Rendiconti Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino Vol. 82, 1 (2023), 47 – 70

# **N. Chiarli-S. Greco-R. Notari**\***-M.L.S. Spreafico**\*

# **TORSION–FREE SHEAVES AND ACM SCHEMES**

#### Dedicated to the memory of Gianfranco Casnati

**Abstract.** In this paper we study short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_D(k) \rightarrow 0$  with  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}$  torsion–free sheaves and *D* closed projective scheme. This is a classical way to construct and study projective schemes. In particular, we give homological conditions on  $\mathscr P$  and  $\mathscr N$  that force *D* to be ACM, without constrains on its codimension. As last result, we prove that if  $\mathcal N$  is a higher syzygy sheaf of an ACM scheme  $X$ , the scheme  $D$  we get contains *X*.

#### **1. Introduction**

Homological methods have proved to be very useful in studying projective schemes (see [1,4,9,19] among the many papers where such methods have been applied). For example, many information on the geometry of a closed scheme  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  are encoded in the minimal free resolution of the saturated ideal  $I_X$  of  $X$ . Homological methods are used also to construct schemes with prescribed properties (see [2, 12, 14, 16, 20] in connection with liaison theory and its generalizations). For example, in [14], M. Martin–Deschamps and D. Perrin gave a homological construction of the ideal of a curve  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with a prescribed Hartshorne–Rao module and of minimal degree. In more detail, given a graded Artinian  $R := K[x, y, z, w]$ –module *M* with minimal free resolution

$$
0 \to L_4 \to L_3 \to L_2 \to L_1 \to L_0 \to M \to 0,
$$

they show how to compute a free graded *R*–module *P* such that the cokernel of a general injective map  $\gamma : P \to N := \text{ker}(L_1 \to L_0)$  is isomorphic to the saturated ideal of a locally Cohen–Macaulay curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , up to a shift in grading, that is to say, they produce a short exact sequence

(1) 
$$
0 \to P \xrightarrow{\gamma} N \to I_C(k) \to 0.
$$

An analogous sequence was used first by J.P.Serre in [19] to construct subcanonical curves in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . To this end, he considered a rank 2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{N}$ , a global section *s* whose zero–set has codimension 2, and the corresponding map  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{s}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}$ . The image of the dual map  $\mathcal{N}^{\vee} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  is the ideal sheaf of a subcanonical curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . J.P. Serre's construction was generalized to construct codimension 2 schemes in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  (see [7], [18], among others). For example, in [9], R. Hartshorne considered sections, whose zero–set has codimension 2, of reflexive rank 2 sheaves on

<sup>\*</sup>R.Notari and M.L.S. Spreafico are members of GNSAGA of INdAM. R.Notari is partially supported by PRIN 2020 "Square–free Gröbner degenerations, special varieties and related topics".

 $\mathbb{P}^3$ . In this new and more general setting, the constructed schemes were generically locally complete intersection curves.

While studying the construction of minimal curves by M. Martin–Deschamps and D. Perrin given in [14], we applied it to syzygy modules of 0–dimensional schemes of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  instead of syzygy modules of graded Artinian *R*–modules. The curves we produced were all arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay. To understand why the curves share this unexpected property, we were led to consider all the previous apparently different constructions from the same point of view, getting as result a quite general construction of arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay schemes of arbitrary codimension. For particular choices, we construct arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay schemes containing a given scheme with the same property but of larger codimension.

We outline the structure of the paper. In section 2, first of all we describe some properties of torsion–free coherent sheaves, and their cohomology. Then, we get some bounds on the projective dimensions of  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$  in terms of the codimension of *D* and of the cohomology of its ideal sheaf  $\mathscr{J}_D$ . Finally, we recall the well known result of Martin–Deschamps and Perrin, described in [14], about maximal subsheaves which allows us to assure that the cokernel of a given injective map  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is an ideal sheaf.

Section 3 is the heart of the paper. At first, we give some conditions on the coherent torsion–free sheaves  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$  to assure that the short exact sequence (1) ends with the ideal sheaf of a closed arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay subscheme *D* of  $\mathbb{P}^r$  of codimension 2 + pd( $\mathscr{P}$ ), where pd( $\mathscr{P}$ ) is the projective dimension of  $\mathscr P$ . Moreover, we show that the construction characterizes the couple  $(D,\mathscr P)$  in the sense that starting from an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme *D* and a torsion–free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , we can construct a sheaf  $\mathcal N$  fulfilling our conditions.

In the codimension 2 case we give a geometrical description of our construction associating to any non–zero element of  $H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$  an extension as (1). This is a new reading of the analogous result of [19], for coherent torsion–free sheaves, without bounds on the rank of  $N$ . We show also that some schemes we obtain in our setting cannot be obtained with Hartshorne's construction, and conversely. So, the two constructions are not the same one.

Section 4 is devoted to solve the problem of finding a codimension *s* closed scheme *D* containing a given codimension  $t(> s)$  scheme *X*, them both arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay. We end the section with some examples.

## **2. Preliminary results**

Let *K* be an algebraically closed field, and let  $R = K[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$  be the graded polynomial ring. Let  $\mathbb{P}^r = \text{Proj}(R)$  be the projective space of dimension *r* over *K*. If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ is a closed scheme, we denote by  $\mathscr{J}_X$  its ideal sheaf in  $\mathcal{O}_{P^r}$  and by  $I_X$  its saturated ideal in *R*, and it holds  $I_X = H^0_*(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathscr{J}_X)$ .

By *R*–module (sheaf, resp.) we mean "graded *R*–module" ("coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ – module", resp.). If *F* is a *R*–module we denote by  $\mathcal F$  the corresponding sheaf,

## namely  $\mathcal{F} := \tilde{F}$ .

We recall that a local ring *A* is Cohen–Macaulay if  $dim(A) = depth(A)$ . A ring *A* is Cohen–Macaulay if  $A_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is Cohen–Macaulay for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M} \subset A$ . A scheme *X* is Cohen–Macaulay if the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is Cohen–Macaulay for every closed point *x*  $\in$  *X*. A closed scheme *X*  $\subseteq$   $\mathbb{P}^r$  is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay (ACM, for brief) if the coordinate ring  $R_X = R/I_X$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring. This is equivalent to say that  $H^i_*(\mathscr{J}_X) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le \dim(X)$ .

For any finitely generated *R*–module *P* we denote by pd(*P*) the projective dimension of *P*, i.e., the length of the minimal free resolution of *P* ( [5], Theorem 19.1 and the previous Definition).

Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  be a closed scheme, and let  $I_D \subseteq R$  be its saturated ideal. If

$$
0 \to F_t \to \cdots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to I_D \to 0
$$

is the minimal free resolution of  $I_D$ , with  $t \le r$ , and P is the kernel of  $F_1 \rightarrow I_D$ , then we have a short exact sequence

$$
0\to P\to F_1\to I_D\to 0
$$

which is equivalent to the minimal free resolution. The *R*–module *P* is a torsion–free finitely generated *R*–module with projective dimension  $pd(P) = pd(I_D) - 1$ . We can also consider the short exact sequence

$$
0\to \mathscr{P}\to \mathscr{F}_1\to \mathscr{J}_D\to 0
$$

obtained by considering the sheaves associated to the modules in the former sequence. Of course,  $\mathcal P$  is a torsion–free sheaf, and  $\mathcal F_1$  is dissocié, according to the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1. *A R–module M is torsion–free if every non–zero element of R is a non zero–divisor of M*.

A sheaf  $\mathscr F$  on  $\mathbb P^r$  is torsion–free if  $\mathscr F(U)$  is a torsion–free  $\mathscr O_{\mathbb P^r}(U)$ –module for *every open subset*  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ , *or equivalently,*  $\mathscr{F}_x$  *is torsion–free over*  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r,x}$  *for every*  $x \in \mathbb{P}^r$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\mathscr F$  be a sheaf on  $\mathbb P^r$  . We say that  $\mathscr F$  is dissocié of rank  $s$  if

$$
\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(a_i)
$$

*for suitable integers a*1,...,*a<sup>s</sup>* .

Of course, if *F* is a free *R*–module, then  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{F}$  is dissocié. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is dissocié, then  $H^0_*(\mathscr{F})$  is a free *R*–module.

Generalizations of the approach consist in relaxing the strong hypothesis "dissocié" on  $\mathscr{F}_1$ . Hence, let us consider the short exact sequence

(2) 
$$
0 \to \mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathscr{N} \to \mathscr{J}_D(k) \to 0
$$

with  $\mathscr P$  torsion–free, and  $k \in \mathbb Z$ . Standard arguments allow us to prove that  $\mathscr N$  is torsion–free, as well. So, the weakest hypothesis on  $N$  is torsion–free. On the other hand, short exact sequences are classified by  $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}(\mathscr{J}_D,\mathscr{P}).$ 

As we are interested in sequences of sheaves, it will help to have the analogue for sheaves of the minimal free resolution and of projective dimension of a graded finitely generated module.

By ( $[8]$ , Ch. II, Corollary 5.18), we have that any sheaf  $\mathscr P$  admits a *dissocié resolution*, namely a resolution by dissocié sheaves. We need to be more precise on this point, and so we begin with some preliminaries.

REMARK 1. We recall some facts about associated points. For more details see e.g. ( [15], Ch. 3), where the case of (ungraded) modules is dealt with. Extending to sheaves is straightforward.

(i) Let  $\mathcal F$  be a sheaf. A (not necessarily closed) point  $y \in \mathbb P^r$  is associated to  $\mathcal F$  if there is an open affine *U* = Spec(*A*) ⊆  $\mathbb P^r$  containing *y* such that the prime ideal of *A* corresponding to *y* is associated to the *A*-module  $\Gamma(U,\mathscr{F})$ ; this is equivalent to say that depth<sub> $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r},y}(\mathscr{F}_y) = 0.$ </sub>

(ii) The set  $Ass(\mathcal{F})$  of the associated points to  $\mathcal F$  is finite.

(iii) Any form  $f$  of degree  $n$  avoiding all elements of  $Ass(\mathcal{F})$  induces by multiplication an injective morphism  $\mathscr{F} \stackrel{\cdot f}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{F}(n).$  Hence a general form of degree *n* has this property.

(iv) ( [3], Exercise 20.4.21) The graded *R*- module  $H^0_*(\mathscr{F})$  is finitely generated if and only if Ass( $\mathscr{F}$ ) contains no closed points, if and only if depth $_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r,x}}(\mathscr{F}_x) > 0$  for every (closed)  $x \in \mathbb{P}^r$ .

Now, we prove that every sheaf has a dissocié resolution of finite length. We recall that all sheaves we consider are coherent, as stated at the beginning of the present section.

LEMMA 1. Let  ${\mathscr F}$  be a sheaf and let M be a graded submodule of  $H^0_*({\mathscr F} )$ . Then

- (*a*) *any general linear form induces by multiplication an injective map*  $M \rightarrow M(1)$ *;*
- (*b*) *if M* is finitely generated then  $pd(M) \le r$ ;
- (*c*)  $\mathscr F$  *admits a dissocié resolution of length*  $\leq r$ .

*Proof.* (*a*) follows easily from Remark 1(iii).

(*b*) By (*a*) we have depth( $M$ )  $\geq$  1 and the conclusion follows by the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula ( [5], Exercise 19.8).

(*c*) Since  $\mathscr F$  is coherent we have  $\mathscr F = \tilde M$ , where M is a suitable finitely generated graded submodule of  $H^0_*(\mathscr{F})$  ( [8], Ch. II, proof of Theorem 5.19). The conclusion follows from (*b*), because we get a dissocié resolution of  $\mathcal F$  by sheafifying the minimal free resolution of *M*. $\Box$ 

Following ( [6], Section 2), we define the minimal dissocié resolution of a coherent sheaf.

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\mathscr P$  be a sheaf such that  $P := H^0_*(\mathscr P)$  is finitely generated. *Let*

$$
0 \to H_d \to \cdots \to H_0 \to P \to 0
$$

*be the minimal free resolution of the R–module P . We name* minimal dissocié resolution of  $P$  *the exact sequence* 

 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0$ 

*obtained by sheafifying the minimal free resolution of P. (Recall that*  $\tilde{P} = \mathcal{P}$  *by* ([8]*, Ch. II, Proposition 5.4)).*

*Moreover, we define the* projective dimension *of*  $\mathscr P$  *as*  $pd(\mathscr P) := pd(P)$ *.* 

REMARK 2. It is known that there exist many submodules of  $P = H^0_*(\mathcal{P})$ whose associated sheaf is  $\mathcal{P}$  : in fact, it is enough that such a submodule M agrees with *P* for some large degree on. Of course, the sheafification of a minimal free resolution of *M* is still a dissocié resolution of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and no map is split. However, the resolution of *M* is longer than the minimal one. In fact, from the short exact sequence of modules

$$
0 \to M \to P \to P/M \to 0,
$$

we get that  $pd(M) = r$ , because  $P/M$  is an Artinian module. Hence,  $pd(M) \geq pd(P)$ , as we claimed.

REMARKS 1. (i) Clearly  $pd(\mathcal{P}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal P$  is dissocié. (ii)  $\text{pd}(\mathcal{P}) \le r$  whenever defined (Lemma 1(*b*) applied with  $M = H^0_*(\mathcal{P})$ ).

The next Lemma gives a bound for the projective dimension of a torsion-free sheaf.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathscr P$  be a torsion-free coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb P^r$ , and let  $P=H^0_*(\mathscr P)$ . *Then:*

- (*a*) *P is finitely generated;*
- (*b*) *P torsion-free;*
- (c)  $\mathscr P$  *is a subsheaf of a coherent dissocié sheaf;*
- (*d*)  $pd(P) = pd(\mathscr{P}) \le r 1$ .

*Proof.* (*a*) It follows easily from Remark 1(iv).

(*b*) Any non zero form *f* ∈ *R* of degree *n* induces, by multiplication, an injective morphism  $\mathscr{P} \stackrel{\cdot f}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{P}(n)$ , and consequently an injective homomorphism  $P \xrightarrow{f} P(n)$ .

(*c*) By (*a*) and (*b*), *P* is a torsion–free *R*-module and hence it is a graded submodule of a free *R*–module *L*. Then,  $\mathcal{P} = \tilde{P}$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{L} := \tilde{L}$  and the claim follows.

(*d*) By (*c*) there exist a sheaf  $\mathcal F$  and an exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal P \to \mathcal L \to \mathcal F \to 0$ , whence an exact sequence of *R*-modules:

$$
0 \to P \to L \to M \to 0,
$$

where *M* is a graded submodule of  $H^0_*(\mathscr{F})$ . By Lemma 1(*b*) we have  $pd(M) \le r$ , whence  $pd(P) \le r - 1$ . By (*a*), Definition 3 applies and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

From now on, every *R*–module will be finitely generated, and so we shall skip this assumption.

It is possible to describe the cohomology of a coherent sheaf, as we said before.

LEMMA 3. Let  $r \geq 3$ , let P be a R–module and let  $\mathcal{P} = \tilde{P}$  be its associated sheaf. *Suppose*  $d = pd(P) < r$ *. Then:* 

- $(d) H_*^0(\mathcal{P}) = P;$
- (*b*)  $H^i_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$  *for*  $1 \le i \le r d 1$ ;
- $(c) H_*^{r-d}(\mathcal{P}) \neq 0.$
- (*d*) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is any torsion-free sheaf with  $d := pd(\mathcal{P})$ , then (*b*) and (*c*) hold.

*Proof.* We prove claims (*a*),(*b*),(*c*) together, by induction on *d*.

If  $d = 0$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal P$  is dissocié and the claims hold by ([8], Ch. III, Theorem 5.1).

If  $d = 1$  we have a non–split exact sequence

$$
0 \to L_1 \to L_0 \to P \to 0
$$

with  $L_1$  and  $L_0$  free. By passing to sheaves, we get a non–split exact sequence

(3) 
$$
0 \to \mathscr{L}_1 \to \mathscr{L}_0 \to \mathscr{P} \to 0,
$$

whence  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_1) \neq 0$ . It follows easily that  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(k)) \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand by duality and properties of Ext we get  $H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{P}(-k - r - \mathbb{P}^r))$ 1)) ≅ Ext<sup>1</sup>( $\mathcal{P}(-k-r-1)$ ,  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}}(r)$  ≅ Ext<sup>1</sup>( $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{P}}(k))$ , whence (*c*). Since (*a*) and (*b*) are immediate from the exact sequence (3), the statement holds for  $d = 1$  as well.

Assume now  $d \ge 2$ . We have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to P_1 \to G \to P \to 0
$$

where *G* is a free *R*−module and  $P_1$  is a *R*−module with  $pd(P_1) = d - 1$ . In fact, it is enough to consider the first short exact sequence that can be obtained from the

minimal free resolution of *P*, as explained before. By taking the sheaves associated to each item, we get the short exact sequence of sheaves

(4) 
$$
0 \to \mathscr{P}_1 \to \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{P} \to 0.
$$

By induction, we may assume that  $H^0_*(\mathcal{P}_1) = P_1$ ,  $H^i_*(\mathcal{P}_1) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le r - (d-1) - 1 = 0$  $r - d$ , and that  $H_*^{r - d+1}(\mathcal{P}_1) \neq 0$ .

By assumption, *d* < *r*, and so *r* − *d* ≥ 1. In particular,  $H^1_*(\mathcal{P}_1) = 0$ .

By taking the cohomology sequence associated to (4) and using the assumptions on the cohomology of  $\mathcal{P}_1$  we get the conclusion.

To prove (*d*), set  $P := H^0_*(\mathscr{P})$ . Then by definition and by Lemma 2 we have  $d = pd(P) < r$ . Since  $\tilde{P} = \mathcal{P}$  the conclusion follows by (*b*) and (*c*).  $\Box$ 

The previous Lemma allows us to generalize Horrocks' splitting criterion ( [18], Theorem 2.3.1) to torsion–free sheaves, with a completely different proof (see ( [1] Corollary 1.3) for another generalization to locally–free sheaves).

COROLLARY 1. *A torsion–free sheaf*  $\mathcal P$  *over*  $\mathbb P^r$  *is dissocié precisely when*  $H^i_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$  *for*  $i = 1, ..., r - 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $H^i_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, ..., r - 1$ , and set  $d := \text{pd}(\mathcal{P})$ . By Lemma 3(*d*) we have  $H^i_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, ..., r - 1 - d$  and  $H^{r-d}_*(\mathcal{P}) \neq 0$ . This is possible only if  $d = 0$ , i.e. if  $\mathcal P$  is dissocié. The converse is clear.  $\Box$ 

Now, we consider the short exact sequence (2). Our first result relates the codimension of *D* and the projective dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  *be a closed scheme of codimension s, with*  $s \geq 2$ , *and let P a R*-module with  $pd(P) = d$ . *If*  $s - 2 > d$ , then  $Ext^j_{\mathcal{O}_{P^n}}(\mathcal{J}_D(k), \mathcal{P}) = 0$  for *j* = 1,...,*s* −2−*d*.

*Proof.* We prove the claim by induction on *d*.

If  $d = 0$ , that is to say *P* is a free module, then there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R(-a_i)$ . By using standard properties of Ext groups, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{j} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(\mathcal{J}_{D}(k), \mathcal{P}) = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ext}^{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}}(\mathcal{J}_{D}(k), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(-a_{i})) =
$$
  
\n
$$
= \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ext}^{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}}(\mathcal{J}_{D}(k + a_{i} - r - 1), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}) \cong
$$
  
\n
$$
\cong \oplus_{i=1}^{n} H^{r-j}(\mathbb{P}^{r}, \mathcal{J}_{D}(k + a_{i} - r - 1)) =
$$
  
\n
$$
= \oplus_{i=1}^{n} H^{r-j-1}(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}(k + a_{i} - r - 1)) = 0
$$

as soon as *r* − *j* −1 > *r* −*s* by Grothendieck's vanishing Theorem ( [8], Ch.III, Theorem 2.7), where  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1)$  is the canonical sheaf of  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Hence, Ext<sup>j</sup>( $\mathscr{J}_D(k), \mathscr{P}$ ) = 0 for  $j = 1, ..., s - 2$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the claim holds for  $d = 0$ .

Assume *d* > 0 and the claim to hold true for every *R*–module with projective dimension *d* −1. As in the proof of Lemma 3, we consider the short exact sequence

$$
0\to P_1\to G\to P\to 0
$$

with *G* free and *P*<sub>1</sub> of projective dimension  $d-1$ . By applying Hom( $\mathscr{J}_D(k)$ , −) to the sheafified sequence, we get the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{G})\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{P})\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{P}_1)\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{G}).
$$

From the first part of the proof, we get that  $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{G}) = \text{Ext}^{i+1}(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\mathcal{G}) = 0$  for every *k* and for  $i = 1, ..., s-3$ . From the induction assumption,  $\text{Ext}^{i+1}(\mathcal{J}_D(k), \mathcal{P}_1) = 0$ for every *k* and for  $i = 0, ..., s - 2 - d$ . Hence,  $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{J}_D(k), \mathcal{P}) = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for  $i = 1, \ldots, s - 2 - d$  as claimed.  $\Box$ 

A direct consequence of the previous Proposition is that we can predict if *N* is the direct sum of *P* and *ID*. In fact it holds:

COROLLARY 2. Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  *be a closed scheme of codimension s*  $\geq$  2, *and let P be a R–module satisfying*  $s - 2 > pd(P)$ . *Then, the only extension of*  $\mathcal{I}_D(k)$  *with*  $\mathcal{P}$ *is the trivial one, for every choice of k* ∈ Z. *Consequently if there is a non–split exact sequence* (2), we must have  $s \leq pd(P) + 2$ .

*Proof.* The previous Proposition shows that  $\text{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{J}_{D}(k), \mathcal{P}) = 0$  and the claim follows.  $\Box$ 

Now, we take into account the cohomology of *D* to get a bound on the projective dimension of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  *be a closed scheme, and let*  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}$  *be torsion– free sheaves such that the short sequence (2) is exact. If*  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \geq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2$ , *then*  $H_*^{r-pd(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{J}_D) \neq 0.$ 

*Conversely, if*  $H^j_*(\mathcal{J}_D) \neq 0$  *for some*  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  *with*  $1 \leq j \leq r - 2 - pd(\mathcal{P})$ *, then*  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \geq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2.$ 

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, we have that  $pd(\mathcal{P})$  and  $pd(\mathcal{N})$  are strictly smaller than *r*. By taking the long exact cohomology sequence associated to (2), we get

$$
H^i_*(\mathcal{P}) \to H^i_*(\mathcal{N}) \to H^i_*(\mathcal{J}_D) \to H^{i+1}_*(\mathcal{P}).
$$

From Lemma 3, we know that  $H^j_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$  for  $j = 1,...,r - {\rm pd}(\mathscr{P}) - 1$ , and so  $H^i_*(\mathscr{N}) ≅$  $H^i_*(\mathscr{J}_D)$  for  $i = 1, ..., r - pd(\mathscr{P}) - 2$ .

If  $pd(\mathcal{P})+2 \le pd(\mathcal{N}) < r$ , then  $1 \le r - pd(\mathcal{N}) < r - pd(\mathcal{P}) - 1$ . It follows that  $H_*^{r-pd(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{N}) \cong H_*^{r-pd(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{J}_D)$  and we get the claim by Lemma 3.

Assume now that  $H^j(\mathcal{J}_D(k)) \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some  $j$  such that  $1 \leq$ *j* ≤ *r* − pd( $\mathcal{P}$ ) − 2. Hence,  $H^j_*(\mathcal{N}) \neq 0$ . Again by Lemma 3, *r* − pd( $\mathcal{N}$ ) ≤ *j* and so  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \geq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2.$  $\Box$ 

REMARK 3. In the second part of the previous Proposition, the hypothesis on *j* implies that  $pd(\mathcal{P}) \le r - 3$ . This last inequality is not automatically fulfilled. In fact, let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  be a locally Cohen–Macaulay curve with  $H^1_*(\mathscr{J}_D) \neq 0$ . Let

$$
0 \to G_r \to \cdots \to G_2 \to G_1 \to I_D \to 0
$$

be the minimal free resolution of  $I_D$  and let  $P = \text{ker}(G_1 \rightarrow I_D)$ . Then,  $\text{pd}(P) = r - I_D$  $2 = pd(I_D) - 1$ , and  $pd(G_1) = 0$ . Hence, we cannot apply the previous Proposition to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow I_D \rightarrow 0$ . Nevertheless, it could exist a different short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow N \rightarrow I_D \rightarrow 0$  with pd(*Q*) = *r* − 3. In this case,  $pd(N) = r - 1$ . Notice that  $r - 3$  is the smallest projective dimension allowed for the first item of the sequence, because of the codimension of *D*.

REMARK 4. The case considered in the previous Proposition, namely  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \geq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2$ , occurs in the  $\mathcal{N}$ -type resolution of the ideal sheaf of a locally Cohen–Macaulay curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  ([14], Ch. II, Section 4). In that case,  $\mathscr P$  is dissocié and  $pd(\mathcal{N}) = 2$ , where *N* is the second syzygy module of the Hartshorne–Rao module (graded Artinian *R*–module) of the curve, up to a free summand.

Now, we stress some consequences of the previous Proposition that we' ll use in next sections.

COROLLARY 3. *Consider an exact sequence* (2) *where D has codimension*  $s \geq 2$ *. If D is ACM and the sequence is non-split we have*

(5) 
$$
pd(\mathcal{N}) \leq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 1.
$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 2 the non–splitting of the sequence (2) implies that  $s \leq pd(\mathcal{P}) +$ 2. If  $pd(\mathcal{N})$  ≥  $pd(\mathcal{P})+2$ , then  $H_*^{r-pd(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{J}_D) \neq 0$  by Proposition 2. On the other hand,  $r - pd(\mathcal{N}) \le r - s$  and so  $H_*^{r-pd(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{J}_D) = 0$  because *D* is ACM. The contradiction proves that  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \leq pd(\mathcal{P}) + 1$ .  $\Box$ 

REMARK 5. If  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \le pd(\mathcal{P}) + 1$ , we can only prove that  $H^i_*(\mathcal{J}_D) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r - pd(\mathcal{P}) - 2$ . Hence, *D* could not be an ACM scheme if  $s < pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2$ .

A further problem related to the sequence (2) is the following: given the modules *P* and *N*, and an injective map  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , when is the cokernel an ideal sheaf? This problem was considered in [14], and we resume their results.

At first, we recall the definition and some properties of the maximal subsheaves, generalizing to  $\mathbb{P}^r$  the one given for sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  ([14], Ch. IV, Définition 1.1). In literature, maximal subsheaves are also named saturated sheaves (e.g., see [11]).

DEFINITION 4. Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$  be  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  –modules.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a maximal subsheaf of  $\mathcal{N}$ *if for all subsheaves*  $M' \subset N$  *with rank*( $M$ ) = *rank*( $M'$ ) *such that*  $M \subseteq M' \subseteq N$ , *we* have  $M = M'$ .

The interest in such subsheaves lies in the following properties.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $M \subseteq N$  be  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  *–modules. Consider the following properties:*

- $(a)$  *M* is maximal;
- (*b*)  $N/M$  *is torsion–free;*
- (*c*)  $N / M$  *is torsion–free in codimension* 1;
- (*d*)  $N / M$  *is locally free in codimension* 1;
- (*e*) N /M *has constant rank in codimension* 1;
- (*f*)  $N / M$  *is locally a direct summand of*  $N$  *in codimension* 1.

*Then,*  $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (d) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f)$ . *Furthermore, if* N *is torsion–free and* M *is locally free, they all are equivalent.*

*Proof.* The statement was proved for sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  in ( [14], Ch. IV, Proposition 1.2), but the proof works without changes also for sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .  $\Box$ 

Moreover, in the proof, the authors proved also the existence of maximal dissocié subsheaves of a sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$ .

As explained in ( [14], Ch.IV, Remark 1.3(c)), in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , if  $\mathcal N$  is a rank  $n+1$  vector bundle, and  $M$  is a rank *n* dissocié maximal subsheaf of  $N$ , then  $N/M$  is a rank 1 torsion–free sheaf, and so it is an ideal sheaf tensorized times  $\det(\mathcal{N})\otimes\det(\mathcal{M}^{-1}).$ Moreover, if  $\mathcal N$  is not dissocié, then the ideal sheaf defines a curve.

#### **3. A construction of ACM schemes**

In this section, we consider two coherent torsion–free sheaves  $\mathcal P$  and  $\mathcal N$  and an injective map  $\gamma : \mathscr{P} \to \mathscr{N}$ , and we study the scheme *D* whose ideal sheaf is isomorphic to coker( $\gamma$ ), as in [14]. We limit ourselves to consider only the case *D* has the largest codimension to have a non–split exact sequence (2) (see Corollary 2) and  $\mathcal N$  to have the largest projective dimension to allow *D* to be an ACM scheme (see Corollary 3). In more detail, we collect the hypotheses on  $\mathscr P$  and  $\mathscr N$  in the following

> (*H*.1)  $\mathcal{P}$  is torsion-free and  $s := pd(\mathcal{P}) + 2 \le r$ ; (*H*.2)  $\mathcal N$  is torsion-free and  $pd(\mathcal N) \leq pd(\mathcal P) + 1$ ; (*H*.3) the polynomial

$$
p(t) := -\chi(\mathcal{N}(t-k)) + \chi(\mathcal{P}(t-k)) + \begin{pmatrix} t+r \\ r \end{pmatrix}
$$

has degree  $r - s$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(H)

We remark that, in view of Definition 3 and Remark 1, the condition about the projective dimensions required in (H.1) and (H.2) means that  $P := H^0_*(\mathscr{P})$  and  $N := H_*^0(\mathcal{N})$  have, respectively, minimal free resolutions

$$
0 \to G_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{s-1}} G_{s-2} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{s-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} G_1 \to P \to 0
$$

and

$$
0 \to F_s \xrightarrow{\delta_s} F_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{s-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_2} F_1 \to N \to 0.
$$

REMARKS 2. (i) We allow  $F_j = 0$  for some *j* in the minimal free resolution of *N*. In such a case,  $F_{j+h} = 0$  for every  $h \ge 0$ .

(ii) Condition (H.3) implies that rank( $\mathcal{N}$ ) = rank( $\mathcal{P}$ ) + 1, because the rank of  $\mathscr F$  is equal to *r*! times the coefficient of  $t^r$  in  $\chi(\mathscr F(t))$ . Moreover, recalling that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(a)$  has degree a and that the degree is additive on exact sequences, we have that  $k = \deg(\mathcal{N}) - \deg(\mathcal{P})$ .

Now, we describe the geometric properties of the schemes that can be obtained from such torsion–free sheaves.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathcal P$  and  $\mathcal N$  be torsion–free coherent sheaves that fulfil the *hypotheses (H). Assume that there exists an injective map*  $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{N}$  *whose image is a maximal subsheaf of* N. Then there exists a codimension  $s = 2 + pd(\mathcal{P})$  scheme *D*, *closed and ACM, whose ideal sheaf fits into the short exact sequence (2) with k* = deg( $\mathcal{N}$ ) – deg( $\mathcal{P}$ ). Moreover, the sequence  $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow I_D(k) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

*Proof.* The cokernel of  $\gamma$  is a rank 1 torsion–free sheaf  $\mathscr{F}$ . Let  $\mathscr{F}^{\vee\vee}$  be its double dual. Since  $\mathscr F$  is torsion–free, the natural map  $\mathscr F \to \mathscr F^{\vee\vee}$  is injective. By ( [9], Corollary 1.2 and Proposition 1.9),  $\mathscr{F}^{\vee\vee}\cong \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(h)$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and so  $\mathscr{F} \cong \mathscr{I}_D(h) \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(h)$ , i.e. we have an exact sequence

$$
0\to \mathcal{P}\stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\to \mathcal{J}_D(h)\to 0.
$$

Clearly  $h = \deg(\mathcal{N}) - \deg(\mathcal{P}) = k$ , and hence the above sequence coincides with (2). Now, by Remark 2, (ii),  $k$  is the integer occurring in the polynomial  $p(t)$  of (H.3), then  $p(t)$  is the Hilbert polynomial of *D*, whence dim(*D*) =  $r - s$  by (H.3). Moreover, (H.2) and the second part of Proposition 2 imply that *D* is ACM. Finally, by (H.1) we have  $pd(\mathcal{P}) \le r - 2$ , whence  $r - pd(\mathcal{P}) - 1 \ge 1$ . Then Lemma 3(*d*) implies that  $H^1_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$  and the last statement follows.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 6. The map  $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{N}$  induces a map of complexes between the minimal free resolutions of *P* and *N*. Let  $\gamma_i$ :  $G_i \rightarrow F_i$  be the induced map. Of course,  $\gamma_i \circ \Delta_{i+1} = \delta_{i+1} \circ \gamma_{i+1}$ , for each  $i \ge 1$ . Hence, a resolution of  $I_D(k)$  can be obtained

via mapping cone from (1), and it is

(6) 
$$
G_{s-1} \xrightarrow{G_{s-1}} G_{s-2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_s} \theta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{s-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_3} \theta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} F_1 \to I_D(k) \to 0
$$

$$
F_s \xrightarrow{F_{s-1}} F_{s-1}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_i$ :  $G_{i-1} \oplus F_i \rightarrow G_{i-2} \oplus F_{i-1}$  is given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{i-1} & 0 \\ (-1)^{i} \gamma_{i-1} & \delta_i \end{pmatrix}
$$
, for  $i \ge 2$ .

We remark that  $\varepsilon_2$ :  $G_1 \oplus F_2 \rightarrow F_1$  is represented by the matrix  $(\gamma_1, \delta_2)$ .

By general results on free resolutions, it is clear that the minimal free resolution of  $I_D(k)$  can be obtained by cancelling the free modules corresponding to constant non–zero entries of any matrix representing the map  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 2, \ldots, s$ .

REMARK 7. If there exists an injective map  $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{N}$  whose image is a maximal subsheaf of N of rank rank( $\mathcal{P}$ ) = rank(N) – 1, then the general map in Hom( $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}$ ) has the same property.

Before studying further the properties of the construction, we give an example to illustrate it.

EXAMPLE 1. In  $\mathbb{P}^4 = \text{Proj}(R = K[x, y, z, t, u])$ , let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be plane curves of degrees *d* and *e*, with *e* < *d*, whose saturated ideals are

$$
I_{C_1} = \langle x, y, f_1 \rangle \qquad I_{C_2} = \langle t, u, f_2 \rangle
$$

where  $f_1 \in K[z, t, u]_d, f_2 \in K[x, y, z]_e$ . Moreover, we assume that  $f_1 - z^d, f_2 - z^e \in$  $\langle x, y, t, u \rangle$ . Let  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ . It follows from the assumptions that *C* is a degree  $d + e$ curve, not ACM because  $H^1_*\mathcal{I}_C \cong R/\langle x, y, t, u, z^e \rangle$ . We adapt an argument by [20] to choose the sheaves  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$  so that our construction provides an ACM curve  $D$ containing *C*.

Let  $\mathscr N$  be the sheaf associated to the first syzygy module of  $H^0_*(\mathscr O_C).$  An easy computation shows that the minimal free resolution of  $\mathcal N$  is

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n& & & & \mathcal{O}^2(-2) & \mathcal{O}^4(-1) \\
& & & & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus \\
0 \to & & \oplus & \mathcal{O}^2(-e-1) & \stackrel{\delta_2}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{O}(-e) & \stackrel{\delta_1}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N} \to 0, \\
& & & & \oplus & \oplus \\
& & & & \mathcal{O}^2(-d-1) & \mathcal{O}(-d)\n\end{array}
$$

where  $\mathscr O$  is the structure sheaf of  $\mathbb P^4$  and the maps are represented by the matrices

$$
\delta_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} y & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 & 0 \\ -x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & f_1 \\ 0 & u & f_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 & f_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t & -u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x & -y \end{array}\right) \qquad \delta_3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & f_1 \\ f_2 & 0 \\ -u & 0 \\ t & 0 \\ 0 & -y \\ 0 & x \end{array}\right).
$$

Furthermore, let  $\mathcal P$  be defined by the exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} \mathcal{O}^2(-2) \xrightarrow{\Delta_1} \mathcal{P} \to 0
$$

where  $\Delta_2$  is represented by the matrix

$$
\Delta_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ l_1 \end{array}\right)
$$

with  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  linear forms intersecting along a plane.

It is evident that both  $\mathcal P$  and  $\mathcal N$  are torsion–free, with projective dimensions 1 and 2, respectively. Hence, they fulfil the conditions (*H*.1) and (*H*.2) with *s* = 3. A straightforward computation shows that, for  $k = 1$ , the polynomial  $p(t)$  is equal to  $t(d + e + 2) + 1 - {e \choose 2} - {d \choose 2}$ , and so it has degree  $r - s = 4 - 3$ . Hence, condition (*H*.3) is fulfilled, too. Now, we want to give a map  $\gamma : \mathscr{P} \to \mathscr{N}$ , such that its image is a rank 1 maximal sub-sheaf of  $N$ . By lifting  $γ$  to the minimal free resolutions of the two sheaves, we get the two maps  $\gamma_1: \mathcal{O}^2(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^4(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-e) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-d)$  and *γ*<sub>2</sub>:  $\mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^2(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2(-e-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2(-d-1)$ , that verify

$$
\delta_1 \circ \gamma_1 = \gamma \circ \Delta_1
$$
 and  $\delta_2 \circ \gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \circ \Delta_2$ .

With some non difficult computations, it is possible to prove that for each choice of the maps  $a:\mathcal{O}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}^4(-1)$  and  $b:\mathcal{O}^2(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^2(-2)$ , we get a map of complexes by setting

$$
\gamma = \delta_{1} \circ a, \quad \gamma_1 = a \circ \Delta_1 + \delta_{2} \circ b, \quad \gamma_2 = b \circ \Delta_2
$$

where  $\delta_{1|}$  is the restriction of  $\delta_1$  to  $\mathcal{O}^4(-1)$  and  $\delta_{2|}$  is the restriction of  $\delta_2$  to  $\mathcal{O}^2(-2)$ . As P is the sub–sheaf of O(−1) spanned by Im(∆1), for general *a*, Im(*γ*) is a maximal sub–sheaf of  $N$ , because for a rank 1 sheaf the maximality condition is equivalent to the vanishing locus of the generator to have codimension at least 2. The curve *D* is then defined by the ideal

$$
I_D = \langle xt, yt, xu, yu, f_2(a_1x + a_2y), f_1(a_3t + a_4u) \rangle
$$

where *a* is represented by the transpose of the matrix  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ .

Once we have constructed a closed ACM scheme *D* of codimension *s* as cokernel of a short exact sequence (2), we can construct the minimal free resolution of *I<sup>D</sup>* and it is

$$
0 \to H_s \xrightarrow{\sigma_s} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} H_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} I_D \to 0
$$

where  $H_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R(-n)^{h_i(n)}$ . Let  $K = \ker(\sigma_1)$ . Then, the ideal sheaf  $\mathscr{J}_D$  is also the cokernel of the short exact sequence

(7) 
$$
0 \to \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \mathcal{J}_D \to 0.
$$

Now we compare the two sequences (2) and (7).

PROPOSITION 4. Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  *be an ACM scheme of codimension s and let* (7) *be as above.*

*(i)* If there is a sequence (2) with  $pd(\mathcal{P}) = s - 2$  then there exists a map  $\psi$ :  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  *such that*  $\mathcal{N}$  *is the push–out of*  $\mathcal{P}$  *and*  $\mathcal{H}_1$ *.* 

*(ii)* Conversely, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a torsion–free coherent sheaf with  $pd(\mathcal{P}) = s - 2$ . Then, *for every map*  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}$  *there exists a short exact sequence (2) whose third item is*  $\mathscr{J}_D$ .

*Proof.* (i) Up to twisting the sequence (2), we can assume that  $k = 0$ . The minimal free resolution of  $I_D$  is

$$
0 \to H_s \xrightarrow{\sigma_s} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} H_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} I_D \to 0,
$$

and so  $\sigma_1$  maps the canonical bases of  $H_1$  onto a minimal set of generators of  $I_D$ . The surjective map  $\mathscr{N} \to \mathscr{J}_D$  induces a surjective map  $N = H^0_*(\mathscr{N}) \to I_D$  because  $pd(\mathcal{P}) = s - 2$  implies that  $H^1_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$  (see Lemma 3). Hence, we have a well defined map  $H_1 \rightarrow N$  given on the canonical bases of  $H_1$  and extended by linearity. So, there exists a map  $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{N}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\varphi$  maps the kernel of  $σ_1$  to the image of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and so  $\varphi$  induces a map  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}$ . At the end, there exists a commutative diagram



where the last map is the identity of  $\mathcal{J}_D$ . From the universal property of the push– out (see ( [17], Ch. 3, Theorem 11) for the definition and the properties of the push–out), it follows that  $\mathcal N$  is the push–out of  $\mathcal H_1$  and  $\mathcal P$  as claimed.

(ii) As soon as we fix a map  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}$ , we can construct the same commutative diagram we considered in the first part of the proof. In more detail, let *q* :  $K \rightarrow H_1 \oplus \mathcal{P}$  be defined as *j* on the first summand and as −*ψ* on the second one. Then, the sheaf  $N$  satisfies  $N = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{P}/\text{im}(q)$ , and is torsion–free of rank rank( $\mathcal{P}$ ) + 1. The second row of the commutative diagram above gives the short exact sequence  $0 \to P \to N \to I_D \to 0$  because  $H^1_*(\mathscr{P}) = 0$  by Lemma 3. Hence,  $pd(\mathcal{N}) \leq pd(\mathcal{J}_D) = pd(\mathcal{P}) + 1$ , and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 8. If  $\psi = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{J}_D$ , and the sequence is not interesting. On the other hand, if  $\psi$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{H}_1$  and once again we get nothing new.

Summarizing the above discussed results, we have that if we start from two sheaves  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$  satisfying our hypotheses, we can construct codimension  $s$  ACM schemes, and conversely, given a codimension *s* ACM scheme *D* and a torsion–free sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , we can construct a sheaf  $\mathcal N$  fulfilling the conditions we ask.

Starting from two given torsion–free sheaves  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$ , there are constrains on the ACM schemes we can obtain.

PROPOSITION 5. *In the same hypotheses as Theorem 1, let*  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  *be a codimension s ACM closed scheme whose ideal sheaf fits into a short exact sequence*

$$
0\to \mathscr{P}\to \mathscr{N}\to \mathscr{J}_D(k)\to 0
$$

*for some*  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . *Then, the minimal number of generators of*  $I_D$  *is not larger than rank*( $\mathcal{F}_1$ ) *while the free modules*  $H_i$  *that appear in the minimal free resolution of I*<sup>D</sup>(*k*) *are direct summands of*  $F_i ⊕ G_{i-1}$ .

*Proof.* We constructed a free resolution of  $I_D(k)$  in Remark 6. The minimal free resolution of *I<sup>D</sup>* can be obtained from this last one by cancelling suitable summands.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of the hypotheses (H), to construct ACM schemes of codimension  $s \geq 3$ , we have to consider a torsion–free sheaf  $\mathscr P$  satisfying  $pd(\mathscr P) > 0$ , that is to say,  $\mathscr P$  non-dissocié. On the other hand, if the codimension of *D* is 2, then  $\mathscr P$  is dissocié. In this case, we have a more geometric interpretation of the construction, and it can be compared with Serre's construction (Hartshorne's one, respectively) when  $\mathcal N$  is a rank 2 vector bundle (reflexive sheaf, respectively).

PROPOSITION 6. *Let D* ⊂ P *<sup>r</sup> be a codimension* 2 *ACM closed scheme, and let c be an integer such that*  $H^0(D, \omega_D(c)) \neq 0$ . *Then, for every non–zero*  $\xi \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$ *we can construct a short non-split exact sequence*

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{J}_D \to 0
$$

*with*  $\mathcal N$  *torsion–free, of rank* 2 *and*  $pd(\mathcal N) \leq 1$ *.* 

*Proof.* By Serre's duality for  $\mathbb{P}^r$  ([8], Ch. III, Theorem 7.1), we get  $Ext^1(\mathcal{J}_D, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c$ *r*−1( $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_D(-c)$ )'. From the inclusion  $D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ , we get  $H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}_D(-c))'$  ≃  $H^{r-2}(D,\mathcal{O}_D(-c))'$ , and again by Serre's duality on *D* ( [8], Ch. III, Theorem 7.6 and Proposition 6.3(c)), we have the further isomorphisms  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{J}_D, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)) \cong$  $Hom(\mathcal{O}_D(-c), \omega_D) \cong H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$ . Hence, every non–zero  $\xi \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$  can be thought of as an extension of  $\mathscr{J}_D$  with  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$  and so as a non–split short exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{J}_D \to 0.
$$

The sheaf  $N$  has rank 2, and it is torsion–free. Moreover, if

$$
0 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{J}_D \to 0
$$

is the minimal dissocié resolution of  $\mathcal{J}_D$ , there is a natural surjection Hom( $\mathcal{H}_2,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$ )  $\to$  Ext<sup>1</sup>( $\mathcal{J}_D,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$ ), and so there exists a map  $\psi$ :  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$  that does not factor through  $\varphi$ :  $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$  whose image in Ext<sup>1</sup>(ℐ<sub>D</sub>,⑦pr (*c* − *r* − 1)) is equal to *ξ*. By using standard results from homological

algebra, we get that N is the push–out of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$  via  $(\varphi, -\psi)$ . Hence, the resolution of  $N$  with dissocié sheaves is

$$
0 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \stackrel{(\varphi,-\psi)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \to \mathcal{N} \to 0
$$

and so  $\mathcal N$  has projective dimension less than or equal to 1.

(*ϕ*,−*ψ*)

REMARK 9. From the proof of the previous Proposition, we get that  $pd(\mathcal{N}) =$ 0 if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1)$ , i.e. *D* is a complete intersection scheme.

REMARK 10. We can easily modify the proof to get sheaves  $\mathcal N$  of larger rank: it is enough to consider  $c_1,...,c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $H^0(D,\omega_D(c_i)) \neq 0$  for at least a  $c_i$ . As in the proof of the previous Proposition,  $\oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(D, \omega_D(c_i)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{J}_D, \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c_i$ *r* − 1)) and so a non–zero element  $ξ ∈ ∅_{i=1}^{n-1} H^0(D, ω_D(c_i))$  can be considered as an extension of  $\mathcal{J}_D$  with  $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c_i - r - 1)$ , and we can construct  $\mathcal{N}$  as in the proof.

REMARK 11. In comparing Proposition 6 with Serre's and Hartshorne's constructions mentioned above, it is evident that the hypothesis on  $\mathcal N$  strongly affects the properties of the constructed scheme. For example, when  $\mathcal N$  is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf, as in Hartshorne' s setting, the associated schemes are generically locally complete intersection. In fact, the locus where the reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal N$  is not locally free has codimension  $\geq 3$  ([3], Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 4.1) for the case of curves in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ). The properties of the associated schemes show that the constructions are not the same one. In fact, following Proposition 6, it is possible to construct ACM schemes which are locally complete intersection at no point, while if  $\mathcal N$  is reflexive and *D* is the associated scheme, the locus of the points of *D* where *D* is not locally complete intersection has codimension  $\geq 1$  in *D*. On the other hand, all the schemes constructed via Proposition 6 are ACM, while the ones associated to reflexive sheaves can have non–zero cohomology.

Now, we show how to construct ACM codimension 2 schemes which contain the first infinitesimal neighborhood of another ACM codimension 2 scheme. They are candidates to have no points at which the scheme is locally complete intersection.

PROPOSITION 7. Let *Y* be an ACM codimension 2 scheme and let  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{J}_Y \oplus$ J*<sup>Y</sup>* . *Then, every codimension* 2 *ACM scheme D we obtain from the construction above contains the first infinitesimal neighborhood of Y*. *Moreover, D is not locally complete intersection at any point of Y .In particular it is not generically locally complete intersection.*

*Proof.* For the first statement, it is enough to prove that  $I_D \subset I_Y^2$ .

Let  $0 \to \mathscr{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathscr{L}_0 \to \mathscr{I}_Y \to 0$  be the minimal dissocié resolution of  $\mathscr{I}_Y$ . Let *ϕ* be represented by a matrix *A*. Hence, the maximal minors of *A* generate the ideal *I<sup>Y</sup>* .

 $\Box$ 

Let  $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-m)$  and let  $\gamma : \mathscr{P} \to \mathscr{N}$  be a general map whose image is a maximal subsheaf of  $\mathcal N$ . Let  $\gamma': \mathscr P \to \mathscr L_0 \oplus \mathscr L_0$  be a lifting of  $\gamma$ .

The ideal  $I_D$  is generated by the maximal minors of the matrix

$$
M = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} A & O & C' \\ O & A & C'' \end{array}\right)
$$

where the last column represents *γ* ′ . Every maximal minor of *M* can be computed by Laplace rule with respect to the last column, and so it is a combination of the maximal minors of the block matrix  $\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & O \ O & A \end{array}\right)$ , whose maximal minors generate the ideal  $I_Y^2$ .

Let now  $x \in Y$  and set  $S := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r, x}$ . We have an exact sequence of *S*-modules

$$
0 \to S \to \mathcal{N}_x \to \mathcal{J}_{D,x} \to 0.
$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}_x$  needs at least four generators whence  $\mathscr{J}_{D,x}$  needs at least three generators. Since *D* has codimension 2 it cannot be a complete intersection at *x*.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 12. The easiest case we can consider is when the scheme *Y* is the complete intersection of two hypersurfaces. In this case, the scheme defined by  $I_Y^2$ is ACM of codimension 2 and it can be obtained from the previous construction.

For large *m*, and a general lifting *γ*, the scheme *D* constructed in the previous Proposition properly contains the first infinitesimal neighborhood of *Y*, with a residual part not supported at *Y*. For example, let *Y* be the line  $x = y = 0$ . For a general  $\gamma: R(-2) \to R^2(-1) \oplus R^2(-1)$ , *D* has degree 6, and is the union of the first infinitesimal neighborhood of *Y* and a twisted cubic curve meeting *Y* at two points.

A similar result holds both for the direct sum of  $s(≥ 2)$  copies of  $\mathcal{J}_Y$ , and for non–trivial extensions of  $\mathscr{J}_Y$  with itself or with twists of another ACM codimension 2 scheme *Z*, but we do not state them.

Now, we relate extensions associated to divisors that differ by hypersurface sections.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let D* ⊂ P *<sup>r</sup> be a codimension* 2 *ACM scheme. Let us take*  $\zeta \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$  *and*  $\zeta' \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c+d))$  *both non–zero, with d* ≥ 0, *and let* 

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{J}_D \to 0
$$

*and*

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1) \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{J}_D \to 0
$$

*be the associated short exact sequences. Then, there exists a degree d hypersurface*  $S = V(f)$  *that cuts D along a codimension* 3 *subscheme such that*  $\xi' = f\xi$  *if, and only if, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$
0 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{O}_S(c+d-r-1) \to 0
$$



*that induces the identity on*  $\mathscr{J}_D$ *.* 

*Proof.* In the proof of previous Proposition, we constructed the sheaf  $N$  as pushout



Assume that  $\xi' = f\xi$ . The section  $f\xi \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c+d))$  is the image of the map  $f \psi \in Hom(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1))$  in  $Ext^1(\mathcal{J}_D, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1))$  and so the sheaf  $\mathcal{N}'$  is the push–out of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1)$  via  $\varphi$  and  $-f\psi$ . From the universal property of the push–out (see [13], pp. 62), we get the following map of complexes

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_D \rightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
f \downarrow \qquad \qquad \varepsilon \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_D \rightarrow 0
$$

and so  $\varepsilon$  is injective, and coker( $\varepsilon$ ) ≅  $\mathcal{O}_S(c+d-r-1)$ , as claimed.

Assume now that the short exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{O}_S(c+d-r-1) \to 0
$$

induces the identity on  $\mathscr{J}_D$ . Standard arguments allow us to lift  $\varepsilon$  to an injective map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c-r-1) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1)$  whose cokernel is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_S(c+d-r-1)$ . Hence, the map is the multiplication by f, and  $\mathcal{N}'$  is the push-out of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(c+d-r-1)$  via  $\varphi$  and  $f\psi$ . Hence,  $\xi' = f\xi$ , and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 13. Let  $\xi, \xi' \in H^0(D, \omega_D(c))$ . By applying the previous Proposition, we get that  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  are linearly dependent if and only if the sheaves  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal N'$ associated to them are isomorphic.

## **4. ACM schemes from ACM ones**

Let *X* ⊂  $\mathbb{P}^r$  be a codimension *t* ACM scheme. For general choices, *s*(< *t*) hypersurfaces of large degree containing *X* define a complete intersection codimension *s* ACM scheme containing *X*. In this section, we discuss the related problem of finding an ACM codimension *s* closed scheme  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  containing *X*. Of course, we make use of the construction described in the previous section.

The main result is the following.

PROPOSITION 9. Let *X* be a codimension t ACM scheme in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  with  $3 \le t \le r$ *and let*

(8) 
$$
0 \to F_t \xrightarrow{\delta_t} F_{t-1} \to \cdots \to F_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} I_X \to 0
$$

*be the minimal free resolution of the saturated ideal that defines X*. Let  $N = \text{ker}(\delta_{t-s})$ *be the* (*t* − *s*)*–th syzygy module of X*, *for some s* ≥ 2, *and let P be a torsion–free R–module of projective dimension*  $s - 2$ . *Assume further that* N and P *satisfy the condition* (*H.3*), *and that there exists an injective map*  $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{N}$  *such that*  $\gamma(\mathcal{P})$ *is a maximal subsheaf of* N . *Then, for every ACM codimension s closed scheme D constructed as in Theorem 1 there is a short exact sequence*

$$
0 \to \mathscr{E}xt^{s-2}(\mathscr{P},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \to \omega_D(-k) \to \omega_X \to 0.
$$

*Moreover, D contains X*.

*Proof.* The *R*–module *N* is torsion–free, and has no free summand, because it is computed from the minimal free resolution of  $I_X$ . Moreover,

$$
0 \to F_t \xrightarrow{\delta_t} F_{t-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{t-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{t-s+2}} F_{t-s+1} \to N \to 0
$$

is the minimal free resolution of *N* and so the projective dimension of *N* is *s* −1. Hence,  $\mathcal N$  and  $\mathcal P$  satisfy all the conditions (H).

Hence, by Theorem 1 there exists a codimension *s* ACM closed scheme  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ , and an integer *k* such that

$$
0 \to \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{J}_D(k) \to 0
$$

is a short exact sequence. By applying  $\mathcal{H}$  *om*(−,  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ ) we get

$$
\mathcal{E}xt^{s-2}(\mathcal{N},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^{s-2}(\mathcal{P},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathcal{J}_D(k),\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \to \to \mathcal{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathcal{N},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \to \mathcal{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathcal{P},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}).
$$

 $\mathscr{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathscr{P},\omega_{\mathbb{P}'})=0$  because  $pd(\mathscr{P})=s-2$ , while  $\mathscr{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathscr{N},\omega_{\mathbb{P}'})=\mathscr{E}xt^{t-1}(\mathscr{J}_X,\omega_{\mathbb{P}'})$ by definition of *N*. Hence,  $\mathscr{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathcal{N},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) = \omega_X$ , and  $\mathscr{E}xt^{s-2}(\mathcal{N},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) = 0$  because *X* is ACM of codimension *t* ( [8], Ch. III, Proposition 7.5 and Theorem 7.1). Again by ( [8], Ch. III, Proposition 7.5),  $\mathscr{E}xt^{s-1}(\mathscr{J}_D(k),\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r}) = \omega_D(-k)$ . Summarizing the above arguments, the construction induces a short exact sequence

$$
0\to \mathcal{E}xt^{s-2}(\mathcal{P},\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r})\to \omega_D(-k)\to \omega_X\to 0
$$

that relates the dualizing sheaves of *X* and *D*. In particular, we can think of  $\omega_X$  as a quotient of  $\omega_D$ , up to a twist. The annihilator of  $\omega_X$  is  $\mathscr{J}_X$  (see, ([5], Corollary 21.3)), the one of  $\omega_D$  is  $\mathscr{J}_D$ , and so we get the last claim because it is evident that the annihilator of  $\omega_D(-k)$  is contained in the one of  $\omega_X$ .  $\Box$ 

The previous Proposition can be applied in the following case: let  $\mathcal N$  be the first syzygy module of a zero–dimensional scheme *X* in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and so pd(*N*) = 1. When applying to  $\mathcal N$  the algorithm by M.Martin–Deschamps and D.Perrin for computing minimal curves in a biliaison class, we get a free module  $P$  ( $pd(P) = 0$ ) and a general injective map  $\gamma : P \to N$  whose cokernel is, up to a twist, the ideal of a curve *D* (and

so the codimension of *D* is 2). Hence, the hypotheses of Proposition 9 are fulfilled and the curve *D* is ACM and contains *X*.

We rephrase Proposition 5 in the case  $\mathcal N$  is the  $(t-s)$ –syzygy sheaf of an ACM scheme *X* of codimension *t*. We recall that the Cohen–Macaulay type of an ACM scheme *X* of codimension *t* is equal to the rank of the free module *F<sup>t</sup>* in a minimal free resolution of the saturated ideal  $I_X$ . An ACM scheme  $X$  with Cohen– Macaulay type 1 is said arithmetically Gorenstein (see [10] for equivalent definitions and properties).

COROLLARY 4. *Let X and D be schemes as in Proposition 9. Then, the Cohen– Macaulay type of X is not greater than the one of D*. *In particular, D is arithmetically Gorenstein if and only if X is such.*

*Proof.* The minimal dissocié resolution of  $\mathcal N$  agrees with the one of  $\mathcal J_X$ , and so *F*<sup>*t*</sup> ⊕ *G*<sub>*s*−1</sub> appears in a free resolution of *I*<sub>*D*</sub>(*k*), as it follows from Remark 6. *F*<sup>*t*</sup> cannot be cancelled because it maps to  $F_{t-1}$  and the resolution of  $I_X$  is minimal, and so the first claim follows. In particular, *F<sup>t</sup>* is equal to the last free module in a minimal free resolution of *I*<sub>*D*</sub>(*k*) if and only if  $\gamma_{s-1}$  :  $G_{s-1} \to F_{t-1}$  is split–injective, where  $\gamma_{s-1}$ is induced from  $\gamma: P \to N$ . The second statement is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

For example, if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a set of 5 general points, it is arithmetically Gorenstein with Pfaffian resolution

$$
0 \to R(-5) \to R^{5}(-3) \to R^{5}(-2) \to I_X \to 0.
$$

By applying the previous construction with  $P = R^3(-3)$ , we get that  $k = -1$  and the minimal free resolution of  $I_D$  is

$$
0 \to R(-5) \to R^2(-3) \to I_D(-1) \to 0,
$$

so *D* is a complete intersection curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

REMARK 14. Among the ACM closed schemes *D* constructed in Proposition 9 we might not find the ones of minimal degree containing *X*. For example, let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the degree 4 reduced scheme consisting of the vertices of the unit tetrahedron. With an easy computation, we get that  $I_X$  is generated by  $xy$ ,  $xz$ ,  $xw$ ,  $yz$ ,  $yw$ ,  $zw$ , and its minimal free resolution is

$$
0 \to R^3(-4) \to R^8(-3) \to R^6(-2) \to I_X \to 0.
$$

An ACM curve *C* of minimal degree containing *X* is the union of the three lines  $V(x, y)$ ,  $V(y, z)$ ,  $V(z, w)$ . The minimal free resolution  $I_C$  is

$$
0 \to R^2(-3) \to R^3(-2) \to I_C \to 0.
$$

It follows that *C* cannot be obtained from Proposition 9 because the Cohen– Macaulay types of *X* and *C* are 3 and 2, respectively, and this is not possible by Corollary 4.

EXAMPLE 2. In this example, we construct two ACM curves with different Cohen–Macaulay types starting from the same *X*.

Let  $r = 3$  and let *X* be a set of four general points in a plane. Of course,  $I_X$ is the complete intersection of a linear form and two quadratic forms, and so its minimal free resolution is

$$
0 \to R(-5) \to R^2(-3) \oplus R(-4) \to R(-1) \oplus R^2(-2) \to I_X \to 0.
$$

If we choose *P* = *R*(−3), we get a complete intersection curve *D* whose minimal free resolution is

$$
0 \to R(-5) \to R(-3) \oplus R(-4) \to I_D(-2) \to 0.
$$

On the other hand, if we choose  $P = R(-5)$ , we get an ACM curve *E* whose minimal free resolution is

$$
0 \to R^2(-5) \to R^2(-3) \oplus R(-4) \to I_E \to 0.
$$

Both curves are constructed by choosing a general injective map from *P* to  $R^2(-3) ⊕ R(-4)$ .

Summarizing the obtained results, we proved that it is possible to construct a codimension *s* ACM closed scheme *D* containing a given codimension *t* ACM scheme *X* as soon as *s* < *t*. Some of the restrictions are: the number of minimal generators of *I<sup>D</sup>* cannot be larger than the number of minimal generators of the *R*–module *N* we used in the construction, and the last free module in a minimal free resolution of  $I_X$  is a direct summand of the last free module in a minimal free resolution of  $I_D(k)$ . A consequence of the restrictions is that there are ACM schemes containing *X* that cannot be constructed as explained in Proposition 9 (e.g., see Remark 14).

The last result we present in this section allows us to reconstruct an ACM scheme *D* from a subscheme *X* of *D* obtained by intersecting *D* with a complete intersection *S*.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let D* ⊂ P *<sup>r</sup> be a codimension s ACM scheme with minimal free resolution*

$$
0 \to H_s \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_s} H_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{s-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} H_1 \to I_D \to 0,
$$

*and let*  $S = V(f_1, ..., f_t)$  *be a codimension t complete intersection scheme that cuts D along a codimension*  $s + t \leq r$  *scheme X*. *Then, D can be constructed from X as explained in Proposition 9.*

*Proof.* Let  $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} R(-deg(f_i))$ . Then, the minimal free resolution of *I<sub>S</sub>* is given by the Koszul complex

$$
0\longrightarrow \wedge^t F\xrightarrow{\varphi_t} \wedge^{t-1} F\xrightarrow{\varphi_{t-1}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F\xrightarrow{\varphi_1} I_S\longrightarrow 0
$$



where  $\varphi_i = \wedge^i \varphi$  and  $\varphi : F \to R$  is defined as  $\varphi(e_i) = f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ , where *e*1,...,*e<sup>t</sup>* is the canonical basis of *F*.

Let *X* = *D* ∩ *S*, and let *I*<sub>*X*</sub> ⊂ *R* be its saturated ideal. It is easy to prove that a free resolution of *I<sup>X</sup>* can be constructed as tensor product of the resolutions of *I<sup>D</sup>* and *I<sup>S</sup>* (for the definition of the tensor product of complexes see Section 17.3 in [5]). Hence, it is equal to

$$
0 \to G_{s+t} \to G_{s+t-1} \to \cdots \to G_1 \to I_X \to 0
$$

where

$$
G_h = \bigoplus_{i+j=h, i, j \ge 0} H_i \otimes \wedge^j F
$$

for  $h = 1,..., s + t$ , and the map  $\delta_h : G_h \to G_{h-1}$  restricted to  $H_i \otimes \Lambda^j F \to (H_{i-1} \otimes$  $\wedge$ <sup>*j*</sup> *F*) ⊕ (*H*<sub>*i*</sub> ⊗  $\wedge$ <sup>*j*-1</sup>*F*) is defined as

$$
\delta_i = \left( \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_i \otimes 1 \\ (-1)^i 1 \otimes \varphi_j \end{array} \right).
$$

In particular, *X* is ACM of codimension  $s + t$ .

Let  $N$  be the kernel of  $\delta_t$ , and so a resolution of  $N$  is equal to

$$
0 \to G_{s+t} \to \cdots \to G_{t+1} \to N \to 0.
$$

Moreover, *N* is torsion–free.

Now, let  $G'_{t+j} = (H_{j+1} \otimes \wedge^{t-1} F) \oplus \cdots \oplus (H_s \otimes \wedge^{t+j-s} F)$  for  $j = 1, ..., s-1$ . Of course,  $G_{t+j} = (H_j \otimes \wedge^t F) \oplus G'_{t+j}$ . Let  $\Delta_{t+j} : G'_{t+j} \to G'_{t+j-1}$  be the restriction of  $\delta_{t+j}$ to  $G'_{t+j}$ , and let  $P = \text{coker}(\Delta_{t+2})$ . A free resolution of  $P$  is

$$
0 \to G'_{s+t-1} \to G'_{s+t-2} \to \cdots \to G'_{t+1} \to P \to 0.
$$

In fact, it is easy to prove that it is a complex. Furthermore, it is exact, because it is a sub–complex of the resolution of  $I_X$ . It is obvious that the inclusion  $G'_{t+j} \to G_{t+j}$ for  $j \ge 1$ , induces an inclusion  $P \rightarrow N$ . The resolution of the cokernel is

$$
0 \to H_s \otimes \wedge^t F \to H_{s-1} \otimes \wedge^t F \to \cdots \to H_1 \otimes \wedge^t F \to N/P \to 0.
$$

But  $\wedge^t F \cong R(-\sum_{i=1}^t \deg(f_i))$  and the maps are  $\varepsilon_i \otimes 1$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{J}_D(k)$  where  $k = -\sum_{i=1}^{t} \deg(f_i)$ . In particular, from Proposition 3 it follows that  $\mathscr P$  is a maximal sub–sheaf of  $N$ , and so the claim is proved.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 15. In the previous Proposition, suppose *D* is a complete intersection. Then, *X* is a complete intersection too and  $I_X$  is generated by a regular sequence obtained by taking all the generators of *I<sup>D</sup>* and *I<sup>S</sup>* .

Reversing this observation, we consider a complete intersection scheme *X* generated by a regular sequence of forms  $(f_0, \ldots, f_i)$ , with  $i \leq r$ . Starting from *X* we can obtain all the schemes *D* generated by a subset of generators of *X*. In particular, if we take  $i = r$ , and  $f_j = x_j$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots r$ , the  $(r - 1)$ –syzygy sheaf  $N$  involved in the construction is a twist of the tangent sheaf  $T_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ .

#### **References**

- [1] Beauville A., *Determinantal Hypersurfaces*, Michigan Math.J. **48** (2000), 39–64.
- [2] Bocci C., Dalzotto G., Notari R., Spreafico M.L.S., *An iterative construction of Gorenstein ideals*, Trans.A.M.S. **357** (2005), 1417–1444.
- [3] M.P. BRODMANN M.P. AND SHARP R.Y., *Local Cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [4] Catanese F., *Homological methods and algebraic surfaces*, Algebraic geometry–Santa Cruz 1995, 3–56, Proc. Sympos.Pure Math. **62** Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [5] EISENBUD D., *Commutative Algebra*, **GTM 150**, Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [6] Green M., *Koszul cohomology and geometry*, in Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, ed. M. Cornalba, World Scientific Press (1989).
- [7] Hartshorne R., *Varieties of small codimension in projective space*, Bull. AMS **80** (1974), 1017–1032.
- [8] HARTSHORNE R., *Algebraic Geometry*, **GTM 52**, Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [9] Hartshorne R., *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), 121–176.
- [10] Herzog J. and Kunz E., *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen–Macalay Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **238**, Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [11] Huybrechts D. and Lenn M., *The geometry of the moduli space of sheaves*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [12] Kleppe J., Migliore J.C., Miró–Roig R.M., Nagel U., Peterson C., *Gorenstein liaison, complete intersection liaison invariants and unobstructedness*, Mem.A.M.S. **154** (2001), n.732.
- [13] LANG S., *Algebra*, **GTM 211**, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [14] Martin-Deschamps M. and Perrin D., *Sur la classification des courbes gauches*, Astérisque **184-185** (1990).
- [15] MATSUMURA H., *Commutative Algebra*, Math. Lecture Note Series, The Benjamin/Cummming Pub. Co., 1980.
- [16] MIGLIORE J.C., *Introduction to Liaison Theory and Deficiency Modules*, Progress in Math. **Vol. 165**, Birkhäuser 1998.
- [17] NORTHCOTT D.G., *A first course of homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [18] OKONEK C., SCHNEIDER M., SPINDLER H., *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Progress in Math. **Vol. 3**, Birkhäuser, 1980.
- [19] Serre J.P., *Sur les modules projectifs*, Sém. Dubreil-Pisot, exp. 2, (1960/61), 1–16.
- [20] Walter C.H., *Cohen–Macaulay liaison*, J. reine angew. Math. **444** (1993), 101-114.

**AMS Subject Classification[2020]:** 14M05 (Primarily), 14F06

**Keywords:** Resolutions, Small projective dimension, Construction of schemes, Syzygy sheaves

Nadia CHIARLI, Silvio GRECO, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, ITALY e-mail: *nadia.chiarli@formerfaculty.polito.it, silvio.greco@polito.it*

Roberto NOTARI, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, ITALY e-mail: *roberto.notari@polimi.it*

M.L.Sonia SPREAFICO, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie ed Ambientali, Università di Milano Via Celoria 2, 20133 Milano, ITALY e-mail: *maria.spreafico@unimi.it*

*Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 03.02.2024.*