Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino Vol. 69, 4 (2011), 331 – 338 Generalized Functions, Linear and Nonlinear Problems, I

## **T. Aoki, N. Honda and Y. Umeta**

# **ON THE FORM OF INSTANTON-TYPE SOLUTIONS FOR EQUATIONS OF THE FIRST PAINLEVÉ HIERARCHY BY MULTIPLE-SCALE ANALYSIS**

**Abstract.** We construct, using multiple-scale analysis, a formal solution containing sufficiently many free parameters for the first Painlevé hierarchy  $(P_1)_m$  with a large parameter. This note is a short summary of our forthcoming paper [3].

### **1. Introduction**

Aoki, Kawai and Takei, in 1990's, investigated the traditional Painlevé equations with a large parameter η from a viewpoint of the exact WKB analysis and local structure of formal solutions near turning points. In the papers [4, 8, 9, 10, 12], they constructed the formal solutions with 2-parameters called *instanton-type solutions* and established the connection formula among these solutions.

Several Painlevé hierarchies have recently been found in various areas of mathematics and it is also expected to establish the connection formula of instanton-type solutions for these hierarchies with a large parameter. For that purpose, we need to construct instanton-type solutions with sufficiently many free parameters so that Stokes phenomena are correctly caught.

In this note, we consider the first Painlevé hierarchy  $(P_1)_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, ...$ ) with a large parameter  $\eta$  and construct its instanton-type solutions. For the second member  $(P_1)_2$  of the hierarchy, Y. Takei [13] had constructed instanton-type solutions by using singular perturbative reduction of a Hamiltonian system to its Birkhoff normal form. The first author [2] also constructed them by multiple-scale analysis. We follow the latter method and construct instanton-type solutions for a general member  $(P_1)_m$ . Detailed construction will be given in our forthcoming article [3].

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Prof. Jean-André Marti, Prof. Maximilian Hasler and the GF2011 organizing committee for giving the opportunity to the third author to take part in the conference. The third author is also grateful to all the people who helped her at the conference.

#### **2. Instanton-type solutions and multiple-scale analysis**

#### **2.1. The first Painlevé hierarchy with a large parameter**

Let  $w_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ ) be the polynomial of variables  $u_k$  and  $v_l$  ( $1 \leq k, l \leq j$ ) defined by the recurrence relation

(1) 
$$
w_j := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^j u_k u_{j+1-k} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_k w_{j-k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} v_k v_{j-k} + c_j + \delta_{jm} t.
$$

Here  $c_j$  is a constant and  $\delta_{jm}$  stands for the Kronecker delta. Then the first Painlevé hierarchy  $(P_1)_m$  with a large parameter  $\eta$  ( $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ ) is the system of non-linear equations

(2) 
$$
\begin{cases} \eta^{-1} \frac{du_j}{dt} = 2v_j, & j = 1, 2, ..., m, \\ \eta^{-1} \frac{dv_j}{dt} = 2(u_{j+1} + u_1 u_j + w_j), & j = 1, 2, ..., m, \end{cases}
$$

where  $u_j$  and  $v_j$  are unknown functions of *t* with the additional condition  $u_{m+1} = 0$ .

Note that the first member  $(P_1)_1$  gives the traditional first Painlevé equation  $P_1$ with a large parameter η.

As the definition of the system is very complicated, we rewrite the system into the simpler form with the generating functions defined by

(3)  

$$
U(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \theta^k, \quad V(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \theta^k, \quad W(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k \theta^{k+1}
$$

$$
C(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k + \delta_{km} t) \theta^{k+1}.
$$

Here  $\theta$  denotes an independent variable. Then the system (2) becomes

(4) 
$$
\eta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} U\theta \\ V\theta \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2V\theta \\ -(1+2u_1\theta)(1-U) + \frac{1+2C-\theta V^2}{1-U} \end{pmatrix}
$$

with the condition that the coefficients of  $\theta^{m+1}$  of *U* and *V* are zero. Here  $A \equiv B$  implies that *A* − *B* is equal to zero modulo  $\theta^{m+2}$ .

#### **2.2.** 0-parameter solutions of  $(P_1)_m$

For the construction of instanton-type solutions, we first construct a special kind of the solution of  $(P_1)_m$  called a 0*-parameter solution*. We rewrite the result [7] on the 0-parameter solution of  $(P_1)_m$  by using generating functions. Let us consider formal series in  $\eta^{-1}$  of the form

(5) 
$$
\bar{u}_j(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta^{-k} \hat{u}_{j,k}(t), \quad \bar{v}_j(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta^{-k} \hat{v}_{j,k}(t), \quad j = 1, ..., m,
$$

and let us define the generating functions with respect to the leading terms  $\hat{u}_{i,0}$  and  $\hat{v}_{i,0}$ of  $\bar{u}_j$  and  $\bar{v}_j$  by

(6) 
$$
\hat{u}_0(\theta) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{j,0} \theta^j
$$
 and  $\hat{v}_0(\theta) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{v}_{j,0} \theta^j$ ,

respectively. Then, putting (5) into (2), we find the following equations for the generating functions:

(7) 
$$
\hat{v}_0 = 0, \qquad (1 + 2\hat{u}_{1,0}\theta) = \frac{1 + 2C}{(1 - \hat{u}_0)^2}.
$$

The equations can be easily solved and we have

(8) 
$$
\hat{u}_0 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2C}{1 + 2\hat{u}_{1,0}\theta}}.
$$

Note that the  $\hat{u}_{1,0}$  in the right-hand side of (8) is taken so that the coefficient  $\hat{u}_{m+1,0}$  of  $\theta^{m+1}$  in  $\hat{u}_0$  is zero.

#### **2.3.** Instanton-type solutions of  $(P_1)_m$

Let  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ , and we fix it in what follows. We first introduce several notations to define instanton-type solutions.

Let  $u_{k,j\alpha}$  and  $v_{k,j\alpha}$  ( $k = 1,2,..., j = 0,1,2,...$ ) be unknown functions of the variable *t*. We define

(9) 
$$
u := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k,j\alpha}(t) \theta^k \eta^{j\alpha}, \qquad v := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{k,j\alpha}(t) \theta^k \eta^{j\alpha},
$$

and denote by  $\sigma_k^{\theta}(u)$  (resp.  $\sigma_k^{\theta}(v)$ ) the coefficient of  $\theta^k$  in *u* (resp. *v*).

Let Θ be the set of formal power series of θ without constant terms, and let  $Q: (\Theta \Theta)^2 \longrightarrow \Theta^2$  be the map defined by the relation

(10) 
$$
Q\left(\begin{array}{c}x\theta\\y\theta\end{array}\right):=2\left(\begin{array}{c}y\theta\\(1+2\hat{u}_{1,0}\theta)x-\sigma_1^{\theta}(x)\theta\end{array}\right)
$$

for 
$$
x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \theta^j
$$
,  $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j \theta^j \in \Theta$ .

Then, by the change of unknown functions in (4),

(11) 
$$
U = \hat{u}_0 + \eta^{\alpha} (1 - \hat{u}_0) u, \qquad V = \hat{v}_0 + \eta^{\alpha} (1 - \hat{u}_0) v,
$$

we obtain the system of unknown functions  $(u, v)$  in the form

(12)  
\n
$$
\left(\eta^{-1}\frac{d}{dt} - Q\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} u\theta \\ v\theta \end{array}\right) \equiv \eta^{\alpha} \left( \left(\begin{array}{c} h\theta \\ S(u,v) \end{array}\right) - uQ \left(\begin{array}{c} u\theta \\ v\theta \end{array}\right) \right) - \eta^{2\alpha} \left( u \left(\begin{array}{c} h \\ 2\sigma_1^{\theta}(u)u \end{array}\right) + h \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array}\right) \right) \theta + \eta^{3\alpha} u \left(h + \frac{d}{dt}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array}\right) \theta,
$$

with

(13) 
$$
S(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}(-v, u)Q\left(\begin{array}{c}u\theta\\v\theta\end{array}\right) + 3\sigma_1^{\theta}(u)u\theta \text{ and } h := \frac{d}{dt}(\log(1 - \hat{u}_0)).
$$

As the form of the above system suggests, the map *Q* plays an important role in the study of  $(P_1)_m$  and its eigenvector  $A(\lambda)$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  in the sense of  $Q(A(\lambda)\theta) = \lambda A(\lambda)\theta$  has the special form  $\begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda) \\ a(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ λ *a*(λ)/2  $\setminus$ with

(14) 
$$
a(\lambda) := \frac{\theta}{1 - g(\lambda)\theta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(\lambda)^k \theta^{k+1}, \quad g(\lambda) := \frac{\lambda^2 - 8\hat{u}_{1,0}}{4}.
$$

Since the coefficients of  $\theta^{m+1}$  in *U* and *V* are zero, the coefficient  $(1 - \hat{u}_0)a(\lambda)$  of  $\theta^{m+1}$ must be zero. Hence the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $Q$  is a root of the algebraic equation

(15) 
$$
\Lambda(\lambda, t) := g(\lambda)^m - \sum_{k=1}^m \hat{u}_{k,0}g(\lambda)^{m-k} = 0,
$$

where  $\hat{u}_{k,0}$  is given by (5). Note that  $\Lambda(\lambda, t)$  is an even function of  $\lambda$ .

Let  $v_{\pm 1}(t), \ldots, v_{\pm m}(t)$  be the roots of the algebraic equation of  $\lambda$  where we set  $v_k = -v_{-k}$ , and let  $\Omega$  be an open subset in  $\mathbb{C}_t$ . We always assume the following two conditions from now on.

- **(A1)** The roots  $v_i(t)$ 's  $(1 \leq |i| \leq m)$  are mutually distinct for each  $t \in \Omega$ .
- **(A2)** The function  $p_1v_1(t) + \cdots + p_mv_m(t)$  does not vanish identically on  $\Omega$  for any  $(p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{0\}.$

Let  $\tau := (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$  be *m*-independent variables, and let us define the rings

(16)  
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(\Omega) := \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \left[ \left[ \eta^{\alpha} e^{\tau_1}, \dots, \eta^{\alpha} e^{\tau_m}, \eta^{\alpha} e^{-\tau_1}, \dots, \eta^{\alpha} e^{-\tau_m} \right] \right],
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{O}}(\Omega) := \left. \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \right| \left[ \eta^{\alpha} e^{\tau_1}, \dots, \eta^{\alpha} e^{\tau_m}, \eta^{\alpha} e^{-\tau_1}, \dots, \eta^{\alpha} e^{-\tau_m} \right] \right],
$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ ) denotes the set of formal power series in  $\theta$  with coefficients in multi-valued holomorphic functions with a finite number of branching points and poles (resp. holomorphic functions) on  $\Omega$ . We also denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}^{O}(\Omega)$ ) the subset in  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{O}(\Omega)$ ) consisting of a formal power series of order less than or equal to  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\eta$ . For  $\varphi(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_m, t, \theta, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$ , we define the morphism ι by

(17) 
$$
\mathfrak{u}(\varphi) = \varphi\left(\eta \int^t v_1(s) ds, \ldots, \eta \int^t v_m(s) ds, t, \theta, \eta\right).
$$

By replacing  $\frac{d}{dt}$  in (12) with

(18) 
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + \eta v_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2} + \cdots + \eta v_m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_m},
$$

we obtain the partial differential equation associated with (12) of the form

(19)  

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu\theta\\ \nu\theta\end{array}\right) \equiv \eta^{\alpha}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}\hbar\theta\\ S(u,v)\end{array}\right) + u P\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu\theta\\ \nu\theta\end{array}\right)\right) - \eta^{2\alpha}\left(u\left(\begin{array}{c}\mu\\ 2\sigma_1^{\theta}(u)u\end{array}\right) + \left(h + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu\\ \nu\end{array}\right)\right)\theta + \eta^{3\alpha}u\left(h + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu\\ \nu\end{array}\right)\theta.
$$

Here the operator  $P$  is defined by

(20) 
$$
P := \chi_{\tau} - Q, \qquad \chi_{\tau} := v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + \cdots + v_m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_m}.
$$

Then, for a solution  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}^2_{\alpha}(\Omega) := (\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(\Omega))^2$  of the system (19), the  $(\iota(u), \iota(v))$ becomes a formal solution of the system (12).

DEFINITION 1. We say that a formal solution  $(U, V)$  on  $\Omega$  of the system (4) *is of instanton-type if*  $(U, V)$  *has the form*  $(\hat{u}_0, \hat{v}_0) + \eta^{\alpha}(1 - \hat{u}_0)(\iota(u), \iota(v))$  *for which*  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}^2_{\alpha}(\Omega)$  *is a solution of* (19).

# **2.4.** Existence of instanton-type solutions for  $(P_1)_m$

Now we state our main theorem whose proof is given in [3].

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Omega$  *be an open subset in*  $\mathbb{C}_t$  *and we assume the conditions* (A1) *and* (A2). Then we have instanton-type solutions of equations of  $(P_1)_m$  with free 2m*parameters*  $(\beta_{-m}, \ldots, \beta_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}[[\eta^{-1}]]$ *. In particular, we can construct the solution*  $(u, v)$  *in*  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2(\Omega)$  *for* (19) *of the form* 

(21) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{1 \le |k| \le m} f_k(\tau, t; \eta) A(v_k),
$$

*with*

$$
f_k(\tau, t; \eta) = \sum_{j=0, \ell=0}^{\infty} \eta^{(j+2\ell)\alpha} \left( \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^m, |p|=j} f_{k,p,\ell}(t) e^{p \cdot \tau} \right),
$$

*where*  $|p| := |p_1| + \cdots + |p_m|$ *.* 

We can give the more precise form of  $f_k$  appearing in the above theorem. The leading term  $f_{k,0}$  and the subleading term  $f_{k,\alpha}$  of  $f_k$ , for example, are described by the following Lemmas 1 and 2.

LEMMA 1. *We have*

(22) 
$$
f_{k,0} = \omega_k e^{\tau_k} \quad (1 \le |k| \le m),
$$

*where*  $ω<sub>k</sub>$ ,  $ω<sub>-k</sub>$  ( $1 ≤ k ≤ m$ ) *are multi-valued holomorphic functions on*  $Ω$  *of the form* 

(23) 
$$
\omega_{k} = \beta_{k}^{(0)} \exp \left( \int^{t} \left( \frac{1}{\nu_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi(k, j) \beta_{j}^{(0)} \beta_{-j}^{(0)} \exp \left( -2 \int^{t} h_{j} dt \right) - h_{k} \right) dt \right),
$$

$$
\omega_{-k} = \beta_{-k}^{(0)} \exp \left( \int^{t} \left( -\frac{1}{\nu_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi(k, j) \beta_{j}^{(0)} \beta_{-j}^{(0)} \exp \left( -2 \int^{t} h_{j} dt \right) - h_{k} \right) dt \right)
$$

 $$ *the variables*  $v_k$ *'s and*  $h_k$  *are holomorphic functions in*  $\Omega$  *with the conditions* 

(24) 
$$
\phi(k, j) = \phi(-k, j) \quad (1 \le j \le m), \quad h_k = h_{-k}.
$$

For the explicit forms of  $\phi(k, j)$  and  $h_k$ , see [3]. Furthermore the subleading term of the solution is given by the following.

LEMMA 2. *For any k*  $(1 \leq |k| \leq m)$ *, the f<sub>k,* $\alpha$ *</sub> is given by* 

$$
f_{k,\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le |j| \le m, \\ j \ne -k}} \frac{2}{(\nu_k + \nu_j) \nu_k \nu_j} \left( (2\nu_k + \nu_j) \omega_k \omega_j e^{\tau_k + \tau_j} - \nu_j \omega_{-k} \omega_{-j} e^{-\tau_k - \tau_j} \right)
$$
\n
$$
- \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\nu_j^2}{\nu_k} h_{j,k} \omega_j \omega_{-j} + \frac{6}{\nu_k} \omega_k \omega_{-k} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_k \right) \times \frac{1}{\nu_k}.
$$

*Here*  $\gamma_k$  *are holomorphic functions in*  $\Omega$  *with*  $\gamma_k = \gamma_{-k}$  *and*  $h_{k,j}$  *are defined by* 

(26) 
$$
h_{k,j} := \frac{4 \prod_{\substack{1 \le l \le m, \\ l \ne k, j}} (v_k^2 - v_l^2)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \le l \le m, \\ l \ne j}} (v_j^2 - v_l^2)} \quad (j \ne k), \qquad h_{k,k} := \sum_{\substack{l=1, \\ l \ne k}}^m \frac{4}{v_k^2 - v_l^2}
$$

*with the convention*  $h_{k, j} := h_{|k|, |j|}$ *.* 

#### **References**

- [1] AOKI, T. Multiple-scale analysis for Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. *Symplectic Singularities and Geometry of Gauge Fields, Banach Center Publ. 39* (1997), 11– 17.
- [2] AOKI, T. Multiple-scale analysis for higher-order Painlevé equations. *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B2* (2007), 89–97.
- [3] AOKI, T., HONDA, N., AND UMETA, Y. On a construction of general formal solutions for equations of the first Painlevé hierarchy I. Preprint, 2012.
- [4] AOKI, T., KAWAI, T., AND TAKEI, Y. WKB analysis of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. II. *World Sci. Publ., River Edge NJ* (1996), 1–49.
- [5] HONDA, N. On the Stokes geometry of the Noumi-Yamad system. *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B2* (2007), 45–72.
- [6] HONDA, N. Degenerate Stokes geometry and some geometric structure underlying a virtual turning point. *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu* (2008), 15-49.
- [7] KAWAI, T., KOIKE, T., NISHIKAWA, Y., AND TAKEI, Y. On the Stokes geometry of higher order Painlevé equations. *Astérisque*, 297 (2004), 117–166.
- [8] KAWAI, T., AND TAKEI, Y. WKB analysis of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. I. *Adv. Math 118* (1996), 1–33.
- [9] KAWAI, T., AND TAKEI, Y. WKB analysis of Painlevé transcendents with a large parameter. III. *Adv. Math 134* (1998), 178–218.
- [10] KAWAI, T., AND TAKEI, Y. *Algebraic Analysis of Singular Perturbation Theory*, vol. 227 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.
- [11] TAKEI, Y. Singular-perturbative reduction to Birkhoff normal form and instanton-type formal solutions of Hamiltonian systems,. *Publ. RIMS 34* (1998), 601–627.
- [12] TAKEI, Y. An explicit description of the connection formulafor the first Painlevé equation. *Toward the Exact WKB Analysis of Differential Equations, Linear or Non-Linear* (2000), 271–296.
- [13] TAKEI, Y. Instanton-type formal solutions for the first Painlevé hierarchy. *Algebraic Analysis of Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag 2008* (307–319).

# **AMS Subject classification: 34E20, 34M40, 76M45**

Takashi AOKI Department of Mathematics, Kinki University Higashi-Osaka 577-8502, JAPAN e-mail: aoki@math.kindai.ac.jp

Naofumi HONDA Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University Sapporo, 060-0810, JAPAN e-mail: honda@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Yoko UMETA Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University Sapporo, 060-0810, JAPAN e-mail: s053006@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

*Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 20.02.2012*