(t)b(t)}{2t\sqrt{t}} dt \right),$$

with the following restrictions

$$(55) \quad a_0 > 0, \quad \int \frac{d(t)b(t)}{2t\sqrt{t}} dt < a_0, \quad d \geq c > 0,$$

and with the same meaning for t , that is $t = L^2$.

Using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3, the next two results can be proved.

THEOREM 4. *The almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ are locally conformal almost Kählerian structures. More precisely, the following identity*

$$d\Omega = \Omega \wedge \theta,$$

holds with

$$\theta = 2 \cdot \frac{(ac)'L^2 - ac}{acL} dL.$$

COROLLARY 3. *The almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ is an almost Kähler structure, if and only if the next identity*

$$c(L^2) = \frac{c_0 \cdot L^2}{a(L^2)}, \quad c_0 > 0$$

holds.

5.3. Locally conformal Kähler structures on TM

Let $r_{pjk}^i(x)$ be the local components of the curvature tensor field of the Riemannian space (M, γ) . The Lie brackets corresponding to the adapted basis $(\frac{\partial}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i})$ are as follows

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\delta x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\delta x^k} \right] = R_{jk}^i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\delta x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \right] = B_{jk}^i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \right] = 0,$$

where,

$$R_{jk}^i = r_{pjk}^i \cdot y^p, \quad B_{jk}^i = \gamma_{jk}^i.$$

Evaluating N_F on the adapted basis the next result is obtained.

LEMMA 1. *The almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$ are locally conformal Kähler structures if and only if*

$$(56) \quad R_{jk}^i = f \cdot (y_j \cdot \delta_k^i - y_k \cdot \delta_j^i),$$

where

$$f = 2\alpha\alpha' - \alpha\beta + 2\alpha'\beta L^2.$$

Obviously, the relation (56) can be rewritten as

$$(57) \quad r_{sjk}^i \cdot y^s = f \cdot (y_j \cdot \delta_k^i - y_k \cdot \delta_j^i).$$

The equation (57) looks like the condition that (M, γ) is of constant curvature. Now it is easy to see that

1. In the case of the almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,a,a,a}, F_{a,a,a,a})$ the condition $f = k$ ($k = \text{const.}$) gives $L = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}$, that means the associated Finsler function of the Riemannian space (M, γ) is a constant;
2. For the almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,a,a,a}, F_{a,a})$, the condition $f = k$ ($k = \text{const.}$) is verified if and only if the Riemannian space (M, γ) is an Euclidean space;
3. In the case of the almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$, the following result holds.

THEOREM 5. *If the Riemannian manifold (M, γ) is of constant curvature $k \in \mathbb{R}$, then for $c(t) = \frac{1}{a(t)\sqrt{x_0 - \int \frac{k}{a^4(t)} dt}}$ with x_0 a real constant such that $\int \frac{k}{a^4(t)} dt < x_0$, the almost Hermitian structures $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ are locally conformal Kähler structures on TM.*

6. d-connections compatible with some almost Hermitian structures

Every linear connection on TM can be expressed by means of some local coefficients as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} &= F_{jk}^{(H)} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + F_{jk}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, & D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} &= F_{jk}^{(2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + F_{jk}^{(V)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \\ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} &= C_{jk}^{(H)} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + C_{jk}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, & D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} &= C_{jk}^{(2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + C_{jk}^{(V)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}. \end{aligned}$$

The next formulas follow from the theory of linear connections on tangent manifold

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} dx^i &= -F_{jk}^{(H)} dx^j - F_{jk}^{(2)} \delta y^j, & D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \delta y^i &= -F_{jk}^{(1)} dx^j - F_{jk}^{(V)} \delta y^j, \\ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} dx^i &= -C_{jk}^{(H)} dx^j - C_{jk}^{(2)} \delta y^j, & D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \delta y^i &= -C_{jk}^{(1)} dx^j - C_{jk}^{(V)} \delta y^j. \end{aligned}$$

The Levi - Civita connection is an important tool in every physical theory on TM . In fact, the Levi - Civita connection of $G_{a,b,c,d}$ is a linear connection D on TM such that the next two identities

$$(58) \quad XG_{a,b,c,d}(Y, Z) - G_{a,b,c,d}(DX Y, Z) - G_{a,b,c,d}(Y, DX Y) = 0,$$

$$(59) \quad D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y] = 0$$

hold true in $\chi(TM)$.

THEOREM 6. *The local coefficients of the Levi -Civita connection of the metrical structure $G_{a,b,c,d}$ are as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{jk}^{(H)} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta g_{jm}}{\delta x^k} + \frac{\delta g_{mk}}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta g_{jk}}{\delta x^m} \right), \\ F_{jk}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(R_{kj}^i - h^{ip} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{kp}}{\partial y^j} \right), \\ F_{jk}^{(2)} &= C_{kj}^{(H)}, \\ F_{jk}^{(V)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\gamma_{jk}^i + h^{is} \cdot \frac{\delta h_{sj}}{\delta x^k} - h_{jm} \cdot \gamma_{sk}^m \cdot h^{si} \right), \\ C_{jk}^{(H)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(h_{mk} \cdot g^{qi} \cdot R_{jq}^m - g^{qi} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial y^q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{jk}^{(1)i} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(h^{is} \cdot \frac{\delta h_{sk}}{\delta x^j} - \gamma_{jk}^i - h_{km} \cdot \gamma_{sj}^m \cdot h^{si} \right), \\ C_{jk}^{(2)i} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(g^{iq} \cdot \gamma_{kh}^m \cdot h_{mj} + g^{iq} \cdot \gamma_{jq}^m \cdot h_{km} - g^{iq} \cdot \frac{\delta h_{jk}}{\delta x^q} \right), \\ C_{jk}^{(v)i} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot h^{im} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial h_{jm}}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial h_{mk}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial y^m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The above formulas follow by expressing in local coordinates the relations (58) and (59) and solving the tensorial system which appears. \square

In what follows all d -connections compatible with the metrical structure $G_{a,b,c,d}$ are determined. More precisely, using some classical methods of Lagrange geometry the following three results hold.

THEOREM 7. *Let a, b, c and d arbitrarily chosen. Then there exist d -connections compatible with the metrical structure $G_{a,b,c,d}$. One of them has the following coefficients*

$$F_{jk}^{(H,c)i} = F_{jk}^{(V,c)i} = \gamma_{jk}^i,$$

$$C_{jk}^{(H,c)i} = A \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k + A_1 \cdot \delta_k^i \cdot y_j + A_2 \cdot y^i \cdot \gamma_{jk} + A_3 \cdot y^i \cdot y_j \cdot y_k,$$

$$C_{jk}^{(V,c)i} = B \cdot \Lambda_{jk}^i + B_1 \cdot y^i \cdot \gamma_{jk} + B_2 \cdot y^i \cdot y_j \cdot y_k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{jk}^i &= \delta_j^i \cdot y_k + \delta_k^i \cdot y_j - y^i \cdot \gamma_{jk}, \quad A = \frac{2a'L^2 - a}{aL^2}, \\ A_1 &= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2a^2L^2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{b^2 - a^2}{b^2L^2}, \quad A_3 = \frac{a^4 - b^4 + 4ab(ab' - ba')L^2}{2a^2b^2L^4}, \\ B &= \frac{2c'L^2 - c}{cL^2}, \quad B_1 = \frac{2c'(d^2 - c^2)}{cd^2}, \quad B_2 = \frac{2(c^2c' + cdd' - 2d^2c')}{cd^2}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. *The set of all d -connections compatible with the metrical structure $G_{a,b,c,d}$ is given by the following coefficients*

$$(60) \quad F_{jk}^{(H)i} = F_{jk}^{(H,c)i} + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot X_{ek}^m, \quad F_{jk}^{(V)i} = F_{jk}^{(V,c)i} + \Theta_{jm}^{ei} \cdot Y_{ek}^m,$$

$$(61) \quad C_{jk}^{(H)i} = C_{jk}^{(H,c)i} + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot U_{ek}^m, \quad C_{jk}^{(V)i} = C_{jk}^{(V,c)i} + \Theta_{jm}^{ei} \cdot V_{ek}^m,$$

where $X_{ek}^m, Y_{ek}^m, U_{ek}^m, V_{ek}^m$ are arbitrary d -tensor fields and $\Omega_{jm}^{ei}, \Theta_{jm}^{ei}$ are the Obata operators corresponding to the GL -metrics $g_{ij}(x, y)$ and $h_{ij}(x, y)$, respectively.

COROLLARY 5. *The set of all d-connections compatible with the metrical structure $G_{a,a,c,c}$ is given by the following coefficients*

$$(62) \quad \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = \gamma_{jk}^i + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot X_{ek}^m, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = \gamma_{jk}^i + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot Y_{ek}^m,$$

$$(63) \quad \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix} = A \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot U_{ek}^m, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix} = B \cdot \Lambda_{jk}^i + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot V_{ek}^m,$$

where $X_{ek}^m, Y_{ek}^m, U_{ek}^m, V_{ek}^m$ are arbitrary d-tensor field and Ω_{jm}^{ei} is the Obata operator of the Riemannian structure γ .

The aim of the next investigations is to find some conditions such that the almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$ admits compatible d-connections. The first step consists in the study of the linear connections compatible with the almost complex structure $F_{a,b,c,d}$. Let D be an arbitrary linear connection on TM . In the adapted basis, the compatibility condition $DF_{a,b,c,d} = 0$ can be written as

$$(64) \quad A_j^p \cdot \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F_{pk} \end{matrix} - A_q^i \cdot \begin{matrix} (H)^q \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial A_j^i}{\partial y^k} + A_j^p \cdot \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C_{pk} \end{matrix} - A_q^i \cdot \begin{matrix} (H)^q \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix} = 0,$$

$$(65) \quad A_j^p \cdot \begin{matrix} (2)^i \\ F_{pk} \end{matrix} - B_q^i \cdot \begin{matrix} (1)^q \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = 0, \quad A_j^p \cdot \begin{matrix} (2)^i \\ C_{pk} \end{matrix} - B_q^i \cdot \begin{matrix} (1)^q \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix} = 0$$

Investigating now d-connections compatible with $F_{a,b,c,d}$ then only (64) must be studied. Since the final aim is to obtain d-connections compatible with almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$, the local coefficients $(\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C_{jk} \end{matrix})$ from Corollary 4 are used. Therefore, the first identity of (64) can be written as

$$(66) \quad A_j^i \cdot \Omega_{jm}^{eq} \cdot X_{ek}^m - A_j^p \cdot \Theta_{pm}^{ei} \cdot Y_{ek}^m = \beta \cdot y^p \cdot y_q \cdot E_{jpk}^{qi},$$

where

$$(67) \quad E_{jpk}^{qi} = \delta_j^q \cdot \gamma_{pk}^i - \delta_p^i \cdot \gamma_{jk}^q, \quad \beta = \frac{ad + bc}{dcL^2}.$$

The lefthand side of the relation (66) has a d-tensorial character. Since E_{jpk}^{qi} is not a d-tensor field it follows that the relation (66) is not true. Therefore do not exist d-connections compatible with the almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,b,c,d}, F_{a,b,c,d})$. Fortunately, for the almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ there exist compatible d-connections. Repeating the above considerations and after some computations in local coordinates, one obtains the following result

THEOREM 8. *The set of all d-connections compatible with the almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$ is given by the following local coefficients*

$$\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = \gamma_{jk}^i + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot X_{ek}^m, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F_{jk} \end{matrix} = \gamma_{jk}^i + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot X_{ek}^m,$$

$$\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = A \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot U_{ek}^m, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = B \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k + \Omega_{jm}^{ei} \cdot U_{ek}^m,$$

where X_{ek}^m, U_{ek}^m are arbitrary d -tensor fields and Ω_{jm}^{ei} are the local components of the Obata operator of the Riemannian structure γ .

In the case $X_{ek}^m = U_{ek}^m = 0$ one obtains a d -connection compatible with the almost Hermitian structure $(G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$, which depends only on the Riemannian structure γ and on the functions a and c . The local coefficients of this d -connection are as follows

$$\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \gamma_{jk}^i, \quad \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = A \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = B \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k.$$

This one will be called the canonical d -connection of the space $(T_0M, G_{a,a,c,c}, F_{a,c})$.

If $a = L, c = k, k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, one obtains the so called homogeneous almost Hermitian structure $(G^{(0)}, F^{(0)})$. Here, the metrical structure $G^{(0)}$ is the Miron metrical structure and the almost complex structure $F^{(0)}$ is defined by

$$F^{(0)} = -\frac{L}{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i + \frac{k}{L} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes \delta y^i.$$

Furthermore, the canonical d -connection of the space $(T_0M, G^{(0)}, F^{(0)})$ is given by

$$\begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \gamma_{jk}^i, \quad \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = 0, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = -\frac{1}{L^2} \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k.$$

The space $(T_0M, G^{(0)}, F^{(0)})$ has been intensively studied in [20]. Its generalization, namely the space $M^{(ac)2n} = (T_0M, G_{a,a,c,c}, \Phi_{a,c})$ will be the subject of the next section.

7. EYM equations on $M^{(ac)2n}$

>From now on the metrical structure of the model is given by

$$(68) \quad G_{A,B}(x, y) = A \cdot \gamma_{ij}(x) dx^i \otimes dx^j + B \cdot \gamma_{ij}(x) \delta y^i \otimes \delta y^j,$$

where $A, B : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are real differentiable functions in the variable $t = L^2$. With this notations assumed, the canonical connection of the model is expressed by

$$(69) \quad \begin{cases} \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ F \end{matrix}_{jk} = \gamma_{jk}^i(x), \\ \begin{matrix} (H)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = A' \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k, \quad \begin{matrix} (V)^i \\ C \end{matrix}_{jk} = B' \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k. \end{cases}$$

such that $A'(t) \neq 0, B'(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Some calculations in local coordinates lead to the next result.

LEMMA 2. The local components of the torsion of the canonical connection of the space $M^{(ac)2n}$ are given by

$$T_{jk}^i = 0, \quad R_{jk}^i = r_{0jk}^i,$$

$$P_{ijk}^{(H)i} = A' \cdot \delta_j^i \cdot y_k, \quad P_{ijk}^{(V)i} = 0, \quad S_{ijk}^i = B' \cdot (\delta_j^i \cdot y_k - \delta_k^i \cdot y_j).$$

The local components of the curvature of the canonical connection of the space $M^{(ac)2n}$ are given by

$$R_{hjk}^{(H)i} = r_{hjk}^i + r_{0jk}^m \cdot \gamma_{hm}^i, \quad R_{hjk}^{(V)i} = r_{hjk}^i + B' \cdot r_{0jk}^m \cdot \delta_h^i \cdot y_m$$

$$P_{hjk}^{(H)i} = 0, \quad P_{hjk}^{(V)i} = 0, \quad S_{hjk}^{(H)i} = 0, \quad S_{hjk}^{(V)i} = 0.$$

Having a complete imagine of the geometrical objects of this space it is now possible to determine the EYM equations of the model. These equations will be considered with respect to $\Phi_1 = y_a$ and $\Phi_2 = \gamma_{bc}^a$ as generalized gauge fields. Supposing that all the geometrical objects have gauge character it is easy to see that the EYM equations for the complete Lagrangian L can be written in the following form

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi} - \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} \right)} \right) + \frac{\partial N_j^i}{\partial y^i} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^j} \right)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y^i} \right) \mid \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} = const} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} \right)} - \frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^i} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y^i} \right) \mid \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} = const} = 0.$$

Let Γ be $\det(\gamma_{ij})$. The identities

$$G = \sqrt{A^n \cdot B^n \cdot \Gamma^2} = (AB)^{n/2} \cdot \Gamma,$$

$$\frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta x^i} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x^i},$$

$$\frac{1}{G} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial y^i} = 2 \cdot y_i \cdot \frac{1}{(AB)^{n/2} \cdot \Gamma} \cdot \Gamma \cdot [(AB)^{n/2}]'$$

$$= \frac{\frac{n}{2} \cdot (AB)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cdot 2 \cdot y_i \cdot (AB)'}{(AB)^{n/2}}$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot y_i \cdot (AB)'}{AB},$$

show that for the model which is now studied, the EYM equations have now an equivalent form

$$(70) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi} - \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} \right)} \right) + \gamma_{jm}^m \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^j} \right)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y^i} \right) \Big|_{\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} = \text{const}}} \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} \right)} - \frac{n \cdot y_i \cdot (AB)'}{AB} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y^i} \right) \Big|_{\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^i} = \text{const}}} = 0.$$

The geometrical expressions of EYM equations can be now obtained from the general form (70).

8. Conclusions

In this paper a new smooth gauge geometrical model is proposed. This one is defined at the end of Section 6 and it is studied in the last section of the paper. Its construction is based on a new class of Hermitian structure on the tangent bundle of a smooth, finite-dimensional differentiable manifold. This Hermitian structure can be viewed as a “deformation” of the classical Hermitian structure of the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold. Moreover, this model is a generalization of the so called “homogeneous geometrical model of a Riemannian space”, which was studied in [20]. The equation of fields, namely (70), is written in the adapted basis. This fact is very useful in applications, cf. [17, 14, 12, 19, 13]. Mention that the study in detail of the field equation (70) will be done elsewhere.

References

- [1] AMORIM R. AND BARCELOS-NETO J., *Extended Gauge theories starting from the matter field lagrangians*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **40** 10 (1999), 4609–4615.
- [2] ANASTASIEI M., *Locally conformal Kähler structures on tangent manifold of a space form*, Libertas Mathematica **XIX** (1999), 71–77.
- [3] ASANOV G.S., *Finsler geometry. Relativity and Gauge theories*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht 1985.
- [4] ASANOV G.S., *Gauge fields in general parametrical approach and their finislerian reduction*, Annalen der Physik **44** (1987), 1–80.
- [5] BALAN V., *On the generalized Einstein–Yang Mills equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **43** 3-4 (1993), 273–282.
- [6] BALAN V., *Generalized Einstein–Yang Mills equations for the Space $GL^n(M, g_{ij}(x, y))$ in the case of $g_{ij}(x, y) = e^{2\sigma(x, y)} \gamma_{ij}(x)$* , Tensor (N.S.) **52** (1993), 199–203.
- [7] BALAN V., *Generalized Einstein–Yang Mills Equations on Vector Bundles*, Bull. Math. de la Sci. Math. de Roumanie, **36(84)** 1 (1992), 17–22.
- [8] BALAN V., *A class of Solutions for the generalized Gauge Asanov equations*, Studii si Cercetari Matematice Bucuresti **45** 4 (1993), 287–292.
- [9] BEIL R.G., *Finsler Gauge transformations and general relativity*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **31** 6 (1992), 1025–1043.
- [10] BEIL R.G., *Finsler and Kaluza–Klein Gauge theories*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **32** 6 (1993), 1021–1031.

- [11] BEJANCU A., *Finsler geometry and applications*, Ellis Horwood Limited, 1990.
- [12] BRANDT H., *Structure of spacetime tangent bundle*, Foundations of Physics Letters, **4** 6 (1991), 523–536.
- [13] HERRERA L., PAIVA F.M. AND SANTOS N.O., *The Levi-Civita space-time as a limiting case of the γ space-time*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **40** 8 (1999), 4064–4071.
- [14] IKEDA S., *Some Structural Considerations on the Theory of Gravitational Field*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, **4** 2 (1999), 63–68.
- [15] KAWAGUCHI T. AND MIRON R., *A Lagrangian Model for Gravitation and Electromagnetism*, Tensor (N.S.) **48** (1989), 153–168.
- [16] MIRON R., *The homogeneous lift of a riemannian metric*, An. St. Univ. “Al. I. Cuza” Iasi **46** 1 (2000), 73–81.
- [17] MIRON R. AND ANASTASIEI M., *The geometry of lagrange spaces: theory and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [18] MIRON R., TAVAKOL R.K., BALAN V. AND ROXBURGH I.W., *Geometry of space-time and generalized Lagrange Gauge theory*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42** 3-4 (1993), 215–224.
- [19] ROXBURGH I.W., TAVAKOL R.K. AND VAN DEN BERGH N., *Geometry of space-time and Berwald Spaces*, Tensor (N.S.), **51** (1992), 72–77.
- [20] SANDOVICI A., *On the homogeneous geometrical model of a Riemannian space*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyregyhaziensis **19** (2003), 245–253.
- [21] SANDOVICI A., *A class of solutions for EYM equations*, Proc. Non-Euclidean Geometry in Modern Physics (BGL3), Targu Mures, Romania, July 3–6, (2002), 25–30.
- [22] SHARDANASHVILY G.A., *Gauge theory in jet Manifolds*, Hadronic Press, U.S.A. 1993.
- [23] VACARU S. AND GONCHARENKO Y., *Yang–Mills fields and Gauge gravity on generalized Lagrange and Finsler spaces*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **34** 9 (1995), 1955–1980.

AMS Subject Classification: 53B35, 53C60, 53C80.

Adrian SANDOVICI, Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, THE NETHERLANDS
e-mail: adrian@math.rug.nl

Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 10.12.2004.