

M. Artale\*

## ON ASSOCIATIVITY OF GRADED ALGEBRAS

**Abstract.** Necessary and sufficient conditions are given to guarantee associativity of algebras and co-algebras. As main application, it is discussed the associativity of the tensor algebra  $T(V)$  of a free module  $V$  over any commutative ring  $R$ .

### 1. Review of basic definitions

Recall that a vector space  $A$ , or more generally a (free) module over a commutative ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -algebra if a multiplication map  $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  and a unit map  $\eta_A : R \rightarrow A$  are defined with the following properties:

- (1)  $m_A, \eta_A$  are  $R$ -linear;
- (2)  $m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A) = m_A(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A)$  (associativity);
- (3)  $m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_A) = m_A(\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_A) \cong \text{id}_A$  (unit).
- $$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m_A \otimes \text{id}} & A \otimes A \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes m_A & & \downarrow m_A \\
 A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m_A} & A \\
 R \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_A} & A \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_A} & A \otimes R \\
 \lambda \searrow & & \downarrow m_A & & \swarrow \rho \\
 & & A & & 
 \end{array}$$

where we denote by  $\lambda : R \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  and by  $\rho : A \otimes R \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  the natural isomorphisms called left unit constraint and respectively right unit constraint. Throughout this paper  $\otimes$  means  $\otimes_R$ . Note that (2) expresses the associativity of  $m$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) &\iff m_A(m_A(a \otimes b) \otimes c) = m_A(a \otimes m_A(b \otimes c)) \\
 &\iff m_A \circ (m_A \otimes \text{id}_A)((a \otimes b) \otimes c) = \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad = m_A \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes m_A)(a \otimes (b \otimes c)) \quad \forall a, b, c \in A \\
 &\iff m_A(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A) = m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A).
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) says that  $A$  has a unit element  $1_A = \eta_A(1) =$  identity element:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \cdot 1_A &= a \cdot \eta_A(1) = m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_A)(a \otimes 1) = 1_A \otimes a = \\
 &= m_A(\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_A)(1 \otimes a) \stackrel{\lambda}{\cong} a \stackrel{\rho}{\cong} a \quad \forall a \in A.
 \end{aligned}$$

---

\*Partially supported by MURST.

We write  $A = (A, m_A, \eta_A)$  to denote an associative algebra over a commutative ring  $R$ . Also recall that dually a module over a commutative ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -co-algebra if a co-multiplication map  $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  and a co-unit map  $\varepsilon_A : A \rightarrow R$  are defined with the following properties:

- (4)  $\Delta_A, \varepsilon_A$  are  $R$ -linear;  
 (5)  $(\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_A)\Delta_A = (\Delta_A \otimes \text{id}_A)\Delta_A$  (co-associativity);  
 (6)  $(\text{id}_A \otimes \varepsilon_A)\Delta_A = (\varepsilon_A \otimes \text{id}_A)\Delta_A$  (co-unit).

We write  $A = (A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  to denote a co-associative algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $R$ . Let  $(A, m_A, \eta_A), (B, m_B, \eta_B)$  be two  $R$ -algebras; then the tensor product  $A \otimes B$  over  $R$  of the  $R$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is defined in the customary manner by taking the underlying  $R$ -module to be the  $R$ -module  $A \otimes B$  and setting  $m_{A \otimes B}$  to be the composition:

$$(7) \quad A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \tau_{B,A} \otimes \text{id}_B} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{m_A \otimes m_B} A \otimes B$$

where  $\tau_{B,A} : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$  is the  $R$ -map defined by  $\tau_{B,A}(b \otimes a) = a \otimes b$  for  $a \in A, b \in B$  ( $\tau$  is the *twisting morphism*). The unit map  $\eta_{A \otimes B}$  is given by:

$$(8) \quad \eta_A \otimes \eta_B : R \xrightarrow{\sim} R \otimes R \rightarrow A \otimes B.$$

In particular  $1_A \otimes 1_B = 1_{A \otimes B}$  is the identity element for the algebra  $A \otimes B$ . Similarly if  $(A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A), (B, \Delta_B, \varepsilon_B)$  are two  $R$ -co-algebras, then the tensor product over  $R$  of the  $R$ -co-algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is again a co-algebra with co-multiplication  $\Delta_{A \otimes B}$  given by the composition:

$$(9) \quad A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\Delta_A \otimes \Delta_B} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes \tau_{A,B} \otimes 1_B} A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B$$

and the co-unit map  $\varepsilon_{A \otimes B}$  is given by:

$$(10) \quad \eta_A \otimes \eta_B : A \otimes B \rightarrow R \otimes R \xrightarrow{\sim} R.$$

In what follows we will identify any  $R$ -algebra  $A$  with the tensor products  $A \otimes R \cong R \otimes A$  via  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  respectively. We will also use  $\text{id}_A$  for the identity map of  $A$ . From the customary definitions (7), (9) it is clear that in order to ensure the  $R$ -module  $A \otimes B$  with an algebra (resp. co-algebra) structure we need the morphism  $\tau$  which makes possible to define tensor products of algebras (resp. co-algebras). In what follows we give a criterion which allows any  $R$ -linear map  $\beta$  to play the same role as  $\tau$ . We start with some known classical notation and identities for braiding (see [6, 7]) which here instead are used as definitions.

## 2. The associativity and co-associativity conditions on $\beta$

**DÉFINITION 2.** Let  $X, Y$  be  $R$ -algebras and let  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(X \otimes Y, Y \otimes X)$  be any  $R$ -linear map. Let by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{X \otimes X, Y} &= (\beta \otimes \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \otimes \beta) : X \otimes X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X \otimes X, \\ \beta_{X, Y \otimes Y} &= (\text{id}_Y \otimes \beta)(\beta \otimes \text{id}_Y) : X \otimes Y \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes Y \otimes X. \end{aligned}$$

LEMME 4. *The following relations are satisfied:*

$$(4.1) \quad (\text{id}_Y \otimes \beta_{X \otimes X, Y})(\beta \otimes \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}) = (\beta_{X, Y \otimes Y} \otimes \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_{X \otimes Y} \otimes \beta),$$

$$(4.2) \quad (m_Y \otimes m_X)(\eta_Y \otimes \beta \otimes \eta_X) = \beta.$$

*Proof.* These are direct applications of the definitions. □

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $(A, m_A, \eta_A)$  and  $(B, m_B, \eta_B)$  two associative  $R$ -algebras with identity elements  $1_A$  and  $1_B$  respectively. Let  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(B \otimes A, A \otimes B)$  be any  $R$ -linear map such that:*

$$(1.1) \quad (\text{id}_A \otimes m_B)\beta_{B \otimes B, A} = \beta(m_B \otimes \text{id}_A),$$

$$(1.2) \quad (m_A \otimes \text{id}_B)\beta_{B, A \otimes A} = \beta(\text{id}_B \otimes m_A),$$

*then the morphism  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B} = (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)$  determines \* an associative  $R$ -algebra structure on  $A \otimes B$ . Conversely assume that  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}$  defines an associative multiplication on  $A \otimes B$ . If  $\beta$  satisfies the following additional conditions †:*

$$(1.3) \quad \beta(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A) = \tau(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \beta(\eta_B \otimes \text{id}_A) = \tau(\eta_B \otimes \text{id}_A),$$

*then  $\beta$  satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2).*

*Proof.* We need to prove first that

$$(1.1), (1.2) \implies \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) = \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}).$$

Calculation of  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\bullet) \quad \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) &= (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) \\ &\quad [(m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}] = \\ &= (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) [(m_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) \\ &\quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B})] = \\ &= (m_A \otimes m_B) [(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) [m_A \otimes (m_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \text{id}_B]] \\ &\quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) = \\ &= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \circ m_A \otimes \beta(m_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \text{id}_B \circ \text{id}_B] \\ &\quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) = \\ &= (m_A \otimes m_B) [m_A \otimes \beta(m_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \text{id}_B] (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}). \end{aligned}$$

---

\*Just before submitting this article the author discovered that part of Proposition 1 was stated without proof in[4].

†In a letter to the author J. A. Green offered helpful remarks concerning these conditions.

Calculation of  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bullet\bullet) \quad \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}) &= (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) \\
& \quad [\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)] = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) [(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes m_A \otimes m_B)] \cdot \\
& \quad [(\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)] = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes (\text{id}_B \otimes m_A) \otimes m_B] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes \beta (\text{id}_B \otimes m_A) \otimes m_B] (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $m_A, m_B$  are associative and (1.1),(1.2) hold. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bullet) &= (m_A \otimes m_B) [m_A \otimes \beta (m_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \text{id}_B] (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [m_A \otimes (\text{id}_A \otimes m_B) \beta_{B \otimes B, A} \otimes \text{id}_B] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [m_A \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes (\text{id}_A \otimes m_B) \beta_{B \otimes B, A} \otimes \text{id}_B \circ \text{id}_B] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [(m_A \otimes (\text{id}_A \otimes m_B) \otimes \text{id}_B) \circ (\text{id}_{A \otimes A} \otimes \beta_{B \otimes B, A} \otimes \text{id}_B)] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A)(m_B \otimes \text{id}_B)] [\text{id}_A \otimes (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta_{B \otimes B, A}) \otimes \text{id}_B] \\
& \quad [\text{id}_A \otimes (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A}) \otimes \text{id}_B] = \\
&= [m_A(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes m_B(m_B \otimes \text{id}_B)] [\text{id}_A \otimes (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta_{B \otimes B, A}) \\
& \quad (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A}) \otimes \text{id}_B].
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bullet\bullet) &= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes \beta (\text{id}_B \otimes m_A) \otimes m_B] (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes (m_A \otimes \text{id}_B) \beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes m_B] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \circ \text{id}_A \otimes (m_A \otimes \text{id}_B) \beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes m_B \circ (\text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B)] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes (m_A \otimes \text{id}_B) \otimes m_B] \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes B}) \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) = \\
&= (m_A \otimes m_B) [(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A)(\text{id}_B \otimes m_B)] \circ [\text{id}_A \otimes (\beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes \text{id}_B)] \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \beta) \otimes \text{id}_B] = \\
&= [m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A) \otimes m_B(\text{id}_B \otimes m_B)] [\text{id}_A \otimes (\beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes \text{id}_B) \\
& \quad (\text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \beta) \otimes \text{id}_B].
\end{aligned}$$

Now associativity of  $m_A$  and  $m_B$  gives:

$$m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A) = m_A(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A), \quad m_B(\text{id}_B \otimes m_B) = m_B(m_B \otimes \text{id}_B).$$

Also (4.1) gives:

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta_{B \otimes B, A})(\beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A}) = (\beta_{B, A \otimes A} \otimes \text{id}_B)(\text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \beta).$$

This proves that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“(1.1), (1.2), associativity of } m_A \text{ and of } m_B \\ \implies \text{ associativity of } \tilde{m}_{A \otimes B} \text{.”} \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}^\dagger$  is associative and consider  $(\bullet \bullet)$  above restricted to the sub- $R$ -algebra  $R \otimes B \otimes R \otimes B \otimes A \otimes R \cong B \otimes B \otimes A$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{m}(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes \tilde{m})(\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & = \tilde{m}[\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)] \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & = \tilde{m}[(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_{A \otimes B \otimes A} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)] \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad [\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes (\text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \eta_B] = \\ & = \tilde{m}(\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes m_A \otimes m_B) [\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \beta(\text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \eta_B] = \\ & = \tilde{m}[\text{id}_{A \otimes B} \otimes (m_A \otimes m_B)] [\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes (\eta_A \otimes \beta \otimes \eta_B)] = \\ & = \tilde{m}[\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes (m_A \otimes m_B)(\eta_A \otimes \beta \otimes \eta_B)] \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \tilde{m}(\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \beta) = \\ & = (m_A \otimes m_B) [\text{id}_A \otimes (\beta \otimes \text{id}_B)] [\eta_A \otimes (\text{id}_B \otimes \beta)] = \\ & = (m_A \otimes m_B) [\eta_A \otimes (\beta \otimes \text{id}_B)(\text{id}_B \otimes \beta)] = \\ & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (m_A \otimes m_B)(\eta_A \otimes \beta_{B \otimes B, A}) = (\text{id}_A \otimes m_B)(\beta_{B \otimes B, A}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly consider  $(\bullet)$  restricted to  $R \otimes B \otimes R \otimes B \otimes A \otimes R \cong B \otimes B \otimes A$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{m}(\tilde{m} \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B})(\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \tilde{m}[(m_A \otimes m_B) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B) \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}] (\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & = \tilde{m}(m_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B})(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A \otimes B}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (\eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & = \tilde{m}(m_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) [\eta_A \otimes \beta(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A) \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \eta_B] = \\ & \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} \tilde{m}(m_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) [\eta_A \otimes \beta(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A) \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \eta_B] = \\ & = \tilde{m}(m_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_{A \otimes B}) [\eta_A \otimes \tau(\text{id}_B \otimes \eta_A) \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes A} \otimes \eta_B] = \\ & = \tilde{m}(\eta_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad = (m_A \otimes m_B)(\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)(\eta_A \otimes m_B \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_B) = \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad = (m_A \otimes m_B) [\eta_A \otimes \beta(m_B \otimes \text{id}_A) \otimes \eta_B] = \beta(m_B \otimes \text{id}_A). \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>†</sup>For short we will often write  $\tilde{m}$  if no confusion occurs.

This proves that:

“Associativity of  $\tilde{m}$  and (1.3)  $\implies$  (1.1).”

In a similar fashion one proves that:

“Associativity of  $\tilde{m}$  and (1.4)  $\implies$  (1.2).”

□

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 ABABAB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A(\beta \text{id}_{BA}) \text{id}_B} & AABBAB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{AA}(m_B \text{id}_A) \text{id}_B} & AABAB & \xrightarrow{m_A \text{id}_{BAB}} & ABAB \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_A(\text{id}_{BA} \beta) \text{id}_B & (1) & \downarrow \text{id}_A(\text{id}_A \beta_{BB,A}) \text{id}_B & & \downarrow \text{id}_{AA} \beta \text{id}_B & (2) & \downarrow \text{id}_A \beta \text{id}_B \\
 ABAABB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A(\beta_{B,AA}) \text{id}_{BB}} & AAABBB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{AA}(\text{id}_A m_B) \text{id}_B} & AAABB & \xrightarrow{m_A \text{id}_{ABB}} & AAB \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_A(\text{id}_B m_A) \text{id}_B & & \downarrow \text{id}_A(m_A \text{id}_B) \text{id}_{BB} & & & & \downarrow m_A m_B \\
 ABABB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A(\beta) \text{id}_{BB}} & AABBB & & (4) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_{ABA} \eta_B & (3) & \downarrow \text{id}_{AAB} m_B & & & & \\
 ABAB & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \beta \text{id}_B} & AAB & \xrightarrow{m_A m_B} & & & AB
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1: The commutative diagram expressing the associativity of  $\tilde{m}$ . The regions (1), (2), (3) and (4) in the diagram represent always commutative sub-diagrams. (For short we omit the  $\otimes$  symbols in the above diagram.)

**COROLLAIRE 1.** *Let  $A, B$  be  $R$ -algebras and let  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(B \otimes A, A \otimes B)$  such that for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in B$  the following relations:*

$$\beta(1_B \otimes a) = a \otimes 1_B, \quad \beta(b \otimes 1_A) = 1_A \otimes b$$

*are satisfied. Then:*

“ $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes B}$  is associative if and only if (1.1), (1.2) hold.”

Dual to Proposition 1 we have the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $(A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A), (B, \Delta_B, \varepsilon_B)$  be two  $R$ -co-algebras and let  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(A \otimes B, B \otimes A)$  be any  $R$ -linear map such that:*

$$(2.1) \quad \beta_{A, B \otimes B}(\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_B) = (\Delta_B \otimes \text{id}_A)\beta,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \beta_{A \otimes A, B}(\Delta_A \otimes \text{id}_B) = (\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta_A)\beta,$$

*then the morphism  $\tilde{\Delta}_{A \otimes B} = (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_B)(\Delta_A \otimes \Delta_B)$  determines a co-associative co-multiplication on  $A \otimes B$ . Conversely assume that  $\Delta_{A \otimes B}$  defines a co-associative co-multiplication on  $A \otimes B$ . If  $\beta$  satisfies the following additional conditions:*

$$(2.3) \quad (\text{id}_B \otimes \varepsilon_A)\beta = (\text{id}_B \otimes \varepsilon_A)\tau,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\varepsilon_B \otimes \text{id}_A)\beta = (\varepsilon_B \otimes \text{id}_A)\tau,$$

then  $\beta$  satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2).

*Proof.* The proof is dual to 1. □

### 3. The main example

Recall that an  $R$ -algebra  $A = (A, m_A, \eta_A)$  is a *graded  $R$ -algebra* if the  $R$ -module  $A$  is a direct sum of submodules  $A_i$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) such that:

$$(11) \quad m_A(A_i \otimes A_j) \subseteq A_{i+j} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_A(R) \cong A_0.$$

Dually, an  $R$ -co-algebra  $A = (A, \Delta_A, \varepsilon_A)$  is a *graded  $R$ -co-algebra* if  $A = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i$  as  $R$ -modules such that

$$(12) \quad \Delta_A(A_k) \subseteq \sum_{i+j=k} A_i \otimes A_j \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_A(A_0) \cong R.$$

In this section we will discuss the following situation.  $A = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i$  be a graded  $R$ -algebra and let  $F : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  be any  $R$ -linear map such that:

$$(13) \quad F(A_i \otimes A_j) \subseteq A_j \otimes A_i,$$

$$(14) \quad F(1_R \otimes a) = a \otimes 1_R, F(a \otimes 1_R) = 1_R \otimes a \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Statement of the problem:

**PROBLEM 15.** Study the graded  $R$ -algebras (resp.  $R$ -co-algebras) for which the map  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes A}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\Delta}_{A \otimes A}$ ) defined in proposition 1 is associative (resp. co-associative).

**DESCRIPTION OF THE MAIN EXAMPLE 16.** Let  $V$  be a free  $R$ -module and let  $A = T(V) = \sum_{r \geq 0} T_r(V)$  be the tensor algebra on  $V$ .  $A$  is a graded  $R$ -algebra with  $A_i = T_i(V) = V^{\otimes i}$ , with multiplication  $m_A : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_{i+j}$  given by  $m_A(x \otimes y) = x \otimes y$  and with unit  $\eta_A : R \rightarrow A$  given by  $\eta_A(r) = r \in A_0 = T_0(V) = R$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Consider the following standard definition of  $\beta_i$ , see [5].

**DEFINITIONS 17.** Let  $\beta \in \text{End}_R(V \otimes V)$  and  $\beta_i$  given by

$$(18) \quad \beta_i = \text{id}_V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes n-i-1} \in \text{End}_K(V^{\otimes n}).$$

For any  $i, j$  with  $i, j > 0$ , we can define now  $\beta_{i,j} \in \text{Hom}_R(A_i \otimes A_j, A_j \otimes A_i)$  as follows:

$$(19) \quad \beta_{i,j} = (\beta_j \beta_{j+1} \cdots \beta_{i+j-1})(\beta_{j-1} \beta_j \cdots \beta_{i+j-2}) \cdots (\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_i).$$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{3,5} &= (\beta_5\beta_6\beta_7)(\beta_4\beta_5\beta_6)(\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5)(\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4)(\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3), \\ \beta_{5,3} &= (\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5\beta_6\beta_7)(\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5\beta_6)(\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5).\end{aligned}$$

We have:

PROPOSITION 3. *If  $\beta_i, \beta_j$  are as in (18), then the following relations hold:*

- (i)  $|i - j| \geq 2 \implies \beta_i\beta_j = \beta_j\beta_i$ ;
- (ii) if  $i = i_1 + i_2$ ;  $i_1, i_2 \geq 1 \implies \beta_{i,j} = (\beta_{i_1,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{i_2}})(\text{id}_{A_{i_1}} \otimes \beta_{i_2,j})$ ;
- (iii) if  $j = j_1 + j_2$ ;  $j_1, j_2 \geq 1 \implies \beta_{i,j} = (\text{id}_{A_{j_1}} \otimes \beta_{i,j_2})(\beta_{i,j_1} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{j_2}})$ .

*Proof.* (i) is trivial. In fact assume for example  $i < j$  then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_i\beta_j &= (\text{id}_V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes n-i-1})(\text{id}_V^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes n-j-1}) \\ &= \text{id}_V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \beta \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes n-j-1} = \beta_j\beta_i.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $i > j$ .(ii) By definition:

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta_{i_1,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{i_2}}) &= [(\beta_j\beta_{j+1} \cdots \beta_{j+i_1-1})(\beta_{j-1}\beta_j \cdots \beta_{j+i_1-2}) \\ &\quad \cdots (\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{i_1})] \otimes \text{id}_{A_{i_2}} \\ (\text{id}_{A_{i_1}} \otimes \beta_{i_2,j}) &= \text{id}_{A_{i_1}} \otimes [(\beta_j\beta_{j+1} \cdots \beta_{j+i_2-1})(\beta_{j-1}\beta_j \cdots \beta_{j+i_2-2}) \\ &\quad \cdots (\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{i_2})] = \\ &= (\beta_{j+i_1}\beta_{j+i_1+1} \cdots \beta_{j+i_1+i_2-1})(\beta_{j+i_1-1}\beta_{j+i_1} \cdots \beta_{j+i_1+i_2-2}) \\ &\quad \cdots (\beta_{i_1+1}\beta_{i_1+2} \cdots \beta_{i_1+i_2})\end{aligned}$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$  let

$$b_k = \beta_{j-k+1}\beta_{j-k+2} \cdots \beta_{j-k+i_1}, \quad b'_k = \beta_{j-k+i_1+1}\beta_{j-k+i_1+2} \cdots \beta_{j-k+i_1+i_2}$$

then

$$(\beta_{i_1,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{i_2}})(\text{id}_{A_{i_1}} \otimes \beta_{i_2,j}) = b_1b_2 \cdots b_j b'_1 b'_2 \cdots b'_j.$$

Notice that the “words”  $b_k$  have length  $i_1 \geq 1$ , while the “words”  $b'_k$  have length  $i_2 \geq 1$ . Notice also that by definition:

$$\beta_{i,j} = b_1 b'_1 b_2 b'_2 b_3 b'_3 \cdots b_j b'_j.$$

So in order to prove (ii) we need to prove that the relation:

$$(20) \quad (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_j)(b'_1 b'_2 \cdots b'_j) = b_1 b'_1 b_2 b'_2 \cdots b_j b'_j$$

is true for all  $j \geq 1$ . Now for  $j = 1$  (20) is trivial since it reduces just to

$$b_1 b'_1 = \beta_{i,1} = (\beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_{i_1})(\beta_{i_1+1} \cdots \beta_{i_1+i_2})$$

which holds by definition of  $\beta_{i,1}$ . Assume now that  $j \geq 2$ , then the first factor of  $b'_j$  which is  $\beta_{j+i_1}$  and the last factor of  $b_j$  which is  $\beta_{i_1}$  commute since  $|j+i_1-i_1|=j \geq 2$ . It follows that:

$$(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_j)(b'_1 b'_2 \cdots b'_j) = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{j-1} b'_1 b_j)(b'_2 b'_3 \cdots b'_j).$$

If  $j = 2$ , we are done. If  $j \geq 3$  we can bring  $b'_1$  up to the position of  $b_{j-1}$  and so on up to the position of  $b_2$ . More precisely  $|j+i_1-(j+i_1-2)|=2 \implies$

$$(b_1 b_2) b_3 \cdots b_j (b'_1) b'_2 \cdots b'_j = (b_1 b'_1 b_2) b_3 \cdots b_j b'_2 \cdots b'_j,$$

$|j+i_1-1-(j+i_1-3)|=2 \implies$

$$(b_1 b_2 b_3) b_4 \cdots b_j b'_1 (b'_2) b'_3 \cdots b'_j = (b_1 b'_1 b_2 b'_2) b_3 b_4 \cdots b_j b'_3 \cdots b'_j,$$

etc. In general the first factor  $\beta_{j+i_1-k+1}$  of  $b'_k$  and the last factor  $\beta_{j+i_1-k+2}$  of  $b_{k+1}$  are such that  $|j+i_1-k-(j+i_1-k+2)|=2$ . This implies that:

$$b_{k+1}(b_{k+2} \cdots b_j) b'_k = b'_k b_{k+1}(b_{k+2} \cdots b_j),$$

hence

$$(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_j)(b'_1 b'_2 \cdots b'_j) = b_1 b'_1 b_2 b'_2 \cdots b_j b'_j = \beta_{i,j}.$$

We illustrate the above argument with the following example. Let  $j = 4, i = 5, i_1 = 2, i_2 = 3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{5,4} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\beta_4 \beta_5 \beta_6 \beta_7 \beta_8)(\beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_5 \beta_6 \beta_7)(\beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_5 \beta_6)(\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_5) = \\ &= \underbrace{(\beta_4 \beta_5)}_{b_1} \underbrace{(\beta_6 \beta_7 \beta_8)}_{b'_1} \underbrace{(\beta_3 \beta_4)}_{b_2} \underbrace{(\beta_5 \beta_6 \beta_7)}_{b'_2} \underbrace{(\beta_2 \beta_3)}_{b_3} \underbrace{(\beta_4 \beta_5 \beta_6)}_{b'_3} \underbrace{(\beta_1 \beta_2)}_{b_4} \underbrace{(\beta_3 \beta_4 \beta_5)}_{b'_4} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we associate each factor with  $i$ -term in the above expression, into sub-factors with  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  terms. In our case  $i_1 = 2$  and  $i_2 = 3$ . From the proof given before we have that:

$$b'_1 \text{ commutes with } b_2, b_3, b_4 \Rightarrow b_1 \underline{b'_1 b_2} b'_2 b_3 b'_3 b_4 b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b'_1 b'_2 b_3 b'_3 b_4 b'_4$$

$$b'_2 \text{ commutes with } b_3, b_4 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 b'_1 \underline{b'_2 b_3} b'_3 b_4 b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b'_1 b_3 b'_2 b'_3 b_4 b'_4$$

$$b'_3 \text{ commutes with } b_4 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 b'_1 b_3 b'_2 \underline{b'_3 b_4} b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b'_1 b_3 b'_2 b_4 b'_3 b'_4$$

$$b'_1 b_3 = b_3 b'_1 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 \underline{b'_1 b_3} b'_2 b_4 b'_3 b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b_3 b'_1 b'_2 b_4 b'_3 b'_4$$

$$b'_2 b_4 = b_4 b'_2 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 b_3 b'_1 \underline{b'_2 b_4} b'_3 b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b_3 b'_1 b_4 b'_2 b'_3 b'_4$$

$$b'_1 b_4 = b_4 b'_1 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 b_3 \underline{b'_1 b_4} b'_2 b'_3 b'_4 = b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 b'_1 b'_2 b'_3 b'_4.$$

(iii) Let  $j = j_1 + j_2$ , then we want to prove that

$$\beta_{i,j} = (\text{id}_{A_{j_1}} \otimes \beta_{i,j_2})(\beta_{i,j_1} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{j_2}}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id}_{A_{j_1}} \otimes \beta_{i,j_2}) &= \text{id}_{A_{j_1}} \otimes [(\beta_{j_2} \beta_{j_2+1} \cdots \beta_{j_2+i-1})(\beta_{j_2-1} \beta_{j_2} \cdots \beta_{j_2+i-2}) \\
&\quad \cdots (\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_i)] = \\
&= (\beta_j \beta_{j+1} \cdots \beta_{j+i-1})(\beta_{j-1} \beta_j \cdots \beta_{j+i-2}) \cdots (\beta_{j_1+1} \beta_{j_1+2} \cdots \beta_{j_1+i}) \\
(\beta_{i,j_1} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{j_2}}) &= (\beta_{j_1} \beta_{j_1+1} \cdots \beta_{j_1+i-1})(\beta_{j_1-1} \beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_1+i-2}) \\
&\quad \cdots (\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id}_{A_{j_1}} \otimes \beta_{i,j_2})(\beta_{i,j_1} \otimes \text{id}_{A_{j_2}}) &= [(\beta_j \cdots \beta_{j+i-1}) \cdots (\beta_{j_1+1} \cdots \beta_{j_1+i})] \\
&= [(\beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_1+i-1}) \cdots (\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_i)] = \beta_{i,j}
\end{aligned}$$

□

REMARQUE 1. Note that  $\beta_{2,2} = \beta_{A_1 \otimes A_2, A_1} = \beta_{A_1, A_1 \otimes A_2}$ .

Now we are ready to describe the case  $A = T(V)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $\beta \in \text{End}_R(V \otimes V)$  be any  $R$ -linear map. Consider the graded  $R$ -algebra  $A = T(V)$  and let  $F : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  be defined on each  $A_i \otimes A_j$  by

$$\beta_{i,j} : A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_j \otimes A_i$$

if  $i, j > 0$ . For the remaining cases define:

$$\beta_{0,j} : A_0 \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_j \otimes A_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{i,0} : A_i \otimes A_0 \rightarrow A_0 \otimes A_i$$

respectively by

$$\beta_{0,j}(1 \otimes a) = a \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{i,0}(a \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes a.$$

Then, for  $F = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \beta_{i,j}$  the conditions (13) and (14) hold. Moreover  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes A} = (m_A \otimes m_A)(\text{id}_A \otimes F \otimes \text{id}_A)$  defines a new associative algebra structure on  $A \otimes A$ .

*Proof.* From 1 we know that  $\tilde{m}_{A \otimes A}$  is associative if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$(21) \quad (\text{id}_A \otimes m_A)F_{A \otimes A, A} = F(m_A \otimes \text{id}_A)$$

$$(22) \quad (m_A \otimes \text{id}_A)F_{A, A \otimes A} = F(\text{id}_A \otimes m_A)$$

where

$$F_{A \otimes A, A} = (F \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{id}_A \otimes F), \quad F_{A, A \otimes A} = (\text{id}_A \otimes F)(F \otimes \text{id}_A).$$

But by definition  $F_{A \otimes A, A}$  on  $(A_i \otimes A_j) \otimes A_k$  is the following composition:

$$(A_i \otimes A_j) \otimes A_k \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A_i} \otimes \beta_{j,k}} A_i \otimes A_j \otimes A_k \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,k} \otimes \text{id}_{A_j}} A_k \otimes (A_i \otimes A_j).$$

Similarly  $F_{A,A \otimes A}$  on  $A_i \otimes (A_j \otimes A_k)$  is the following composition:

$$A_i \otimes (A_j \otimes A_k) \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_k}} A_i \otimes A_j \otimes A_k \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A_j} \otimes \beta_{i,k}} (A_j \otimes A_k) \otimes A_i.$$

Hence for each  $i, j, k \geq 1$ , (21) and (22) become

$$(23) \quad (\text{id}_{A_k} \otimes m_A)(\beta_{i,k} \otimes \text{id}_{A_j})(\text{id}_{A_i} \otimes \beta_{j,k}) = \beta_{i+j,k}(m_A \otimes \text{id}_{A_k})$$

$$(24) \quad (m_A \otimes \text{id}_{A_i})(\text{id}_{A,j} \otimes \beta_{i,k})(\beta_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_k}) = \beta_{i,j+k}(\text{id}_{A_i} \otimes m_A).$$

But, by definition  $m_A : A_r \otimes A_s \rightarrow A_{r+s}$  is “essentially” the identity map. So (23) and (24) become

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{i,k} \otimes \text{id}_{A_j})(\text{id}_{A_i} \otimes \beta_{j,k}) &= \beta_{i+j,k} \\ (\text{id}_{A_j} \otimes \beta_{i,k})(\beta_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_{A_k}) &= \beta_{i,j+k} \end{aligned}$$

which hold for 3 (ii), (iii). □

In what follows we want to *announce* that the results developed above, especially in 3 and 1, lead to the consideration of the group  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$  generated by elements  $\{\tilde{b}_i \mid 1 \leq i < k\}$  with fundamental relations just  $\tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_j = \tilde{b}_j \tilde{b}_i (|i - j| \geq 2)$ . Clearly there is an action  $\tilde{\rho}_k : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A_k)$  given by:

$$\tilde{b}_i \rightarrow \beta_i \in \text{End}_R(A_k).$$

If  $\rho_k : \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A_k)$  denotes the usual action of the braid group  $\mathcal{B}_k$  generated by elements  $\{\bar{b}_i \mid 1 \leq i < k\}$ , also given by

$$\bar{b}_i \rightarrow \beta_i \in \text{End}_R(A_k),$$

then  $\tilde{\rho}_k$  factors through the representation  $\rho_k$  i.e.  $\tilde{\rho}_k \circ \pi_k = \rho_k$ , where  $\pi_k : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k$  is the canonical projection. We remark that it is well known, see [3], that there is a well defined map

$$\Psi_k : S_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k,$$

where  $S_k$  denotes the symmetric group of degree  $k$ . This result is due to Iwahori in the Coxeter group case and  $\Psi_k$  is defined as a “lift” of  $\sigma \in S_k$  in  $\mathcal{B}_k$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The author wants to thank D. Flores and J. A. Green for having brought this problem to her attention.

**References**

[1] GREEN J.A., *Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles. Finite reductive groups: related structures and representations*, Progress in Mathematics **141** (1997), Birkhäuser Boston.  
 [2] FLORES DE CHELA D. AND GREEN J.A., *Quantum symmetric algebras*.  
 [3] HUMPHREYS J.E., *Reflection groups and coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **29**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.

- [4] HASHIMOTO M., *Algebra structures of Koszul complexes defined by Yang–Baxter operators*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 71 (1991), 157–173.
- [5] HASHIMOTO M. AND HAYASHI T., *Quantum multilinear algebra*, TôhokuMath. J. **44** (1992), 471–521.
- [6] JOYAL A. AND STREET R., *Braided tensor categories*, Advances in Math. **102** 20–78, (1993).
- [7] KASSEL C., *Quantum groups*, GTM **155**, Springer Verlag.
- [8] ROSSO M., *Groupes quantiques et algèbres de battage quantiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **320** (1995), 145–148.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 81R50, 16W50, 16W35.

Maria ARTALE, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, ITALIA  
e-mail: [artale@mat.uniroma2.it](mailto:artale@mat.uniroma2.it)

*Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 26.12.06.*